Lipid induced insulin resistance affects women less than men and is not accompanied by inflammation or impaired proximal insulin signaling
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**Objective:** We have previously shown that overnight fasted women have higher insulin stimulated whole body and leg glucose uptake despite a higher intramyocellular triacylglycerol concentration than men. Women also express higher muscle mRNA levels of proteins related to lipid metabolism than men. We therefore hypothesized that women would be less prone to lipid induced insulin resistance.

**Research and design methods:** Insulin sensitivity of whole body and leg glucose disposal was studied in 16 young well matched healthy men and women infused with intralipid or saline for 7h. Muscle biopsies were obtained before and during a euglycemic hyperinsulinemic (1.42 mU·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\)) clamp.

**Results:** Intralipid infusion reduced whole body glucose infusion rate 26% in women and 38% in men \((p<0.05)\) and insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake was reduced significantly less in women (45%) than men (60%) after intralipid infusion. Hepatic glucose production was decreased during the clamp similarly in women and men irrespective of intralipid infusion. Intralipid did not impair insulin or AMPK signaling in muscle and subcutaneous fat, did not cause accumulation of muscle lipid intermediates, and did not impair insulin stimulated glycogen synthase activity in muscle or increase plasma concentrations of inflammatory cytokines. In vitro glucose transport in giant sarcolemmal vesicles was not decreased by acute exposure to fatty acids. Leg lactate release was increased and respiratory exchange ratio was decreased by intralipid.

**Conclusion:** Intralipid infusion causes less insulin resistance of muscle glucose uptake in women than in men. This insulin resistance is not due to decreased canonical insulin signaling, accumulation of lipid intermediates, inflammation or direct inhibition of glucose transporter activity. A higher leg lactate release and lower glucose oxidation with intralipid infusion may rather suggest a metabolic feed back regulation of glucose metabolism.

Whole body insulin resistance plays a major role in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes and has generally been related to high plasma concentrations of lipids. Intralipid infusion increases plasma lipid concentrations and has been used as a model to investigate lipid induced insulin resistance in rodents (1;2) and humans (3-5). The studies uniformly demonstrate that intralipid infusion reduces whole body insulin sensitivity markedly within 3-4h. Various mechanisms have been suggested to explain this phenomenon, including lipid induced interaction with proximal insulin signaling capacity, accumulation of lipid intermediates and inflammation (5). The classical lipid induced inhibition of the insulin signaling pathway has, however, been challenged in recent studies where IRS-1 tyrosine phosphorylation, IRS-1 associated phosphoinositide (PI) 3-kinase activity, Akt and AS160 phosphorylation were unaltered after 2-6h intralipid infusion in rats (1), and in lean (6) and obese (7) men. Only one of the human studies included women and in that study a matching of sexes with respect to important matching criteria \(i.e.\) aerobic fitness levels) was not performed (8). In a rodent study, 2 h of intralipid infusion reduced insulin stimulated whole body glucose uptake in male rats, but not in females rats (9) and in accordance,
phosphorylation of IRS-1 and PI3-kinase activity were reduced only in male rats (9). We have previously reported that women have greater insulin stimulated whole body and leg glucose uptake compared with matched men despite higher IMTG concentration (10). Also, women have higher muscle mRNA levels of several proteins involved in muscle lipid metabolism including FAT/CD36, FABPpm, FABPc, lipoprotein lipase (11) and a higher percentage of myosin heavy chain (MHC) type 1 muscle fibers (10;12;13). Therefore, the aim of this study was 1) to test the hypothesis that women are less prone to intralipid induced insulin resistance on a whole body level and in skeletal muscle than men, and 2) to investigate the molecular mechanisms responsible for intralipid induced decrease in insulin sensitivity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Women (n=8) and men (n=8) were recruited for the study after written informed consent of study protocol and possible risks. The study was approved by the Copenhagen Ethics Committee (number KF 01 261127) and performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki II.

All subjects were moderately fit and women and men were matched with respect to maximal oxygen uptake (VO2 peak) expressed relative to lean body mass (LBM), habitual physical activity level and exercise training history (Table 1). LBM and lean leg mass (LLM) were calculated from their body composition determined by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DPX-IQ Lunar, Lunar Corporation Madison WI, USA) and by hydrostatic weighing (14). Women were eumenorrheic and none were taking oral contraceptives. All experiments in women were performed in the midfollicular phase of their menstrual cycle (day 7-11).

