Impact of Aerobic Exercise Training on Age-Related Changes in Insulin Sensitivity and Muscle Oxidative Capacity
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Insulin resistance increases and muscle oxidative capacity decreases during aging, but lifestyle changes—especially physical activity—may reverse these trends. Here we report the effect of a 16-week aerobic exercise program (n = 65) or control activity (n = 37) performed by men and women aged 21–87 years on insulin sensitivity and muscle mitochondria. Insulin sensitivity, measured by intravenous glucose tolerance test, decreased with age (r = −0.32) and was related to abdominal fat content (r = −0.65). Exercise increased peak oxygen uptake (VO2peak; 10%), activity of muscle mitochondrial enzymes (citrate synthase and cytochrome c oxidase, 45–76%) and mRNA levels of mitochondrial genes (COX4, ND4, both 66%) and genes involved in mitochondrial biogenesis (PGC-1α, 55%; NRF-1, 15%; TFAM, 85%). Exercise also increased muscle GLUT4 mRNA and protein (30–52%) and reduced abdominal fat (5%) and plasma triglycerides (25%). None of these changes were affected by age. In contrast, insulin sensitivity improved in younger people but not in middle-aged or older groups. Thus, the muscle mitochondrial response to 4 months of aerobic exercise training was similar in all age-groups, although the older people did not have an improvement in insulin sensitivity. Diabetes 52: 1888–1896, 2003

The number of people with type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance is rapidly increasing (1,2). Key factors contributing to this increase in diabetes include age, obesity, and sedentary lifestyle (3–8). Exercise is a readily available intervention that can increase insulin action (9–14) and prevent the onset of diabetes (15–18). An important question is whether the effects of aerobic exercise on insulin action are diminished with advancing age. A recent study reported that a vigorous 7-day exercise program increased insulin sensitivity and muscle glucose transporter (GLUT4) content by a similar amount in younger (22 years) and older (61 years) people (12). However, current health and fitness guidelines for healthy adults recommend exercising at moderate intensities at least 3 days per week over long periods (19). Thus, the first purpose of the current study was to determine whether a 4-month program of bicycle training that could be readily followed by most elderly individuals would lead to a similar improvement in insulin sensitivity in men and women across a wide age span.

Skeletal muscle is the major site of insulin-mediated glucose disposal and is implicated in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance and diabetes (20,21). Several pieces of evidence suggest that insulin action may be related to the oxidative capacity of skeletal muscle. First, aerobic exercise training improves both insulin sensitivity and activity of oxidative enzymes in muscle (22,23). Second, people who are obese and insulin resistant or have type 2 diabetes tend to have lower activity of muscle oxidative enzymes (24,25). Third, insulin infusion preferentially stimulates the synthesis rate of mitochondrial proteins in skeletal muscle (26) and increases the mRNA abundance genes associated with mitochondria and glucose metabolism (27,28). Fourth, recent work has shown that genes for mitochondrial proteins and the primary glucose transporter in muscle, GLUT4, are regulated by common signals, including elevations in cytosolic calcium (29,30) and the transcriptional coactivator PGC-1α (peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor [PPAR]–γ coactivator 1α) (31). Muscle mitochondrial function and gene expression are reduced in aging muscle, but the underlying cause and the relationship to insulin action are not yet understood (32–34). The second purpose of this study, therefore, was to measure the effect of age and exercise training on the gene expression of PGC-1α, GLUT4, and mitochondrial genes and nuclear transcription factors that regulate mitochondrial genes, including PGC-1α, nuclear respiratory factor (NRF)-1, and mitochondrial transcription factor A (TFAM). We tested the hypothesis that a moderate exercise program results in equivalent improvements in insulin sensitivity, GLUT4 expression, and mitochondrial genes and function in individuals whose age ranged between 22 and 87 years.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Participants. Healthy men and women who exercised <30 min twice per week during the previous 9 months were recruited. Health status was assessed by medical history, physical exam, blood chemistries (liver enzymes, creati-
nine, electrolytes, and glucose), complete blood count, urinalysis, and elec-
trocardiogram. Exclusion criteria included tobacco use, β-blockers, diabetes or other endocrine disorders, and debilitating chronic illness. Forty-nine
women and 41 men between the ages of 21 and 87 years met these criteria and were enrolled after providing written and oral consent. Characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. Participants gave their informed oral and written consent before any tests were performed. The Mayo Foundation
Institutional Review Board approved the study.

