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Human epidemiological studies and appropriately designed dietary interventions in animal models have provided considerable evidence to suggest that maternal nutritional imbalance and metabolic disturbances, during critical time windows of development, may have a persistent effect on the health of the offspring and may even be transmitted to the next generation. We now need to explain the mechanisms involved in generating such responses. The idea that epigenetic changes associated with chromatin remodeling and regulation of gene expression underlie the developmental programming of metabolic syndrome is gaining acceptance. Epigenetic alterations have been known to be of importance in cancer for ~2 decades. This has made it possible to decipher epigenetic codes and machinery and has led to the development of a new generation of drugs now in clinical trials. Although less conspicuous, epigenetic alterations have also been progressively shown to be relevant to common diseases such as atherosclerosis and type 2 diabetes. Imprinted genes, with their key roles in controlling feto-placental nutrient supply and demand and their epigenetic lability in response to nutrients, may play an important role in adaptation/evolution. The combination of these various lines of research on epigenetic programming processes has highlighted new possibilities for the prevention and treatment of metabolic syndrome. Diabetes 54: 1899–1906, 2005

The recent explosion of the worldwide epidemic of metabolic syndrome—combining disturbances in glucose and insulin metabolism, excess predominantly abdominally distributed weight, mild dyslipidemia, and hypertension, with the subsequent development of obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease—compromises progress made in reducing the morbidity and mortality of cardiovascular disease in recent years (1). In the last 10 years, a series of studies, notably those by Hales and Barker (2), who first coined the term “fetal programming” leading to a “thrifty phenotype,” have demonstrated that these common disorders take root in early nutrition, during gestation and lactation.

A significant and increasing proportion of women (14–27%) are overweight when pregnant. Whereas the long-term effects of gestational diabetes are well documented (3,4), the consequences of metabolic syndrome in the mother, together with an unbalanced diet and metabolic disturbances during the periconceptual period, gestation, and lactation, for fetal programming, for the various critical windows of development, and during aging (5) are poorly documented and remain to be explored (6).

A recent review examined animal studies in which the fetal and postnatal environment had been manipulated by changing maternal dietary intake or modifying uterine artery flow (6). Most studies examined the consequences of protein restriction during gestation, conditions not fully matching the features of the current epidemic of metabolic syndrome. Fewer studies have dealt with the consequences of a high-carbohydrate or fat-rich diet, conditions corresponding more closely to the current epidemic of metabolic syndrome. However, it remains unclear whether metabolic syndrome can be reliably induced by the interventions made because of differences between protocols, diets (e.g., type of fatty acids), sex, and time periods examined (6).

Recent experiments have shown that the features of metabolic syndrome in the adult offspring of fat-fed rats may be acquired antenatally and during suckling. Moreover, exposure during pregnancy confers adaptive protection against endothelial dysfunction, but not against hypertension, because of maternal fat feeding during suckling (7). However, these data only partially reflect the features of metabolic syndrome because pregnant mothers were not overweight and therefore did not display the metabolic disturbances of metabolic syndrome that could also interfere with fetal/postnatal programming. Malnourished fetuses adopt several strategies to optimize their chances of survival during the neonatal period, but these strategies assume that the same type of nutritional conditions will prevail. A selective distribution of nutrients ensures that brain growth is given priority over the growth of other organs, such as the liver, muscle, and pancreas. The adaptations adopted during fetal programming may
prove to be detrimental if food becomes more abundant (8). Thus, any change in conditions may have deleterious consequences.

REGULATION OF GENE EXPRESSION BY EPIGENETIC ALTERATIONS

Epigenetics, from the Greek “epi,” meaning “on,” DNA (a term first coined by Conrad Waddington in 1937), has been described as “the developmental processes by which genotype gives rise to phenotype.” Today, epigenetics may be defined as the inheritance of information based on gene expression levels rather than on gene sequence (genetics) (9). All of our tissues contain the same 30,000 genes; however, in a given tissue and at a given stage, owing to an “epigenetic code,” only a few of these genes are expressed, giving rise to the “phenotype.”