Experimental protocol. All subjects underwent two experimental trials including infusion of either intralipid (20%, containing 200g soy oil and 12g egg-lecithin per l, Fresenius-Kabi, Copenhagen, Denmark) plus heparin or saline (control) for 7h in randomized order. After 8 days on a controlled diet (60E% carbohydrate, 15E% protein and 25E% fat) the subjects arrived at the laboratory at 7 a.m. after a breakfast (20% of the daily energy intake) given 3h (5 a.m.) before the experiment started. Subjects had abstained from exercise training 48h before the experimental day. After 45 min of rest in supine position, expired air was collected in Douglas bags for determination of resting metabolic rate. A venous catheter was inserted into an antecubital arm vein and blood was drawn for determination of sex hormones. Then infusion of saline (1.15 ml·kg-1·h-1) or intralipid (1.15 ml·kg-1·h-1) plus heparin (0.2 U kg-1·min-1) was initiated. A catheter was inserted into an antecubital vein of the contralateral arm for infusion of stable isotopes. Teflon catheters were inserted into the femoral artery and vein and a thermistor (EDSLAB T.D. Model 94-030-2.F, Baxter Healthcare Corporation, CA, USA) was inserted through the femoral vein catheter for blood flow determination. After 3h of infusion of intralipid plus heparin or saline, a bolus injection of [6,6-2H] glucose was given (3.203 mg kg-1BM) within 1 minute followed by a constant infusion (0.055 mg kg-1 min-1) for the remaining experimental period (4 h). After 5h of saline or intralipid plus heparin infusion, subjects underwent a 120 min hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (1.42 mU· kg BM-1·min-1) initiated with a bolus injection of insulin (9.0 mU·kg-1) (Actrapid, Novo Nordisk, Denmark). Blood was sampled simultaneously from the femoral artery and vein, femoral venous blood flow was determined (15) and expired air was sampled in Douglas bags frequently during the experiment. Biopsies were obtained from the vastus lateralis muscle and from the subcutaneous
adipose tissue near the umbilicus prior to the clamp (after 5h of intralipid or saline infusion), 30 min after initiation of the clamp and at the end of the clamp. One part of the muscle biopsy and the adipose tissue biopsies were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Another part of the muscle biopsy was mounted in embedding medium, frozen in precooled isopentane, and stored at -80°C. Before biochemical analysis, muscle samples were freeze-dried and dissected free of all connective tissue and blood under a microscope.

Analysis and calculations are described in supplementary data in the online appendix.

Glucose transport in giant sarcolemmal vesicles (GSV) in rats. To study the hypothesis that the effect of intralipid infusion is a direct effect of fatty acids on sarcolemmal glucose transport GSV were prepared from rat gastrocnemius muscle (16) and incubated in vitro with linoleic acid because intralipid contains 52% linoleic acid. 2-deoxy glucose (2DG) transport into giant vesicles was measured as previously described (16). More details are given in online appendix.

Statistics. All data are expressed as means ± SE. Data were evaluated using two-way ANOVA with repeated measures for both time and sex. For variables independent of time, a two-way ANOVA was used to determine influences of sex and trials. For variables independent of time and trial (delta values), an unpaired t-test was performed to test for differences between men and women. A Tukey test was used as a post hoc test. Correlation was investigated using the Pearson product moment correlation. A significance of p< 0.05 was chosen.

RESULTS
Characteristics of the subjects are given in Table 1.

Resting metabolic rate and RER. Basal oxygen uptake per kg LBM was 4.9±0.3 and 4.74±0.2 ml·min⁻¹·kg LBM in women and men, respectively, in the control trial and remained unchanged pre-clamp (after 5 h infusion) and during the clamp in both sexes. In the intralipid trial oxygen uptake was similar compared with control at basal and pre-clamp and remained unchanged during the clamp in women whereas it was increased to 5.2±0.1 ml·min⁻¹·kg LBM (p<0.05) in men, and was higher (p<0.05) compared with the control trial. RER was 0.78 in women and men in both trials pre-clamp. In the intralipid trial RER remained at this level during the clamp in both sexes, whereas RER increased (p<0.05) in the control in both women and men and was higher (p<0.05) than in the intralipid trial (Table 2).