Study protocol. Participants were randomized to either a 16-week aerobic
control or exercise program. A similar 5-day protocol was completed at
baseline and again within a week of completing the training or control phases. During each study period, a weight-maintaining diet (55:30:15% carbohydrate, fat, and protein, respectively) was provided for the first 4 days. On the
morning of day 4, subjects were admitted to the General Clinical Research
Center (GCRC) following an overnight fast. Insulin sensitivity and body
composition were then measured. The following morning (day 5) blood and
muscle biopsy samples from the vastus lateralis (32,35) were obtained.

The exercise program was performed on a stationary bicycle. Training
began with three sessions per week, lasting 20 min each, at an intensity
eliciting 70% of maximal heart rate. Intensity, duration, and number of
sessions were gradually increased so that the final month of training consisted of four sessions per week at 80% of maximal heart rate for 40 min. Exercise
specialists supervised each session and recorded heart rates. Compliance with the target workloads and number of sessions was >90%. The exercise
protocol was completed by 41 of 47 participants originally assigned. The
control group was taught a series of flexibility exercises and encouraged to
continue. Because the goal of the study was to examine effects of the exercise
program, participants were instructed to maintain body weight. Weight was
recorded weekly, and the GCRC dietary staff provided further guidance if
weight changed >2%. Only one person in the exercise group discontinued the study because of excessive weight loss.

Procedures. A standard treadmill stress test was performed initially to assure cardiovascular health and was followed on another day with measurement of peak oxygen uptake (VO2peak) on a bicycle ergometer (36).Expired gases, heart rate, and blood pressure were continuously monitored throughout the
tests (36). The posttraining assessment was made within 3 days of the
completion of the last training bout.

Insulin sensitivity (SI) was determined using an intravenous glucose
tolerance test (37). Posttraining tests were performed 4 or 5 days after the last
exercise session (this variation was unavoidable due to scheduling availabil-
yity). There were no systematic differences across sex or age in the retesting
schedule. Glucose (0.3 g/kg) and insulin (0.03 units/kg) were intravenously
injected at 0 and 20 min, respectively. Fifteen blood samples were obtained
between 0 and 180 min. SI was calculated using minimal model analysis
software (MINMOD, version 2.0).

Fat and fat-free mass were determined by dual X-ray absorptiometry
(Lunar DPX-L, Madison, WI). Abdominal fat was measured using a single-slice (6-mm thickness) computed tomography scan (Imatron C-150, San Francisco, CA) at the level of the L4-L5 intervertebral space. Total and subcutaneous
abdominal fat areas were estimated by manual planimetry using custom
software (38). Visceral fat area was calculated as the difference between total and subcutaneous fat areas.

Plasma insulin was measured with a two-site immunoenzyme assay
(ACCESS; Beckman Instruments, Chaska, MN). Glucose was measured with a Beckman Glucose Analyzer (Beckman Instruments, Porterville, CA). Nones-
tertified free fatty acids (NEFAs) were measured using an enzymatic colori-
metric assay (NEFA C, Wako Chemicals, Richmond, VA).

Muscle biopsy samples (32,35) were obtained. Activities of two mitochondrial enzymes, citrate synthase and cytochrome c oxidase, were measured in muscle homogenates as previously described (32). Abundance of GLUT4 protein in muscle was determined by Western
blotting. Muscle samples were homogenized in a buffer containing 250 mmol/l
succrose, 20 mmol/l HEPES, and 1 mmol/l EDTA, pH 7.4, containing 1 μg/ml

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>20–29</th>
<th>30–39</th>
<th>40–49</th>
<th>50–59</th>
<th>60–69</th>
<th>≥70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>66.0 ± 2.4</td>
<td>68.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>70.1 ± 4.0</td>
<td>73.6 ± 2.0</td>
<td>67.3 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>83.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>88.7 ± 4.1</td>
<td>90.4 ± 3.8</td>
<td>90.4 ± 4.7</td>
<td>92.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>80.4 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>23.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>24.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>25.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>27.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>25.7 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>56.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>51.2 ± 3.7</td>
<td>59.1 ± 3.5</td>
<td>61.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>52.8 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat (cm²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>34.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>37.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>37.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>40.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>38.9 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral fat (cm²)</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>26.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>26.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>25.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>27.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>26.8 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Age-group (years) | | | | | | | |
|-------------------| | | | | | | |
| 20–29 | 30–39 | 40–49 | 50–59 | 60–69 | ≥70 |
| M | 7 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| W | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 8 |

Data are means ± SE. Signiﬁcant differences between men and women were present for all variables. With increasing age, there was a significant decline in FFM and significant increases in percent body fat, total abdominal fat, and visceral fat (P < 0.01). Correlation
coefficients between these variables and age are shown in Table 2.
leupeptin, 10 μg/ml aprotinin, and 2 mmol/l phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, then centrifuged at 100,000g for 60 min. Pellets were resuspended in phosphate buffer containing 1% Triton X-100 and centrifuged at 14,000g for 20 min.

