Short-term overfeeding may induce peripheral insulin resistance without altering subcutaneous adipose tissue macrophages in humans
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Objective: Chronic low-grade inflammation is a feature of obesity, and is postulated to be causal in the development of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. The aim of this study was to assess whether overfeeding induces peripheral insulin resistance in lean and overweight humans, and if so, whether it is associated with increased systemic and adipose tissue inflammation.

Research design and methods: 36 healthy individuals undertook 28 days of overfeeding by +1250kcal/day (45% fat). Weight, body composition (DXA), insulin sensitivity (hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp), serum and gene expression of inflammation markers, immune cell activation, fat cell size, macrophage and T cell numbers in abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue (flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry) were assessed at baseline and after 28 days.

Results: Subjects gained 2.7 ± 1.6 kg (P<0.001) and increased fat mass by 1.1 ± 1.6% (P<0.001). Insulin sensitivity (GIR/FFM) decreased by 11% from 54.6±18.7 to 48.9±15.7 µmol/kgFFM/min (P=0.01). There was a significant increase in circulating CRP (P=0.002) and MCP-1 (P=0.01), but no change in IL-6 and ICAM-1. There were no changes in fat cell size, the number of adipose tissue macrophages or T cells, or inflammatory gene expression and no change in circulating immune cell number or expression of their surface activation markers after overfeeding.

Conclusions: Weight gain-induced insulin resistance was observed in the absence of a significant inflammatory state, suggesting that inflammation in subcutaneous adipose tissue occurs subsequent to peripheral insulin resistance in humans.

Chronic low-level inflammation may be a pivotal link between obesity, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes (1). Studies performed in mouse models of obesity and in humans have shown increases in circulating pro-inflammatory mediators including C-reactive protein (CRP), monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) (2-4). Moreover, obesity is associated with increased macrophage accumulation in adipose tissue with the majority of macrophages being located in crown-like structures, where aggregates of macrophages surround dead adipocytes (5). Importantly, cytokines derived from adipose tissue macrophages inhibit the insulin signalling cascade, and can contribute to systemic insulin resistance in humans (6-8). However, whether adipose tissue macrophage accumulation causes insulin resistance in obese humans remains unclear. Obesity is associated not only with an increase in the total number of macrophages in adipose tissue (9), but also a change in their activation state, from the alternative M2 to classical M1 phenotype. M1 macrophages are typically pro-inflammatory and release tumour necrosis factor (TNF), IL-6 and MCP-1, whereas M2 macrophages express an anti-inflammatory gene profile, characterised by higher expression of CD206 and IL-10 (10). Recent data has
shown that M1 phenotype macrophages are >4-fold increased in subcutaneous adipose tissue from morbidly obese individuals as compared to lean individuals, with surgery-induced weight loss resulting in a 2-fold decrease in the M1/M2 ratio due to a concomitant decrease in M1 and increase in M2 macrophages (11). Importantly, both the number of M1 macrophages and the M1/M2 ratio have been shown to correlate with whole body insulin sensitivity (12). There have been no studies examining the effects of moderate weight gain on M1/M2 phenotype in humans.

T cells, with their activation and hyperpolarisation of T cells into a pro-inflammatory T helper 1 phenotype, have an important role in initiating and perpetuating adipose tissue inflammation (13-16). In mouse models of obesity, T cell infiltration in adipose tissue is observed at 5 weeks after high fat diet (HFD) in conjunction with the induction of insulin resistance (14). Despite the evidence linking T cell infiltration to adipose tissue in animal models of obesity, there have been no studies examining T cells in adipose tissue during overfeeding in humans. Furthermore, there is no data to clarify whether circulating immune cell activation is an early change contributing to further chronic inflammation and development of insulin resistance, or whether insulin resistance precedes immune activation.

The aims of this study were to investigate 1) whether short term high fat overfeeding and modest weight gain affects insulin sensitivity and systemic low grade inflammation, immune cell counts and activation, and inflammatory gene expression and 2) the relationships between these variables. Our data suggest that modest weight gain and peripheral insulin resistance induced by overfeeding occurs prior to subcutaneous adipose tissue macrophage infiltration and induction of a significant inflammatory state in humans.