Insulin sensitivity. In response to insulin infusion, the arterial insulin concentration reached approx 90µU ml⁻¹ in both sexes in both trials (Table 2). In the control trial, insulin stimulated whole body GIR to maintain euglycemia was 54±5 and 56±5 μmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹·LBM in women and men, respectively (Fig 1A). GIR was decreased (p<0.05) by intralipid infusion and significantly more in men (-38%) than in women (-24%) also when expressed in absolute numbers (Figure 1B). GIR expressed per kg BM was 42±4 and 47±4 μmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹·BM in women and men, respectively, in the control trial and was reduced (p<0.05) to 31±3 and 29±4 μmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹·BM, respectively, in the intralipid trial.

Insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake increased continuously from basal to 120 min of the clamp and was higher (p<0.05) in women than in men in both trials (Fig. 1C+D). In the control trial, insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake expressed per kg LLM was higher (p<0.05) in women (69±8 μmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹·LLM) than in men (45±6 μmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹·LLM) and reduced (p<0.05) by 43% in women and 60% in men (p<0.05) in the intralipid trial (Figure 1E). However, in
absolute numbers the intralipid induced suppression of insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake (delta glucose uptake) was not different between women and men (Figure 1F).

**Hepatic glucose production.** Hepatic glucose production (HGP) pre-clamp was 10.0±0.4 and 11.4±0.6 µmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ in women and men, respectively, in the control trial and 10.6±0.6 and 10.5±0.5 µmol·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ in the intralipid trial, respectively. HGP decreased similarly to slightly negative values in both sexes during the clamp in both trials (data not shown).

**Blood flow and blood parameters.** Venous blood flow, epinephrine and norepinephrine, remained unchanged during the clamp in both trials and was not changed with intralipid infusion in either sex (Table 2).

In response to insulin the concentration of long chain fatty acids (LCFA) was completely suppressed (p<0.05) in the control trial in both sexes, whereas TG concentrations remained unchanged. Intralipid infusion markedly elevated (p<0.05) arterial plasma LCFA (1706±197 and 2020±216 µmol l⁻¹ in women and men, respectively) and TG concentration (1706±209 and 2478±180 µmol l⁻¹ in women and men, respectively, p<0.05), compared with the control trial and remained unchanged during the clamp in both sexes (Table 2).

Leg lactate release was pre-clamp higher (p<0.05) in the intralipid trial than in the control trial in both sexes and increased during the clamp to a larger extent in the intralipid trial than in the control trail (Table 2).

Basal serum estradiol concentration was 0.28±0.05 and 0.11±0.01 nmol·l⁻¹ (p<0.05) in women and men, respectively, in the control trial and 0.21±0.03 and 0.11±0.01 nmol·l⁻¹ (p<0.05) in the intralipid trial. Basal serum progesterone concentration was 1.92±0.15 and 2.08±0.15 nmol·l⁻¹ in women and men, respectively in the control trial and 2.17±0.25 and 2.07±0.10 nmol·l⁻¹ in the intralipid trial.

**Muscle substrates.** Pre-clamp muscle glycogen concentration was 374±23 and 433±33 mmol·kg⁻¹ d.w. in women and men, respectively, in the control trial and 455±39 and 444±37 mmol·kg⁻¹ d.w. in the intralipid trial and was not changed during the clamp in either trial.

**Proximal insulin signaling in skeletal muscle.** IRS-1 associated PI3-kinase activity, Akt Thr³⁰⁸, Ser⁴⁷³ and AS160 phosphorylation were similar in both trails and sexes pre-clamp and increased (p<0.05) similarly during the clamp in both trials and sexes (Figure 2). AMPK Thr¹⁷² phosphorylation was not different between trials and sexes pre-clamp and remained unchanged during the clamp (Figure 2E).

GS activity expressed as the I-form (Figure 3C) and %FV (data not shown) was similar in both trials and sexes pre-clamp and increased during the clamp in both sexes in both trials.