The resulting supernatants, containing membrane proteins, were separated on 10% polyacrylamide gels (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes and incubated overnight with a primary antibody against GLUT4 (Biogenesis, Brentwood, NH). An enhanced chemiluminescent detection system (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ), followed by digital imaging and densitometry (ImageStation 1000; Eastman Kodak Scientific Imaging, Rochester, NY), was used to quantify the relative abundance of GLUT4 in individual samples.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are reported as means ± SE. Differences between men and women and between pre- and posttesting within groups were analyzed using unpaired and paired t tests, respectively. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to measure association among selected variables. Multiple regression analysis was used to determine the relationship of age, body composition, and other variables to insulin sensitivity. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

**Physical characteristics and body composition.** The baseline physical characteristics of the participants are given in Table 1, and univariate correlations between these variables and age are listed in Table 2. Although BMI was similar among age-groups, fat-free mass decreased with age and total body fat and abdominal fat increased with age. Subcutaneous abdominal fat also tended to increase with age, but this change was more variable. Exercise resulted in statistically significant reductions in body weight (0.7%), BMI (0.7%), abdominal fat (5.3%), and waist circumference (1.9%) (Table 3). The loss of abdominal fat occurred equally in the visceral and subcutaneous compartments. Except for a small but significant increase in

### TABLE 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat, total (cm²)</td>
<td>0.41*</td>
<td>0.38*</td>
<td>-0.62*</td>
<td>-0.67*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat, visceral (cm²)</td>
<td>0.51*</td>
<td>0.41*</td>
<td>-0.61*</td>
<td>-0.58*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat, subcutaneous (cm²)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.56*</td>
<td>-0.57*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td>0.39*</td>
<td>-0.59*</td>
<td>-0.43*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body fat (%)</td>
<td>0.44*</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
<td>-0.43*</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body FFM (kg)</td>
<td>-0.32*</td>
<td>-0.35*</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>0.35*</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.43*</td>
<td>-0.55*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.57*</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.36*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.47*</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.36*</td>
<td>-0.59*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>0.37*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.55*</td>
<td>-0.60*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.49*</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonesterified fatty acids (μmol/l)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.38*</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V̇O₂peak (ml · kg⁻¹ · FFM · min⁻¹)</td>
<td>-0.84*</td>
<td>-0.82*</td>
<td>0.44*</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate synthase (units/min)</td>
<td>-0.37*</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>0.51*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome c oxidase (units/min)</td>
<td>-0.46*</td>
<td>-0.36*</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.37*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX4 mRNA (arbitrary units)</td>
<td>-0.32*</td>
<td>-0.45*</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND4 mRNA (arbitrary units)</td>
<td>-0.45*</td>
<td>-0.50*</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUT4 mRNA (arbitrary units)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.025.

## TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V̇O₂peak (ml · kg⁻¹ · FFM · min⁻¹)</td>
<td>40.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>40.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>40.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>44.3 ± 1.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>77.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>78.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>79.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>78.7 ± 1.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>89.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>91.9 ± 1.5*</td>
<td>91.9 ± 1.4</td>
<td>90.0 ± 1.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>25.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>26.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>26.4 ± 0.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)</td>
<td>49.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>49.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>50.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>50.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>31.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>31.0 ± 1.3</td>
<td>31.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>31.0 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat, total (cm²)</td>
<td>299 ± 23</td>
<td>299 ± 22</td>
<td>318 ± 17</td>
<td>299 ± 18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat, visceral (cm²)</td>
<td>122 ± 13</td>
<td>121 ± 12</td>
<td>133 ± 11</td>
<td>124 ± 11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal fat, subcutaneous (cm²)</td>
<td>177 ± 13</td>
<td>178 ± 13</td>
<td>185 ± 9</td>
<td>174 ± 10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.07 ± 0.17</td>
<td>4.85 ± 0.19</td>
<td>4.90 ± 0.16</td>
<td>4.66 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.18</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>153 ± 13</td>
<td>137 ± 14*</td>
<td>146 ± 11</td>
<td>114 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>37 ± 3</td>
<td>38 ± 3</td>
<td>34 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonesterified fatty acids (μmol/l)</td>
<td>520 ± 22</td>
<td>512 ± 18</td>
<td>524 ± 15</td>
<td>494 ± 14*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin sensitivity index (×10⁻⁷ · min⁻¹ · pmol⁻¹ · l⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.50 ± 0.53</td>
<td>5.22 ± 0.58</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.36</td>
<td>6.27 ± 0.60*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SE. *Before-to-after difference within group, P < 0.025. None of the before-to-after changes differed with age or sex, except insulin sensitivity.
Insulin sensitivity was similar in men and women of all ages. However, the residual errors for men and women ($P < 0.01$) were not evenly distributed, so data are shown after log transformation. $S_I$ declined with age (A) but was more closely related to total abdominal fat ($B$), visceral fat ($C$), and fasting insulin ($D$) levels. Regression lines are shown for pooled data from men (C) and women (O), except in C, where the solid line is for women and the dotted line is for men. $P < 0.01$ for all correlation coefficients shown.

**Aerobic capacity.** At baseline, $V_{O2peak}$ declined linearly with age from a mean of $50.0 \pm 1.0$ ml$ \cdot$ kg$^{-1}$ $\cdot$ FFM$^{-1}$ $\cdot$ min$^{-1}$ in 20- to 30-year-olds to $19.7 \pm 1.1$ in those $\geq70$ years ($r = 0.82$, $P < 0.001$). The rate of decline was 7.5% per decade. There was no change in $V_{O2peak}$ in control subjects (Table 3), whereas the exercise program increased $V_{O2peak}$ by 9.5% ($P < 0.001$). This response to exercise training was similar in men and women of all ages.

**Insulin sensitivity.** $S_I$ declined $\sim 8\%$ per decade in both men and women ($P < 0.01$). When plotted against age, however, the residual errors for $S_I$ were not uniform for either men or women. For this reason, linear modeling of $S_I$ was performed after log transformation. The transformed $S_I$ was 16% higher in women ($P < 0.05$) but did not prevent the pooled data from both sexes from being fit by a single line, since the difference was not affected by age (Fig. 1). Univariate correlations between $S_I$ (after log transformation) and other variables are listed in Table 2. $S_I$ was more closely related to abdominal fat and fasting insulin levels than age (Fig. 1). Like $S_B$, insulin values were also log transformed because of nonuniform distribution.

Multiple regression analyses were used to determine the effects of age, adiposity, and other parameters on $S_I$ at baseline. Men and women were analyzed separately. Using step-wise (step-up) regression, only two variables with significant individual contributions were entered into the model for men, namely total abdominal fat area and triglycerides. This model had a multiple $R^2$ of 0.57 and an adjusted $R^2$ of 0.54. When a step-down approach was used for men, total abdominal fat and insulin were retained in the model (multiple $R^2 = 0.55$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.51$). In women, both the step-up and step-down models had a single variable, total abdominal fat area, with a multiple $R^2$ of 0.39 and adjusted $R^2$ of 0.37. Combining men and women in the regression analysis yielded a model with total abdominal fat and insulin as predictors (multiple $R^2 = 0.48$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.47$). Age made a significant contribution to these models only if total abdominal fat and/or insulin were intentionally left out (data not shown).

Exercise training increased insulin sensitivity by an average of 20% (Table 3). However, the response to training (absolute change) was inversely related to age in men and women (Fig. 2). When separated into younger (20–39 years), middle-aged (40–59 years), and older (≥60 years) groups, the posttraining $S_I$ increased 72% for younger ($5.77 \pm 0.73$ vs. $9.92 \pm 1.36; P < 0.001$) and 20% for middle-aged ($5.42 \pm 0.68$ vs. $5.52 \pm 0.82; P = 0.11$) and decreased 5% for older ($3.90 \pm 0.44$ vs. $3.71 \pm 0.53; P = 0.42$) people. The response of $S_I$ to training was not related to changes in $V_{O2peak}$, body composition, muscle metabolic parameters, or any other variables, besides age. When the $S_I$ values in the exercise group were expressed as a percentage change from baseline, the negative relationship with age remained statistically significant in women but not in men (Fig. 2). This difference between men and women was due to the strong influence of three men over 40 years of age who began the study with low $S_I$ values and had small absolute changes that represented high percentage changes. When considered separately, the percentage change in $S_I$ in exercising young men ($41 \pm 19\%$ for $n = 6$; $P = 0.08$ vs. zero) was less than that of young women ($116 \pm 27\%$ for $n = 9$; $P < 0.01$ vs. zero) but stands in contrast to the middle-aged and older groups who showed no trends toward a positive change in $S_I$. Thus, the age-dependent increase in $S_I$ after training appears to be present in men and women when considered as either absolute or percentage change.