METHODS

Subjects and Study Design. The study design and protocol is described in detail elsewhere (17). Briefly, healthy normolipidemic, non-diabetic individuals completed 28-days of an overfeeding protocol outlined below. The cohort consisted of 19 females (5 postmenopausal) and 17 males, mean age 37 years (21-59 years); 16 subjects reported a family history of type 2 diabetes and 20 had no diabetic relatives. Subjects were not taking any medications. All subjects signed informed consent prior to starting the study. This study was approved by the Human Research and Ethics Committee from St Vincent’s Hospital and is registered as a Clinical Trial at clinicaltrials.gov, registration number NCT00562393.

Complete 3-day food intakes were provided before metabolic testing visits at baseline and study end. At baseline, this was at calculated energy requirements (17), with a nutrient composition of 30% fat, 15% protein and 55% carbohydrate. During overfeeding this was at 1250 kcal/day above baseline energy requirements with a nutrient composition of 45% fat, 15% protein and 40% carbohydrate. During the rest of the overfeeding phase (days 3 - 25), subjects consumed their regular diets and were provided with high fat snacks (+1250 kcal) (17). Average reported energy intake during this phase increased from 2250 ± 480 to 3250 ± 480 kcal/d and the contribution of fat from 35 ± 7 at baseline to 46 ± 4%. Weight gain and compliance
were monitored weekly by the research nurse and dietitian.

**Metabolic Testing at Baseline and after 28 Days of Overfeeding.** All metabolic tests were conducted at the Clinical Research Facility at the Garvan Institute of Medical Research after a 12h overnight fast. Weight was measured in a hospital gown after voiding. Height, blood pressure, waist and hip circumference were also measured. Fasting blood samples were drawn and insulin sensitivity was assessed by a 2-h hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp (60 mU/m² body surface*min⁻¹), as previously described (18). Steady state glucose infusion rate (GIR) between 90 and 120 min was averaged and normalised for the fat free mass (FFM). Body composition was measured by Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (Lunar DPX GELunar, Lunar Corp., Madison, WI). Liver fat content was measured by computed tomography as previously described (17). A needle biopsy of peri-umbilical subcutaneous adipose tissue was performed immediately prior to the clamp (19;20), to obtain approximately 300mg of tissue which was processed as described below. The same procedures were repeated on day 28. An additional blood sample for CRP was taken at 3 days of overfeeding.

**Immunohistochemistry and fat cell size.** The biopsies were processed as previously described (9;21). We used antibodies targeted to macrophages (HAM56; Dako Cytomation, Trappes, France), M1 (CD40; R&D-Systems, Mineapolis, USA) and M2 phenotype macrophages (CD206; R&D-Systems, Mineapolis, USA) and T lymphocytes (CD3; Neomarker Microm, Francheville France) (n=33). Immunohistochemical detection was performed with the avidin-biotin-peroxidase method and slides were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin. Positively labelled cells were identified by careful visual examination of the slides with increasing grades of magnification and were counted in ten randomly chosen areas at x40 magnification. The number of positively labelled cells was expressed as a percentage per 100 adipocytes (ie. number of positive stained cells/ number of adipocytes X 100). In addition, adipocyte diameter measurement was performed blindly and for at least 2 fields of view. The mean diameter was calculated from an average of 400 cells per sample (17).

**Immune cell preparation and flow cytometry analysis.** Using standard procedure, fresh whole blood was stained with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies to various cell surface markers purchased from BD Biosciences (San Diego, CA) in a subset of 24 individuals pre- and post intervention. All analyses were performed on a BD FACS Calibur™ (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) with an excitation laser line Argon (488nm) and Red diode (635nm), and running CellQuest software (version 3.3 from BD Biosciences). Data Analysis software FlowJo version 7 from TreeStar Inc was used. For comparative quantification of surface activation marker expression, the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the marker was divided by the MFI of the unstained control to give relative MFI (rMFI). For quantification of Th1/Th2 cells we used intracellular cytokine staining for the key cytokines interferon-gamma (for Th1) and IL-4 (for Th2) (BD Bioscience Pharmingen, San Diego, CA). Briefly, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were activated with PMA (160ng/ml) and Ionomycin (1000ng/ml) for 4 hours at 37°C in the presence of GolgiPlug™ (BD Bioscience, San Diego, CA), allowing the identification of T-helper
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Cell subsets. After surface staining for CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\), cells were permeabilized using BD Cytofix/Cytoperm\textsuperscript{TM} reagents (BD Bioscience Pharmingen, San Diego, CA), stained for intracellular cytokines and analysed immediately by flow cytometry.