**Protein content of HKII, GLUT4 and Munc 18C.** Pre-clamp HKII protein expression was higher (p<0.05) and HKII mRNA expression tended to be higher (p=0.1) in women than in men and remained unchanged during the clamp in both trials and sexes (Figure 3A+B). Insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake correlated with HKII protein expression (r = 0.58, p=0.02, n=16) when combining values from women and men in the saline trial. This was due to a significant correlation in the women alone (r = 0.74, p=0.035, n=8) but not in the men alone (Supplementary Figure 1 in the online appendix).

GLUT4 and Munc 18C protein expression were similar in both trials and sexes before and during the clamp (Figure 3D+E).

**IMTG and Lipid intermediates.** In the basal state the content of IMTG in vastus lateralis muscle was in both type 1 and type 2 fibers greater (p<0.05) in women than in men (Figure 4). Pre-clamp mean IMTG concentration was □ 85 mmol·kg⁻¹ d.w. in
women in both trials which was 42% higher than in men (50 mmol·kg⁻¹ d.w. in both trials). IMTG content remained unchanged during the clamp in both trials and sexes.

In the control trial, the pre-clamp content of LCFA-CoA was 51±8 and 52±6 nmol·g⁻¹ d.w., DAG was 140±12 and 167±36 nmol·mg⁻¹ d.w. and ceramide was 26±10 and 28±9 nmol·mg⁻¹ d.w. in women and men, respectively, and was not changed in the intralipid trial (LCFA-CoA was 47±6 and 42±4 nmol·g⁻¹ d.w., DAG was 185±29 and 167±25 nmol·mg⁻¹ d.w. and ceramide was 27±8 and 32±9 nmol·mg⁻¹ d.w. in women and men, respectively). LCFA-CoA, DAG and ceramide content remained unchanged during the clamp in both trials.

Cytokines. Serum TNFα concentration was similar in both trials and sexes before and during the clamp (Table 2). Serum adiponectin concentration was higher (p<0.05) in women than in men before and during the clamp in both trials (Table 2). Pre-clamp plasma resistin concentration was 10.9±0.77 and 10.6±1.11 ng·ml⁻¹ in the control trial in women and men, respectively and was not different from the intralipid trial. Plasma resistin concentration remained unchanged during the clamp in both trials (Data not shown).

Fiber type composition, fiber area, capillary density are in (Supplementary Table 1 in the online appendix available at http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org).

Adipose tissue. Akt Ser⁴⁷³ phosphorylation pre-clamp was similar in women and men in both trials and increased significantly during the clamp in both sexes and trials (Figure 5A). Phosphorylation of AMPK decreased during the control clamp in both women and men and this was prevented by intralipid infusion. Phosphorylation of ACC was similar in both sexes and trials pre and during the clamp (Figure 5B and C).

Glucose transport in GSV in rats. Glucose transport was similar when GSV were incubated with linoleic acid in concentrations from 0 to 700 µmol·l⁻¹ and 190 µmol·l⁻¹ BSA and decreased with incubation of cytochalasin B (Figure 6). Interestingly, we also observed that unbound fatty acid concentration did not interfere with glucose uptake into GSV until it reached 400 µmol·l using both linoleic and palmitic acid (data not shown). This unbound fatty acid concentration was more than 200 fold higher than the estimated unbound interstitial fatty acid concentration in the subjects that were infused with intralipid.