**FIG. 1.** Relationship between $S_I$ and age, adiposity, and insulin levels. The variance in $S_I$ and insulin was not evenly distributed, so data are shown after log transformation. $S_I$ declined with age (A) but was more closely related to total abdominal fat ($B$), visceral fat ($C$), and fasting insulin ($D$) levels. Regression lines are shown for pooled data from men (C) and women (O), except in C, where the solid line is for women and the dotted line is for men. $P < 0.01$ for all correlation coefficients shown.

**FIG. 2.** Relationship between age and the post-exercise change in $S_I$. The absolute increment in $S_I$, in exercisers was inversely related to age (top panel, regression line for entire group). The relationship was significant ($P < 0.01$) for both women ($r = 0.53$) and men ($r = 0.34$) when considered separately. The percent change in $S_I$ (lower panel, regression line for entire group) was also inversely related to age, but when considered separately, the effect was significant in women ($r = 0.61, P < 0.01$) but not in men ($r = 0.16, P > 0.05$). $S_I$ units in the top panel are $\times 10^{-5} \cdot$ min$^{-1} \cdot$ pmol$^{-1} \cdot$ l$^{-1}$.
GLUT4. GLUT4 mRNA levels did not differ with age among the participants (Fig. 3). After the exercise training program, GLUT4 mRNA levels were elevated by 52% (Table 3), and no effect of either age or sex on the response to exercise was evident. GLUT4 protein levels were measured on a subset of younger (n = 9) and older (n = 11) persons because of limited availability of muscle samples. GLUT4 protein abundance (in arbitrary units) was not significantly different between the younger (5.71 ± 0.41 AU) and older (4.67 ± 0.49 AU) group at baseline. In younger and older exercisers, protein levels were increased 20–40% to 6.83 ± 0.72 and 6.64 ± 0.62 AU, respectively (P < 0.02), with no difference between age groups.

Mitochondrial enzyme activities and gene transcripts. At baseline, activity of COX declined with age (5% per decade) (Table 2). Citrate synthase activity declined with age in women (4% per decade) (Table 2) but not in men. The exercise program increased COX and citrate synthase activities by 87 and 46%, respectively (Table 3). Enzyme changes with training were not related to either age or sex.

Transcript levels of COX4 and ND4 followed similar patterns to one another, as they both declined significantly with age (Fig. 3) and increased 65–67% with aerobic exercise training (Table 4). These responses to training were not affected by either age or sex.

**Table 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control Before</th>
<th>Control After</th>
<th>Exercise Before</th>
<th>Exercise After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citrate synthase activity</td>
<td>24.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>25.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>24.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>35.1 ± 1.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochrome c oxidase activity</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX4 mRNA</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND4 mRNA</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.09*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCG-1 mRNA</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.10</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.15*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRF-1 mRNA</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.07*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFAM mRNA</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUT4 mRNA</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.07*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SE. Enzyme activities are given as μmol·min⁻¹·g⁻¹ tissue; mRNA levels, as arbitrary units after normalization to 28S rRNA. *Before-to-after difference within group, P < 0.001 for all variables except NRF-1, which was P < 0.02. None of the changes in the exercise group had a significant interaction with age or sex.
creased PGC-1α, NRF-1, and TFAM transcript levels by 55, 15, and 85%, respectively, but there was no interaction between age and the training response (Table 4). PGC-1 mRNA levels at baseline were positively associated ($P < 0.01$) with abundance of NRF-1 ($r = 0.67$), TFAM ($r = 0.53$), GLUT4 ($r = 0.46$), and COX4 ($r = 0.40$) mRNA. The change in PGC-1α mRNA after training was associated with the change in NRF-1 ($r = 0.40, P < 0.01$), but not with the changes in TFAM, GLUT4, or COX4.