**Flow cytometry of adipose tissue stromovascular fraction (SVF).** Fresh periumbilical subcutaneous adipose tissue (~150mg) was placed in a pre-warmed buffer (10mM CaCl\(_2\), 6mM Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 125mM HEPES, 12mM MgSO\(_4\), 4mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 1.2M NaCl, 60mM KCl, 3g BSA and 0.09g D-glucose in 100ml H\(_2\)O, pH 7.4, 37°C) and digested with collagenase type IV at 37°C. SVF was separated from mature adipocytes by centrifugation (1200 rpm), washed twice with ice-cold PBS and stained to quantify cell subsets and activation markers (22) by flow cytometry. Pre-adipocytes/CD34\(^+\) progenitor cells were identified by staining for stem cell marker CD34 and by excluding CD31\(^+\)/endothelial cells. Macrophages were detected by CD14\(^+\) staining. Results are expressed as a percentage of all viable cells, and CD11b expression on macrophages by rMFI.

**RNA extraction and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction.** Total RNA was extracted from 100-150mg adipose tissue using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The integrity and concentration of RNA was assessed by spectrophotometry (Nanodrop, 2000, Thermoline). cDNA was synthesised using Omniscript RT kit (Qiagen, GmbH, Germany) and Recombinant RNAsin ribonuclease inhibitor (Promega, Madison, WI) according to kit instructions. For RT-PCR analyses, we chose a range of macrophage inflammation related genes and used gene-specific primer probes from Taqman (CD68, CD11c, CD206, MCP-1, IL-10, CD40 and Arginase 1) and Taqman universal PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany). The samples were run in duplicate on an ABI Prism 7900 system (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) with internal negative controls and a standard curve. The CT value for each sample was normalized to the CT value of β-actin, which was not different between day 0 and 28. CD40 and Arginase 1 expression were below detection.

**Biochemical variables.** Plasma glucose was determined by the glucose oxidase method (Glucose analyzer 2300 STAT PLUS 230V, YSI, Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA). Serum insulin was measured by radioimmunoassay (Linco, St. Charles, MO, USA). Serum total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides were determined spectrophotometrically at 490 nm by enzymatic colorimetry (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). High sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) was measured using a Beckman Coulter Synchron LX system Chemistry Analyser, with reagents and calibrators supplied by Beckman Coulter Inc. (Sydney, Australia). CV was 3.7% at a level of 4.9 mg/L, the sensitivity was 0.2 mg/L. IL-6, MCP-1 and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule (sICAM)-1 were measured using commercial high sensitivity ELISA’s (R&D Systems, Minneapolis MN, USA). The observed CV’s were 7%, 10%, 10%, respectively.

**Statistical analysis.** Analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 15.0. Since no differences were detected for any markers of inflammation at baseline or in response to overfeeding between individuals with and without a family history of diabetes, these groups were
combined for all analysis. Comparisons between time-points were performed using the paired t-test (for normally distributed data) and the non-parametric Sign test (for skewed data). Correlations between variables were expressed as Pearson’s or Spearman’s correlation coefficients. P<0.05 was considered significant and data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise stated.

RESULTS

Clinical Measures. Anthropometric and metabolic measures of the 36 participants studied at baseline and after 28 days of overfeeding are summarized in Table 1. Weight gain was significant, with an increase of 2.7 ± 1.6 kg (+3.6%, P<0.001). Similarly, BMI, waist circumference, % body fat and liver fat were significantly increased (all p<0.001). Fat cell size (FCS) did not change. Fasting insulin and glucose increased (P<0.01) and insulin sensitivity decreased by 11% (P=0.01).

Serum Inflammation Markers. In response to 28 days overfeeding, we observed significant increases in CRP (P=0.003) and MCP-1 (P=0.005), but no change in IL-6 or sICAM-1 (Figure 1). CRP levels showed a similar increase after 3 days (P=0.008, data not shown). At baseline, CRP correlated with BMI, %fat and FCS (all r>0.6, P<0.001) and IL-6 correlated positively with % fat mass and increased liver fat (both r=0.4, P=0.04), but neither inflammatory marker correlated with baseline GIR/FFM. No associations were seen between levels of MCP-1 or sICAM-1 and any measure of adiposity, although MCP-1 levels were negatively correlated with GIR/FFM (r=-0.38, P=0.03). At baseline, MCP-1 levels were correlated with the number of HAM56 labelled cells (r=0.38, P=0.04) and IL-6 levels were associated with increased liver fat (r=-0.41, P=0.02). There were no relationships between changes in markers of inflammation and adiposity or insulin sensitivity in response to overfeeding.