DISCUSSION

In agreement with our hypothesis, women were less prone to acute lipid induced insulin resistance than men on the whole body level and measured as percentage reduction of leg glucose uptake. In contrast to the current dogma linking the effect of acute lipid induced insulin resistance to decrease tyrosine phosphorylation of IRS-1 (17), insulin stimulated IRS-1 associated PI3-kinase activity (17-19), Akt Ser⁴⁷³ phosphorylation (17) and nPKC activity (5), intralipid induced insulin resistance of skeletal muscle glucose uptake was not accompanied by changes in markers of the insulin signaling cascade (Figure 3) or AMPK. Akt phosphorylation in subcutaneous adipose tissue was also unimpaired (Figure 5). Furthermore, intralipid infusion did not increase muscle content of LCFA-CoA, DAG, ceramide and IMTG in women or men. In contrast to the effect of intralipid on glucose uptake, but supporting the lack of effect on the insulin signaling cascade, intralipid infusion did not decrease activation of GS in muscle. Infusion of intralipid, furthermore, did not change plasma concentrations of inflammatory cytokines and did not change the intrinsic activity of glucose transporters as judged by the lack of effect of fatty acids on glucose transport in giant sarcolemmal vesicles. On the other hand there was indirect evidence for a decrease in PDH activity with intralipid such as increased leg
lactate release and decreased RER which as proposed by Randle (20) might decrease glucose uptake. Consistent with our observations a few other studies have also failed to observe intralipid induced interactions with parts of the insulin signaling cascade. These include studies where insulin mediated whole body glucose disposal was reduced after 2-6h of intralipid infusion in lean (6) and obese (7) subjects without any changes in IRS-1 tyrosine phosphorylation (7), insulin stimulated IRS-1 associated PI3-kinase activity (7) or Akt Ser<sup>473</sup> phosphorylation (6;7). In addition, Hoy et al (1) recently reported no changes in IRS-1, Akt or AS160 phosphorylation after 3h and 5h intralipid infusion in rats. Clearly changes in insulin sensitivity of glucose uptake can be induced independent of changes in insulin signaling. For instance, glucose infusion (21) and short-term high fat feeding (22) caused whole body insulin resistance without changes in Akt and AS160 phosphorylation in rats (21;22), and in aerobically fit humans, increased insulin sensitivity of glucose uptake after exercise is not accompanied by increased activation of the proximal insulin signaling cascade (23,24). We therefore contend that intralipid induced insulin resistance of glucose uptake in human skeletal muscle is unrelated to impairment of the canonical insulin signaling pathway, a contention that is supported by the finding that insulin induced activation of GS was also unimpaired. This latter observation indicates that the effect of intralipid is specific to glucose transport and not a general impairment of insulin effects. Supporting our interpretation, it has been shown that 2 weeks of high fat feeding in C57BL6J mice impaired glucose uptake in cardiomyocytes without changes in Akt phosphorylation, AS160 and PDH activity (22). In that study it was, furthermore, found that total GLUT4 content and translocation measured by subcellular fractionation and immunofluorescence were significantly decreased after high fat feeding (22). Although GLUT4 translocation could not be assessed in the present human study, it is possible that intralipid did interfere with GLUT4 translocation, docking or fusion and that this is unrelated to defects in the canonical insulin signaling cascade.

An accumulation of lipid intermediates such as LCFA-CoA, DAG and ceramide as well as high IMTG levels has been reported to be associated with intralipid induced suppression of insulin signaling. For instance in healthy men, 5-6 h intralipid infusion resulted in accumulation of ceramide (25), LCFA-CoA (6) and a 3 fold increased DAG content accompanied by decreased protein kinase C activity (5). However, in the latter study, the intralipid infusion was carried out on different subjects than the controls, which may explain the large difference in DAG content between trials. Furthermore, the subjects were 30-44 years old, physical activity level was not mentioned, and BMI ranged from 22-27 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Absolute fitness level and BMI could probably play a role in whole body substrate handling during intralipid infusion and may contribute to explain the discrepant findings in that study compared to our study. With regard to ceramide accumulation, palmitate serves as precursor for ceramide synthesis (26) and because intralipid consists primarily of soybean oil, which contains unsaturated fatty acids, one would not expect ceramide accumulation during intralipid infusion. In the present study, no difference was observed in LCFA-CoA, DAG or ceramide content in the control trial compared with the intralipid trial in neither women nor men. Our findings are supported by studies where young healthy subjects infused with intralipid decreased whole body insulin sensitivity without any changes in ceramide content (5;26).

In the present study, no significant change in IMTG was found with intralipid infusion. Others have reported remarkably large increases in IMTG content during 4-6 h
intralipid infusion, e.g. 20% and 60% in the soleus and tibialis anterior muscles, respectively (27) and 56% in the vastus lateral muscle (4). However, to increase IMTG concentrations by 50% in a total muscle mass of 45 kg would require 280 mmol triacylglycerol provided that the uptake in all muscles would be equal, which is 2.2 times more than what reportedly was infused in the quoted studies (4;27).

In an attempt to elucidate the mechanisms impairing insulin action in the present study, we also evaluated inflammatory cytokines that have been suggested to inhibit insulin signaling (28). Inflammatory cytokines such as TNFα and resistin levels were not different in the intralipid trial compared with the control trial in neither women nor men. Furthermore, because the insulin signaling pathway was not inhibited by intralipid infusion it is unlikely that insulin resistance in the present study was caused by inflammation.