**Plasma lipids, glucose, and insulin.** Total cholesterol increased from $4.24 \pm 0.18$ mmol/l in 20- to 30-year-olds to $5.54 \pm 0.27$ in people over 70 years ($P < 0.025$), whereas HDL and LDL cholesterol levels did not change with age (Table 2). Cholesterol levels were not significantly altered by exercise training (Table 3). Triglyceride ($134 \pm 15$ mg/dl, 20–30 years; $160 \pm 15$, \(>70\) years) and nonesterified fatty acid ($485 \pm 34$ μmol/l, 20–30 years; $587 \pm 27$, \(>70\) years) levels both increased with age (Table 2). Exercise training lowered fasting plasma triglycerides 23% and nonesterified fatty acids 6% (Table 3). Age and sex did not affect these responses.

Fasting glucose levels increased 2% per decade in men and women, whereas insulin increased 13% per decade in women but did not change with age in men (Table 2). Fasting glucose and insulin were positively related ($P < 0.01$) to abdominal fat ($r = 0.56$ and 0.65, respectively). Men had higher ($P < 0.01$) plasma glucose (5%) and insulin (16%) than women. Overall, exercise training did not alter fasting glucose or insulin concentrations (Table 3). When separated into three age-groups, however, fasting insulin levels were significantly reduced from pre- to postexercise in middle-aged people (age 40–59 years, $160 \pm 15$, \(>70\) years) and nonesterified fatty acid ($485 \pm 34$ μmol/l, 20–30 years; $587 \pm 27$, \(>70\) years) levels both increased with age (Table 2). Exercise training lowered fasting plasma triglycerides 23% and nonesterified fatty acids 6% (Table 3). Age and sex did not affect these responses.

Fasting glucose levels increased 2% per decade in men and women, whereas insulin increased 13% per decade in women but did not change with age in men (Table 2). Fasting glucose and insulin were positively related ($P < 0.01$) to abdominal fat ($r = 0.56$ and 0.65, respectively). Men had higher ($P < 0.01$) plasma glucose (5%) and insulin (16%) than women. Overall, exercise training did not alter fasting glucose or insulin concentrations (Table 3). When separated into three age-groups, however, fasting insulin levels were significantly reduced from pre- to postexercise in middle-aged people (age 40–59 years, $160 \pm 15$, \(>70\) years) and nonesterified fatty acid ($485 \pm 34$ μmol/l, 20–30 years; $587 \pm 27$, \(>70\) years) levels both increased with age (Table 2). Exercise training lowered fasting plasma triglycerides 23% and nonesterified fatty acids 6% (Table 3). Age and sex did not affect these responses.

**DISCUSSION**

This study examined the effect of a 4-month moderate-intensity aerobic exercise program on insulin sensitivity and muscle mitochondrial biogenesis in people between the ages of 22 and 87 years. In agreement with previous reports, insulin sensitivity declined with age (5–8) but improved with exercise training (9–14). A key finding of the current study is that the increment in insulin sensitivity due to exercise training was present in younger people but not in middle-age and older groups. Several potential factors that might explain the age-dependent change in insulin action with exercise were examined. Abdominal adiposity (which was the best correlate with insulin sensitivity at baseline) and plasma triglycerides were decreased by exercise. In skeletal muscle, glucose transporter mRNA and protein levels were increased, and mitochondrial biogenesis was evident from increased activity of mitochondrial enzymes and higher levels of mitochondrial protein mRNAs. These changes occurred in men and women across the age span. Thus, insulin sensitivity was the only variable that demonstrated an age-dependent response to exercise training.

Insulin-mediated glucose transport in muscle depends on the content and function of GLUT4 (21). Work by Houmard et al. (6) suggested that the age-related decline in insulin action might be due in part to a lower abundance of GLUT4 protein in muscle. Subsequently, it was reported that short-term vigorous training elevated GLUT4 protein content and insulin sensitivity in young and old people (12). In the current study, we found that muscle GLUT4 mRNA and protein levels did not change with age in previously untrained people. Exercise training resulted in increased muscle content of GLUT4 mRNA and protein, but this increment did not differ with age. Thus, in the present study, muscle GLUT4 abundance does not explain the age-related decline in insulin sensitivity or the age-dependent response to exercise training. One possibility is that despite normal GLUT4 content in older muscle, the insulin-mediated trafficking of GLUT4 to the sarcolemma or its inherent function is somehow impaired (20,21). At present, the interaction of age and exercise status on GLUT4 trafficking and transport function is unknown. This is an important question that should be addressed in future work.