Subcutaneous Adipose Tissue Gene Expression. Macrophage and inflammation related genes, CD68, CD40, CD206, CD11c, MCP-1 and IL-10 were examined. We found no significant differences between baseline and 28 days after overfeeding for any genes measured (data not shown). Furthermore, there were no baseline or change from baseline associations between gene expression and measures of adiposity and insulin sensitivity.

Immunohistochemistry. HAM56 labelled macrophages were mostly dispersed in the parenchyma and few crown-like structures were seen at baseline or 28 days after overfeeding (Figure 2). As in a previous study (11), CD40 and CD206 were used as markers of M1 and M2 macrophages, respectively. We found a trend towards a higher M1/M2 ratio at 28 days of overfeeding (P=0.05), although there were no statistical differences in the absolute numbers of CD40 (P=0.36) and CD206 (P=0.42) labelled cells (Figure 2). There was little evidence of CD3 labelled T cells at baseline or 28 days post overfeeding, with CD3+ cells only observed in 2/66 slides. At baseline, CD40 was related to liver fat (r=0.4, P=0.03), but no other markers of adiposity. There were no other associations between macrophage markers and adiposity or insulin resistance at baseline or in response to overfeeding (data not shown).

Stromovascular Fraction of Adipose Tissue. The cellular content of the stromovascular fraction of peri-umbilical subcutaneous adipose tissue was investigated by flow cytometry. The
number of macrophages, pre-adipocytes and endothelial cells were unchanged after 28 days overfeeding (Figure 3). Similarly, CD11b (MAC-1) expression on macrophages did not change. At baseline, the number of macrophages in subcutaneous adipose tissue was significantly correlated with central fat mass (r=0.36, P=0.02), liver fat (r=0.53, P=0.002) and insulin resistance (r=-0.37, P<0.001). Also, CD11b expression on macrophages correlated positively with MCP-1 levels at baseline (r=0.48, P=0.01).

**Systemic Changes in Immune Cell Activation and T-Cell Hyperpolarization.** Circulating immune cells were also analyzed by flow cytometry at both visits, with a focus on cell numbers of major immune cell subsets, and on expression of cell surface activation markers on different subsets. There was no change in relative cell number of neutrophils, monocytes and lymphocytes after 28 days overfeeding (Figure 4). Similarly, numbers of CD4+ T helper cells, including the specific phenotypes type 1 (Th1) and type 2 (Th2), as well as CD8+ cytotoxic T cells did not change over the 28 days overfeeding period. Furthermore, expression of activation markers CD66b, CD62L and CD11b on neutrophils and monocytes, as well as CD69, CD62L and CD25 on T cells were not changed by overfeeding (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Obesity and type 2 diabetes are characterized by the co-existence of insulin resistance and chronic low-grade inflammation (1). However, it is controversial whether insulin resistance is a cause or consequence of such ‘inflammation’. Our study demonstrates that overfeeding for 28 days in healthy humans results in moderate weight gain, with significant increases in total and abdominal fat mass and liver fat deposition. In parallel, we observed increases in fasting insulin and an 11% decrease in peripheral insulin sensitivity by hyperinsulinemic clamp. Importantly, this study is the first to show that peripheral insulin resistance occurred without substantial changes in inflammatory markers in the circulation or within subcutaneous adipose tissue in humans as demonstrated using flow cytometry, immunohistochemistry and gene expression. Our data therefore suggest that low-grade systemic and subcutaneous adipose tissue inflammation, macrophage infiltration and immune activation occurs secondary to weight gain and peripheral insulin resistance in humans. Interpretation of these findings is limited to subcutaneous adipose tissue. The dynamics of the inflammatory response in other fat depots, particularly visceral adipose tissue cannot be addressed in this study. Inflammation has been implicated as a primary factor in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes (3;8). This is supported by studies showing associations between elevated inflammatory markers and indices of insulin resistance in obese animals and humans (23-25) and in vitro studies showing that addition of inflammatory cytokines inhibits the insulin signalling cascade (26). Moreover, studies in rodents have shown there is a rapid induction of inflammatory gene expression in adipose tissue following HFD (27). Interestingly Xu et al. also observed significant elevations in MCP-1 gene expression after 3 weeks of HFD, but did not detect changes in macrophage specific markers (F4/80 or CD68) until 16-weeks of HFD (28).
Strissel et al. also performed an elegant temporal investigation of macrophage infiltration and adipocyte death at 1, 4, 8, 16 and 20-weeks. They observed that adipocyte hypertrophy preceded adipocyte death and macrophage infiltration, which was significantly elevated 8-weeks after initiation of HFD as assessed by inflammatory gene expression and F4/80 staining. This increase coincided with impaired responsiveness to insulin tolerance test, suggesting a link between macrophage infiltration of adipose tissue and induction of peripheral insulin resistance. However, the gold standard measure of insulin sensitivity, the hyperinsulinemic clamp was not performed in this study and insulin resistance measured by clamp or by 2-deoxyglucose uptake is impaired as early as 3-weeks following initiation of HFD in rodents (29;30).