The epigenetic code comprises several levels of interconnected and interdependent codes: the DNA methylation code, the histone code (histone methylation, acetylation, and phosphorylation), and the coregulator code. These codes define a process involving the recruitment of a myriad of chromatin-remodeling complexes, enzymes, coregulators, and effectors, directing appropriate chromatin remodeling (10). Specific epigenetic patterns condition the accessibility of chromatin to transcription factors, facilitating the recognition by these factors of genes to be expressed (to various extents) and of genes to be silenced, transiently or permanently. Epigenetic chromatin marks may be propagated mitotically and, in some cases, meiotically, resulting in the stable inheritance of regulatory states. Transient nutritional stimuli occurring at critical ontogenic stages may have lasting influences on the expression of various genes by interacting with epigenetic mechanisms and altering chromatin conformation and transcription factor accessibility (11).

Disruption of the balance of epigenetic networks may cause several major diseases, including cancer, syndromes involving chromosomal instabilities, and mental retardation. The importance of epigenetic changes in tumorigenesis has been acknowledged for 2 decades. However, the relevance of epigenetics to other physiopathological mechanisms in common diseases, such as metabolic syndrome, was less clear (12). Converging data are now available to support the hypothesis that, in addition to “thrifty genotype” inheritance, individuals with metabolic syndrome underwent incorrect “epigenetic programming” during fetal/postnatal development because of inadequate maternal nutrition and metabolic disturbances. These individuals may also display “transgenerational effects” because of the inheritance of epigenetic changes first experienced by their parents and/or grandparents.

EPIGENETIC PROGRAMMING DURING FETO-PLACENTAL AND POSTNATAL DEVELOPMENT

Tissues and organs are tailored in response to finely tuned genetic and epigenetic programs, by means of cycles of proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. Imbalance between nutrient intakes (qualitative and quantitative), metabolites, and the precise requirements of these processes, within spatiotemporal limits, can lead to 1) defective structural and functional development or even the absence of certain specialized cell types, leading to a “no return” situation because of irreversible processes of differentiation and organogenesis and 2) changes in homeostatic processes associated with labile and potentially reversible epigenetic modifications. The impact of unbalanced diets or nutrients in animals (rat, mouse, and sheep) on several types of sequences (genes and repeated sequences) and on epigenetic programming processes throughout an individual’s lifetime, during specific periods and with their potential transmission to the next generation, is well documented (Fig. 1) (13).

Irreversible processes of differentiation and organogenesis. Protein restriction during gestation increases the rate of pancreatic apoptosis in the offspring of rats. This leads to a smaller mass of pancreatic β-cells and disturbs development of the endocrine pancreas in the next generation (14). Similarly, a high-carbohydrate diet in neonatal rats immediately induces hyperinsulinemia, which persists into adulthood without any further nutritional stimulus. Moreover, this metabolic imprinting, once established, forms a vicious cycle because high-carbohydrate female rats spontaneously transmit the high-carbohydrate phenotype to their progeny (15).

Intriguingly, however, these examples show that the same effects may result from diametrically opposed causes. These dietary interventions, which reduce placental-fetal blood flow and stunt fetal growth, have the same consequences in the long term (16). Similarly, in children and adolescents, under- and overeating may both program the lipid metabolism and other metabolic systems of these individuals for life.

Placental insufficiency is persistently associated with epigenetic alterations in the rat. Changes in hepatic one-carbon metabolism involving the folate-methionine pathway (possibly because of dietary folate depletion) and changes in the level of DNA methylation (hypomethylation) and histone acetylation are observed in the liver (17) (Fig. 1).

In the adult, changes in methylation pattern during differentiation have been described only for a few genes. Two studies showed a strict correlation between demethylation of the leptin promoter and preadipocyte differentiation into adipocytes (18,19). However, it is clear that the same mechanisms must apply to all genes involved in development and differentiation.

Finally, the accumulation of DNA methylation errors over time, through aging (5), may add to the development of type 2 diabetes by decreasing the responsiveness of genes, the expression of which must be adjusted in response to rapidly changing glucose levels. In addition, because of their inherent lability, genetically determined epigenetic mechanisms are susceptible to environmental/nutritional influences (13).