The activity of oxidative enzymes is reduced in skeletal muscle from older people (32,34,39) and can contribute to reductions in $V_{O2\text{peak}}$ and the ability to sustain muscular activity. In turn, this could potentially contribute to insulin resistance by limiting the ability to metabolize glucose. There is evidence that people with type 2 diabetes or insulin resistance have lower activity of oxidative enzymes and reduced aerobic capacity (24,25,40). In the present study, $V_{O2\text{peak}}$ and activity of mitochondrial enzymes were positively related to $S_I$ at baseline. Despite this relationship, muscle mitochondrial variables did not contribute significantly to the multivariate model for $S_I$ after the inclusion of abdominal fat. We found that aerobic exercise training increased the glucose transport capacity (higher GLUT4) and mitochondrial content of skeletal muscle as previously reported (22,23). However, these changes were not related to the change in $S_I$. These findings suggest that insulin action and mitochondrial biogenesis may respond to common regulatory events, such as exercise, but that the two pathways are not directly related.

We have considered other factors that may explain the age-dependent exercise response in insulin sensitivity. First, compared to the current study, training programs that improved insulin sensitivity in older people typically had more intense or frequent sessions (12,13) or were longer (6–12 months) (9,11,13). In one study, 63-year-old people engaged in low-intensity exercise (e.g., walking) showed no change in insulin action after 6 months, but improved after an additional 6 months of more intensive exercise (9). Thus, older people may require more intensive or longer exercise training programs to improve $S_I$, whereas other factors, such as mitochondrial function, are more readily altered. A second possibility is that the effect of exercise on $S_I$ response is more rapidly lost in older people. Timing of the postraining measurements of $S_I$ could be important. In most studies, $S_I$ was measured 14–17 h after the last exercise session (9,11,12), whereas we waited 96–120 h to examine chronic training adaptations instead of acute exercise effects. Although there was some variation in the timing of our follow-up tests (≤24 h), there was no systematic difference in the time interval...
across age or sex, nor was there an effect on the magnitude in $S_I$ improvement. In well-trained athletes, $S_I$ declines within 6–14 days if regular exercise is not maintained (14,41,42). Future work will need to examine how $S_I$ changes in the first 7 days after completing a training program with comparisons made between younger and older people. A third explanation is that inherent aging processes may blunt the adaptability of $S_I$ even if regular vigorous exercise is performed. It was recently shown that $S_I$ is lower in both older sedentary and endurance-trained people versus younger people with similar respective exercise status (43). Further, as we and others have found (7,8,44), the age effect on $S_I$ disappeared in sedentary subjects after controlling for body fatness (43). In contrast, after controlling for body fat, $S_I$ was still 33% lower in older athletes (43). Insulin sensitivity in the older runners was equal to or greater than that in sedentary subjects after controlling for body fatness (43). In contrast to our findings, mRNA levels of TFAM and NRF-1 were reported to be higher in a group of elderly (71–88 years) than in younger (21–33 years) people (55). However, the muscle samples in that study were obtained from only four to seven people per group who were undergoing orthopedic surgery. The clinical state, anesthesia, or any treatments could modulate the mRNA level of these transcription factors. We are aware of only one other study that has examined age effects on mitochondrial-related transcription factors in human muscle (55). In contrast to our findings, mRNA levels of TFAM and NRF-1 were reported to be higher in a group of elderly (71–88 years) than in younger (21–33 years) people (55). Nonetheless, the lower $S_I$ in older versus younger runners suggests that the capacity to improve insulin action with exercise may be somehow limited in older people.

Exercise training resulted in an average reduction in body weight of 0.6 kg, which appears to have come mainly from abdominal fat stores. This reduction was similar in visceral and subcutaneous abdominal fat depots and did not vary with age. Thus, despite the close correlation between abdominal fat and $S_I$ at baseline, the magnitude of the improvement in $S_I$ after exercise training was not related to the amount of fat lost. In overweight men, exercise training and weight loss are attributed with distinct effects on glucose metabolism that are additive when combined (45). We encouraged participants to maintain their diet and body weight in this study, so it is possible that a weight-loss component would have resulted in greater gains in insulin sensitivity in the exercise group (45,46).

Fasting insulin and glucose showed little or no change with exercise training and were therefore unrelated to changes in $S_I$. Exercise-induced improvements in $S_I$ in the absence of a change in fasting insulin or glucose have been noted before (12,47). When reductions in fasting insulin occur in response to exercise training, a common cofactor is weight loss, specifically fat loss (9,11,13,45). Fasting insulin levels are positively associated with body fat ($r = 0.65$ in the present study at baseline). The exercise group had a 5% reduction in abdominal fat, but a larger decrease may be required to substantially affect fasting insulin levels.