Few studies have prospectively examined the effects of overfeeding on adipose tissue macrophage infiltration in humans. In the current study, we detected no changes in subcutaneous adipose tissue macrophages by gene expression or by histology after 28-days of overfeeding with the exception of increased CD40/CD206 ratio, but without significant changes in the absolute numbers of CD40 and CD206 labelled cells and no change in circulating immune cells. It is possible that the single time-point chosen here was inappropriate to detect substantial changes in macrophage infiltration. Regardless, 28-days of overfeeding induced peripheral insulin resistance, supporting the dissociation between macrophage infiltration and induction of insulin resistance in humans. Interestingly, mouse studies have shown that prevention of inflammation by macrophage specific knockout of JNK or IKKβ only provides partial protection from diet induced insulin resistance; that is the knockouts are more insulin sensitive as compared to wild types on high fat diet, but they are still more insulin resistant as compared to chow fed animals (31;32). In humans, future studies to definitively answer whether insulin resistance precedes macrophage infiltration could involve more sampling time-points, or be performed with anti-inflammatory agents to determine whether inhibition of the inflammatory cascade prevents insulin resistance during overfeeding in humans. CRP, a validated inflammatory marker secreted by the liver, is highly correlated with adiposity and rapidly reduced by weight loss (33). We observed significantly increased serum CRP at 3 and 28 days of overfeeding and speculate that this could be due to the increase in liver fat following overfeeding. Associations have previously been reported between CRP and NAFLD (34-36), although we did not observe a correlation between change in CRP and change in liver fat. Interestingly, serum MCP-1 was also increased after 28-days overfeeding, despite no detectable changes in subcutaneous adipose tissue MCP-1 expression, or HAM56+ macrophages, suggesting another source of MCP-1 (37;38). MCP-1 is involved in the recruitment of macrophages into adipose tissue (39) and transgenic mice overexpressing MCP-1 exhibit insulin resistance (39). CCR2 knockout mice have improved insulin sensitivity, reduced macrophage content and reduced inflammatory profile in adipose tissue while on a HFD (40). Previous studies have shown that adipose MCP-1 mRNA levels increased within 2 to 7 days of HFD in mice, with an elevation in plasma MCP-1 detected after 4 weeks (27). Thus, we postulate that the early increase in circulating MCP-1 in this study may play a
role in later recruitment of macrophages into adipose tissue. Recent studies have suggested that adipose tissue infiltration by T lymphocytes is a primary event leading to subsequent recruitment of macrophages (13-15). Kintscher et al demonstrated development of glucose intolerance and T cell infiltration after 3 weeks of high fat feeding, but did not observe any changes in adipose tissue macrophage infiltration until 8 weeks of HFD, where there was significant weight gain in mice. Together with other animal studies (13;15), these results suggest that glucose intolerance and T cell activation may be primary events preceding macrophage infiltration, and that macrophages only contribute later to insulin resistance by increased secretion of cytokines. In the current study, we saw little evidence of T cell accumulation in subcutaneous adipose tissue. Future overfeeding studies in overweight to morbidly obese cohorts will be important for elucidating immune cell dynamics in adipose tissue in humans. In conclusion, moderate weight gain after 28 days of overfeeding in healthy humans resulted in significantly increased body fatness, peripheral insulin resistance and increased circulating CRP and MCP-1, without changes in subcutaneous adipose tissue immune cell histology or inflammatory gene expression. We hypothesised that adipose tissue infiltration by macrophages is dynamic and should occur at the time of fat deposition, if they are causative in insulin resistance. However, we detected no increase in the proportion of subcutaneous adipose tissue macrophages despite significant fat deposition and insulin resistance. These combined findings support the hypothesis that weight gain-induced insulin resistance from short-term overfeeding is an early metabolic defect preceding immune activation and macrophage recruitment into subcutaneous adipose tissue in humans.
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Figure Legends:

**Figure 1. Serum levels of inflammatory markers.** (A) CRP, (B) MCP-1, (C) sICAM-1 and (D) IL-6 levels at baseline (black bars) and 28 days after overfeeding (open bars). Data presented as mean ± SEM. * P<0.05

**Figure 2.** Immunohistochemistry staining of A) Macrophage specific marker HAM56), M1 marker (CD40) and M2 marker (CD206) phenotype macrophages in subcutaneous adipose tissue. Pictures are taken from paired representative slides and positive cells are marked with a black arrow. (B) Change in HAM56, CD40, CD206 positive cells from baseline in response to 28-days of overfeeding.

**Figure 3: Analysis of stromovascular fraction of subcutaneous adipose tissue.** Relative numbers of endothelial cells (CD34+/CD31+), pre-adipocytes/CD34+ precursor cells (CD34+/CD31-), macrophages (CD14+) and expression of activation marker CD11b on macrophages, shown as relative mean fluorescence intensity (rMFI) at baseline (black bars) and after 28 days of overfeeding (open bars). Data presented as mean ± SEM.

**Figure 4. Circulating immune cell numbers.** Relative cell numbers of circulating immune cell subsets, expressed as a percentage of total white blood cells [Granulocytes (G), Monocytes (M), Lymphocytes (L) or T-cells (T)] or as a percentage of T lymphocytes (CD4, CD8) or as a percentage of CD4+ T cells (T-helper cells type 1 (Th1) and type 2 (Th2)) at baseline (black bars) and after 28 days of overfeeding (open bars). Data presented as mean ± SEM.

REFERENCES

Overfeeding and inflammation in humans


macrophages in omental adipose tissue is associated with marked hepatic lesions in morbid human obesity. Diabetes 55:1554-1561, 2006
Nakajima,A: High-sensitivity C-reactive protein is an independent clinical feature of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and also of the severity of fibrosis in NASH. J Gastroenterol 42:573-582, 2007

Table 1: Anthropometric and metabolic measures of 36 subjects at baseline and after 28 days of overfeeding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 28</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>75.9 ± 12.3</td>
<td>78.6 ± 12.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>26.9 ± 3.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>88.9 ± 10.8</td>
<td>91.4 ± 11.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Mass (%)</td>
<td>35.8 ± 8.8</td>
<td>36.9 ± 8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Cell Size (µM)</td>
<td>59.2 ± 6.6</td>
<td>58.3 ± 6.5</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver fat (Hu)</td>
<td>54.9 ± 11.6</td>
<td>52.6 ± 11.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal Fat (kg)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting Insulin (mU/ml)</td>
<td>9.9 ± 3.3</td>
<td>11.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIR/FFM (µmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>54.9 ± 18.7</td>
<td>48.9 ± 15.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.
Abbreviations: WHR, waist-to-hip ratio; BP, blood pressure; GIR/FFM, glucose infusion rate/ fat free mass.
Table 2: Cell surface expression of activation markers on distinct immune cell subsets at baseline and after 28 days overfeeding in a subset of 24 subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activation Marker (Relative Mean Fluorescence Index)</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 28</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils CD66b</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils CD62L</td>
<td>41.4 ± 5.6</td>
<td>42.3 ± 6.6</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils CD11b</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes CD66b</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes CD62L</td>
<td>55.2 ± 11.9</td>
<td>61.9 ± 10.4</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes CD11b</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cells CD69</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cells CD62L</td>
<td>30.0 ± 5.7</td>
<td>35.5 ± 6.2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cells CD25</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Degranulation marker CD66b, adhesion molecule CD62L, adhesion molecule CD11b, interleukin-2 receptor (CD25), activation marker CD69 and adhesion molecule CD62L.
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