Critical spatiotemporal windows. Changes in methylation patterns in the early embryo and during development have been studied extensively for imprinted genes but only for a few nonimprinted genes, although they necessarily apply to all genes regulated during development and differentiation. As shown for the cluster of APOA1-C3-A4 genes encoding apolipoproteins (20), in all cell types, at a given stage of development, specific patterns of expression of 10s or 100s of genes corresponding to specific programs of differentiation are more or less “locked” (18).
If there are critical spatiotemporal windows during which these programs must be completed, failure to complete these programs in time is irrecoverable (2). As suggested by two recent reports, leptin, which regulates the energetic balance through electrophysiological modulation of regulating orexigenic neurons (neuropeptide Y/agouti-related peptide) and anorexigenic neurons (pro-opiomelanocortin/cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript, /H9251-melanocyte-stimulating hormone), also has a trophic effect on some neurons of the hypothalamus involved in the response to nutrients, and it contributes to their plasticity (21,22).

Epigenomic behavioral programming. A particular epigenomic state can also be established by behavioral programming. Meaney and colleagues (23) have shown that higher levels of pup licking and grooming and arched-back nursing by rat mothers are associated with a better response to stress in adult offspring. This maternal behavior changes the epigenome of the offspring at the glucocorticoid receptor gene promoter in the hippocampus. The offspring of high–pup licking and grooming mothers display demethylation of the glucocorticoid receptor promoter, which is associated with higher levels of expression of the glucocorticoid receptor gene. These epigenetic changes in DNA methylation and histone acetylation occurred during the 1st week of life and persisted into adulthood.

However, leptin only exerts these important effects during a narrow window in postnatal development: the neonatal period before leptin becomes involved in the acute regulation of food intake in adults (22). It is thus highly likely that levels of leptin and of particular nutritional stimuli during this precise developmental period have long-term consequences because of the inappropriate epigenetic remodeling of chromatin (11).
itary-adrenal responses to stress, suggesting a causal relationship between epigenomic state, glucocorticoid receptor expression, and maternal effects on stress responses in the offspring (23).

**IMPRINTED GENES: A PLAUSIBLE SUPPORT**

For most human genes, the two alleles contribute equally to production of the gene product. In contrast, imprinted genes are monoallelically expressed (i.e., either from the paternal allele or from the maternal allele). Most imprinted genes are grouped in clusters, which may comprise reciprocally imprinted genes—some maternally and some paternally expressed—at ~15 different chromosomal sites in the human genome. To date, >70 imprinted genes have been identified of a total of 100–200 in the whole genome. These imprinted domains are regulated coordinately, via long-range mechanisms such as antisense RNA interference and methylation-sensitive boundary elements. This regulatory complexity of imprinted domains may render them particularly susceptible to environmental dysregulation via nutrition (11,24).

There is compelling evidence that DNA methylation varies between tissues, individuals, and disease conditions in humans and various animals and that it varies with aging—in which both hyper- and hypomethylation are observed—and even in some pathological situations, such as cancer and atherosclerosis (24–26). Thus, it is possible that changing circumstances over several generations recruit alleles back into the active genome, accounting for the reversibility of adaptive changes. Genomic imprinting may act as a buffering system or “rheostat” supporting adaptation to environmental conditions by silencing or increasing the expression of monoallelically expressed genes. Failure to erase these epimutations in the germline would lead to stable transgenerational effects (27–30).

Although no formal demonstration has yet been made, there is abundant evidence from genomic (or parental) imprinting studies consistent with the hypothesis that monoallelically expressed imprinted genes are the most promising candidate genes for developmental and evolutionary modifications and transgenerational effects in response to rapid changes in the nutritional environment, such as those associated with the worldwide epidemic of metabolic syndrome, obesity, and type 2 diabetes.

- Because the epigenetic marks of imprinted genes are not erased after fertilization, the acquired changes may lead to stable transgenerational effects.
- Genomic imprinting and placentalation appeared at the same time during the course of evolution of mammals (31). Placental expression has been studied for ~50% of the 70 identified imprinted genes: all imprinted genes tested were expressed in this organ (32).
- Imprinted genes in the placenta control the supply of nutrients, whereas imprinted genes in fetal compartments control nutrient demand by regulating the growth rate of the fetal tissues (31).
- Imprinted genes play an important role in the development of regions of the brain, such as the hypothalamus, that contribute to energy homeostasis regulation (28,31,33).
- The epigenetic variation transmitted by imprinted genes is also required for postnatal metabolic adaptation to feeding (34,35).
- The epigenetic liability (metastable alleles) of imprinted genes in response to nutrients may play an important role in adaptation/evolution (13,36).
- Diabetes and obesity, two of the hallmarks of metabolic syndrome, often accompany syndromes associated with changes in imprinting (37).