At baseline we found that $S_I$ decreased with age, consistent with other reports (5–8). This decline appears to result from an increase in abdominal fat rather than age per se. Earlier reports found a relationship between $S_I$ and anthropometric measures like waist circumference or BMI (7,8,44). In the current study, $S_I$ was more closely related to visceral than subcutaneous fat, but $S_I$ was most closely related to total abdominal fat area, in agreement with the findings of Goodpaster et al. (48). A consensus has not been reached on whether visceral or subcutaneous fat regions are more important for conferring insulin resistance (48–50).

The decline with age in activity of mitochondrial enzymes indicates that muscle oxidative capacity is reduced (32,34,39). Previous work suggested that mitochondrial functional decline might be related to lower synthesis rate of mitochondrial proteins (32). The current results now indicate that protein synthesis in older muscle may be limited by template availability, since mRNA levels for both nuclear-encoded (COX4) and mitochondrial-encoded (ND4) respiratory chain proteins decreased with age. We tested whether these changes were related to parallel reductions in transcription factors that regulate mitochondrial genes upstream. PGC-1α has generated interest because it acts with transcription factors like NRF-1 to control expression of genes of oxidative metabolism, including TFAM (51,52). TFAM is the signal through which the nucleus regulates mitochondrial DNA transcription and replication (53). The mRNA level of PGC-1α was positively associated at baseline with that of GLUT4, NRF-1, and TFAM, supporting the evidence that PGC-1α is a key regulator of energy-utilizing pathways. However, PGC-1α, NRF-1, and TFAM transcript abundance did not change with age. This implies that the reduction in COX4 and ND4 mRNA with age must be regulated at other control points such as mRNA stability or transcription factor activity, or perhaps by other transcription factors. Oxidative damage to DNA has been shown to increase with age (54) and could limit the expression of specific mitochondrial genes in the presence of normal levels of transcription factors. We are aware of only one other study that has examined age effects on mitochondrial-related transcription factors in human muscle (55). In contrast to our findings, mRNA levels of TFAM and NRF-1 were reported to be higher in a group of elderly (71–88 years) than in younger (21–33 years) people (55). However, the muscle samples in that study were obtained from only four to seven people per group who were undergoing orthopedic surgery. The clinical state, anesthesia, or any treatments could modulate the mRNA level of these transcription factors, and the data may not be applicable to healthy populations.

The exercise training program resulted in an enhancement of mitochondrial biogenesis, as shown by several markers. There were no interactions between age and the training response of the mitochondrial measurements. Improvements in $V_{O_2peak}$ and oxidative enzymes in response to aerobic exercise have been previously shown in older people (56,57). Earlier studies also showed that vigorous training results in higher muscle mRNA content of TFAM and other mitochondrial genes in young men (58,59). The present study is the first to show that aerobic training stimulates expression of mitochondrial genes and transcription factors in muscle from older and younger people. The potency of the training effect on muscle oxidative pathways is shown by the fact that all of the changes in muscle that were measured were clearly evident 5–6 days after the last training session. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that despite age-related functional decline, skeletal muscle capacity for mitochondrial biogenesis remains high in older muscle when faced with the metabolic demands of regular exercise. Exercise-induced increases in muscle oxidative enzymes are consistent with higher ATP production capacity (56) and may lead to greater ability to utilize glucose. However, the
present data demonstrate that changes in muscle mitochondrial function and insulin-mediated glucose disposal are not closely related.

In conclusion, 4 months of moderate-intensity aerobic exercise performed by previously sedentary men and women improved insulin sensitivity in young people but not in middle-aged and older groups. This unique finding could not be explained by loss of body fat or increased expression of glucose transporter protein in skeletal muscle, since exercise training had an equivalent effect on these parameters in people of all ages. Muscle mitochondrial enzyme activity declined with age and improved with exercise training regardless of age. The exercise-induced increase in muscle oxidative capacity was closely related to the availability of the mRNA abundance of mitochondrial proteins. These findings suggest that the ability of aerobic exercise to enhance muscle mitochondrial function is not age-limited, whereas improvement in insulin sensitivity is impaired in older people. This observation will need to be considered in the design of exercise programs aimed at preventing or delaying diabetes in older people.
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