**Imprinted genes and feto-placental growth: nutrient supply and demand.** In the placenta, regulation of the expression of imprinted genes primarily involves the methylation of histones, which seems to be independent of DNA methylation (38). Surprisingly, the level of DNA methylation is lower in the placenta than in somatic tissues. This may account for the higher epigenetic liability of placental imprinted genes to environmental factors (Fig. 1).

Imprinted genes may play diverse roles in regulating nutrient transfer (31). First, they may affect the growth of the placenta. In keeping with sexual conflict theory, several maternally expressed genes decrease fetal and placental growth, whereas several paternally expressed genes increase it. Second, they may affect transport capacity. Several imprinted genes belong to the active amino acid transport system A, to the solute carrier family, or to the organic cation transporter family. Finally, they may regulate interactions between different cell types with fetomaternal interfaces in the placenta, or they may modulate the nutrient requirements of the fetus, mainly by controlling fetal growth.

The knockout of several imprinted genes results in the placenta being small, with paternally expressed imprinted genes, or, in placentomegaly, with maternally expressed imprinted genes (39). One of the determinants of placental size is the imprinted gene Igf2, which encodes the IGF-II protein. In mice, one of the control regions of Igf2, the P0 promoter, controls labyrinth-specific expression. Mutant fetuses in which the placenta-specific promoter for Igf2 has been knocked out display growth retardation (31,39). These mutants display lower levels of passive and higher levels of active placental transport early in development compared with the wild type (39). The IGF-II transcribed from the placenta-specific promoter (P0) regulates development of the diffusion permeability properties of the mouse placenta. Thus, modified placental IGF-II may cause idiopathic intrauterine growth retardation in humans (40).

**Imprinted genes and postnatal growth.** Imprinted genes also play a key role in postnatal development. The two examples below, concerning the guanine nucleotide-binding protein Gα subunit (GNAS) complex and two paternally imprinted genes, Peg1 and Peg3, illustrate how mice models can help to unravel the manifestations of gene knockout, according to the parent of origin of the mutant allele and the age (newborn or adult) and sex of the recipient.

The GNAS complex is an imprinted domain encoding the stimulatory G-protein α-subunit (Gαs), which interacts with adenylate cyclase, and several other transcripts expressed in a tissue-specific manner from the maternal allele (gonads, pituitary gland, thyroid, kidney proximal...
tubule, and adipose tissue) or from the paternal allele (brown adipose tissue).

Maternal and paternal transmission of Gnas knockout produce opposite effects on energy metabolism. The loss of paternal function is associated with a decrease in adiposity, hypermetabolic function, hypoglycemia, a decrease in locomotor activity, and resistance to parathyroid hormone, whereas the loss of maternal function is associated with greater adiposity. This animal model was the first in which a single genetic defect was shown to lead to opposite effects on energy metabolism, depending on the parental allele expressed (34).

Mice with mutations in a paternally expressed gene, Gnasxl (which encodes the unusual G_{a},isoform XLα), have low postnatal growth and survival rates. They also display a spectrum of phenotypic effects indicating that XLα controls a number of key postnatal physiological adaptations, including poor suckling, low blood glucose, insulin, and glucagon levels; and altered energy homeostasis (34). Brown adipose tissue shows a lack of lipid vesicles and impaired temperature regulation. In the brain, areas expressing XLα are important in controlling states of alertness, wakefulness, and sleep. Finally, in relationship with low levels of sucking, Gnasxl is expressed in all three nuclei providing motor innervation to orofacial muscles. The GNAS complex therefore plays a crucial role in postnatal adaptation to feeding (34).

Two other paternally expressed imprinted genes, Peg3 and Peg1, provide a remarkable example of the synchronization of nutrient supply and demand. Gene knockout experiments suggest that epigenetic modifications may alter the phenotype of both the mother and the pups according to food availability. The synchronization of these coadaptive traits in mother and offspring by the same paternally expressed imprinted genes ensures that offspring receiving “good” maternal nurturing will themselves be both well provisioned and genetically predisposed toward “good” mothering (35).

If the fetus carries a mutation of the paternal allele of Peg3, the placenta is small, and fetal growth, sucking, postnatal growth, body temperature, age at weaning, and onset of puberty are all affected. If the same mutation of Peg3 is carried by the mother, it results in impaired maternal reproductive success, a decrease in maternal care and food intake during pregnancy, and an increase in the likelihood that insufficient milk will be produced. The consequences for the offspring are poor postnatal growth and delays in weaning and in the onset of puberty (35). A lack of maternal care is also observed with the Peg1 knockout. Mice generally eat the placenta after giving birth. However, mother mice lacking Peg1 display behavioral quirks in that they do not eat the placenta and fail to take care of their pups (41).

These two paternally expressed imprinted genes, Mest/Peg1 and Peg3, are strongly expressed in the regions in which androgenic cells accumulate: the hypothalamus, the preoptic area, and the septum. Given the key role played by hypothalamic neurons in the regulation of energy homeostasis (hunger/satiety, intake of food/fasting), these genes may play a role in neuronal programming mechanisms.

Interestingly, these two paternally expressed genes also play a role in adult adipose tissue growth. Oligonucleotide microarray analyses showed that Peg1 and Peg3 are upregulated in the adipose tissue of mice in a model of diet-induced obesity (42). In another study, the overexpression of Peg1 appeared to enlarge adipocytes, suggesting that Peg1 mRNA levels may be a novel marker of adipocyte size (43) (Fig. 1).

However, formal demonstration of the involvement of imprinted genes in adaptation will require a detailed analysis of epigenetic patterns in strategic areas of imprinted complexes. Increases (or decreases) in expression of an imprinted gene may be caused by variations in the expression of the monoallelically expressed allele or to a loss of imprinting, with profile changes in differential methylation regions and the expression or silencing of the two alleles. This should allow us to discriminate between these two possibilities and show whether these altered imprinted states are transmitted to subsequent generations and could play a role in adaptation.

CIRCADIAN EPIGENETIC PROGRAMMING THROUGHOUT LIFE AND AGING

Over the circadian cycle, the expression of genes is modulated by molecular signals from circadian rhythms, by nutritional signals from meals, and through the overall diversity of environmental stimuli. The accompanying epigenetic alterations are unstable and reversible in everyday life: they are necessarily transient, giving rise to a “circadian nutritional epiphenotype.”

However, epigenetic alterations are not always reversible. Untargeted stochastic DNA methylation errors, such as hypomethylation associated with low levels of the main physiological donor of methyl groups, S-adenosylmethionine, have been shown to accumulate with increasing age, possibly accounting for the higher incidence of metabolic syndrome elements in older individuals (5). Moreover, the metabolism of methyl groups may be affected by diet, body weight, and environmental factors, leading to untargeted general hypomethylation of DNA in diabetic patients (44,45). A general defect in DNA methylation in type 2 diabetes is suggested by the recent observation that S-adenosylmethionine decreases in concentration in the erythrocytes of diabetic patients (44).

Genomic hypomethylation has been observed not only in cancers but also in advanced human atherosclerotic lesions, in the lesions of Apoe^{-/-} mice, and in rabbit aortas. In mice, recent studies have clearly demonstrated that aberrant epigenetic patterns are detectable in the genetically atherosclerosis-prone Apoe-null (Apoe^{-/-}) mouse well before the appearance of histologically detectable vascular lesions. Moreover, these alterations may be triggered by an atherogenic diet in mice and in humans (46,47).

As in cancer, regional hypermethylation has also been shown to occur in atherosclerosis. The estrogen receptor-α gene (ER-α) has been shown to display higher levels of methylation in atheromas than in normal aorta and in smooth muscle cells in vitro during the phenotypic switch (48).

Thus, early epigenetic alterations have been described in atherosclerosis, animals fed atherogenic fat-rich diets, type 2 diabetes, and aging (5,44,47,49,50). However, the epigenetic alterations underlying metabolic syndrome
have yet to be explored. Description of the epigenetic effects of various conditions and periods has only just begun (3–5,51).

TRANSGENERATIONAL EFFECTS AND ADAPTATION

Although it has long been thought that the epigenetic slate is wiped clean in the embryo shortly after fertilization (with the exception of imprinted genes), there are now many examples in mammals of clear transgenerational effects after nutritional intervention (protein restriction or carbohydrate-rich diet) or behavioral programming (maternal care). Similar epidemiological data have been obtained for humans, from a Swedish cohort (Fig. 1). A grandfather who was “well-nourished” before puberty may transmit a four times higher than normal risk of type 2 diabetes to his grandchildren (9,15,23,52–56).

What type of sequence could be the epigenetic support of such alterations transmitted without erasure to subsequent generations? Except for a brief period of global demethylation in the early stages of mammalian embryonic development, transposons are normally silenced by promoter CpG methylation (57) (Fig. 1). Retronposons are thought to be maintained in a predominantly methylated state to prevent retrotransposition events that might lead to deleterious mutations and cancer. However, transposons, such as the intracisternal A particle (IAP) retrotransposon, that escape this epigenetic silencing may interfere with the expression of neighboring genes in several ways (36,58). Thus, both transposons and imprinted genes are good candidate supports (13).

The first two examples of transgenerational effects in mice that are explained by epigenetic modifications concern the A\textsuperscript{VY} and AxinFu loci. Both of these loci display expression affected by the metastable epialleles of the IAP retrotransposon. The A\textsuperscript{VY} allele was generated by insertion of the IAP retrotransposon into the 5’ end of the A allele (13,36). Methylation at the CpG dinucleotide of the transposable IAP sequence in the agouti region varies considerably in AVY mice (13,36). This epigenetic variability results in considerable interindividual variation in coat color, adiposity, glucose tolerance, and tumor susceptibility in isogenic A\textsuperscript{VYa} littersmates. The proportion of pups with a phenotype corresponding to a methylated IAP sequence on the mother’s own phenotype and therefore on the level of methylation of the mother’s own IAP sequence at the A\textsuperscript{VY} locus. The variable phenotypes of the offspring result from incomplete elimination of the epigenetic modification when allele A is transmitted via the maternal germ line (59,60). However, recent experiments have shown that maternal or paternal epigenetic inheritance is influenced by strain background (36).

Dietary supplementation with a methyl donor during pregnancy increases the proportion of pups carrying a methylated IAP sequence (13,36). Nutrition probably exerts its effects on methylation early in embryonic development, and these effects concern all tissues. Waterland and Jirtle (60) also showed that coat color phenotype and A\textsuperscript{VY} methylation pattern persisted into adulthood. Consistent with the idea of transgenerational epigenetic inheritance, the methylation status of the axin-fused (Axin\textsuperscript{Fu}) allele in mature sperm reflects the methylation status of the allele in the somatic tissue, suggesting that this locus is not subject to epigenetic reprogramming during gametogenesis (36) (Fig. 1).

Sequencing of the human genome has shown that transposons, which comprise roughly 35–40% of the genome, are found in ~4% of human genes (61). It would be interesting to determine whether these genes are involved in energy homeostasis regulation. However, there are currently no examples of human transposable elements similar to murine IAP sequences that are able to resist demethylation during preimplantation (58).

CONCLUSIONS

Whatever the genes or sequences involved, deciphering the epigenetic patterns at stake should allow us to evaluate their potential reversibility. Once the specific epigenetic patterns corresponding to “labile” and “locked” situations are identified, these patterns should be useful for diagnosis and prognosis. They may also represent new types of target for the development of novel diets and drugs to prevent or to abolish aberrant gene silencing, which may be involved in resistance to treatment (weight loss or weight regain) (12,62,63).

However, several important questions must be resolved if inhibitors/activators of the epigenetic codes and machinery or other molecules are to be used in metabolic syndrome patients. First, will the changes produced be toxic to normal nontarget cells? The chronic administration of DNMT inhibitors to rats is associated with decreases in sperm counts and fertility, increases in preimplantation loss, and decreases in sperm DNA methylation. These new drugs may therefore have adverse effects on human germ cells (64). Second, do such therapeutic strategies for remedying the abnormal epigenetic state need to be specific for epigenetically altered genes? (65). This issue has already been addressed by the engineering of gene-specific molecules that can reactivate specific genes (66,67). Third, could drugs that have more global effects be more effective? Finally, will the effects of molecules such as antisense RNA or specific constructs (fusion constructs) be limited by the efficiency of their delivery in vivo? (23).
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