AMPK is an important energy sensor in skeletal muscle and a decrease in its activity and/or protein expression in muscle has been found in several rodent models of insulin resistance (29) as well as in some (30) but not all (31) studies of type 2 diabetic patients. Furthermore, infusion of glucose to rats leads to insulin resistance and decreased AMPK activity (32). Finally, activation of AMPK in rat muscle increased insulin sensitivity (33). Together these observations led us to examine whether intralipid infusion, which is also an acute energy overload, decreased AMPK activity. This was not the case in either muscle or subcutaneous adipose tissue but interestingly the clamp procedure resulted in a slight decrease in AMPK phosphorylation in adipose tissue which, however, was prevented by intralipid infusion (Figure 3E+5B).

In the present study we measured lactate release and found that intralipid increased lactate release from the leg in both sexes. This finding might suggests that PDH activity was inhibited in the intralipid trial in accordance with the Randle cycle (20). It was previously found that intralipid inhibited the insulin mediated decrease in PDK4 mRNA in humans (6) and in rats (1;34) which would be expected to impair the insulin mediated increase in PDH activity. However, in a study by Pilegaard et al (35) 4h of intralipid infusion increased PDK4 mRNA and PDH-E1α phosphorylation without corresponding changes in PDHa activity measured in vitro. This finding might indicate that PDHa activity measured in vitro may not accurately reflect activity in vivo. Alternatively, changes in PDHa activity might be too small to be detectable in an in vitro assay. At any rate, the lower RER values recorded during intralipid infusion during the clamp in the present study indicate a lower carbohydrate oxidation rate consistent with lower conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA. Whether the Randle cycle could also contribute to decreased glucose uptake is more doubtful as no accumulation of G-6P or free glucose (data not shown) was observed in accordance with earlier findings using NMR spectroscopy (18).

The present finding that intralipid infusion decreased whole body insulin sensitivity 38% in men and only 26% in women compared with the control situation was observed despite similar insulin stimulated reduction in hepatic glucose production and similar impairment in insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake in both sexes when expressed in absolute numbers (fig 1F). This allowed for the speculation that impaired whole body insulin sensitivity also relates to defects in adipose tissue. However, similar to the findings in skeletal muscle Akt Ser473 phosphorylation in adipose tissue was not different between women and men and was not affected by intralipid (Figure 5A).

Previously, sex differences in intralipid induced insulin resistance have been investigated showing that 5h of intralipid infusion reduced insulin stimulated whole
body glucose uptake in men but not in women (8). Findings reported in that study were, however, conducted in women and men matched only with respect to BMI with no considerations of aerobic physical activity level, which is important when comparing sexes (10;36). Furthermore, postmenopausal women were included in the study and the premenopausal women were studied twice with 5-10 days in between in the follicular phase of their menstrual cycle (8), why serum estradiol concentration likely differed between the control and intralipid trial confounding the results. In this study, insulin stimulated whole body insulin sensitivity was not different between women and men in contrast to our previous findings showing that women were more insulin sensitive than well matched men (10). In that study, subjects fasted over night before the experiment whereas in the present study a breakfast was given, which perhaps can influence the results. Still, women were more insulin sensitive in leg muscle glucose uptake than men in agreement with previous findings (10). An explanation for the higher insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake in women than in men could be linked to 28% higher type 1 fibers and 28% higher capillary density as discussed previously (10). Furthermore, in the present study a 56% higher HKII protein expression was found in women than in men and HKII protein expression correlated (r=0.74, p<0.035) with insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake in women (Supplementary Figure 1), suggesting that increased HKII may play a role in increased muscle insulin sensitivity in women. This has also been suggested by experiments in mouse muscle where increased HKII expression increased insulin stimulated glucose uptake at high uptake rates (37).

In conclusion, while women are less prone to acute lipid induced insulin resistance than men, insulin resistance was not accompanied by accumulation of lipid intermediates, signs of inflammation or decreased signaling in the canonical insulin signaling pathway in muscle or subcutaneous adipose tissue or decreased AMPK phosphorylation. The lack of inhibition of signaling is supported by the finding that insulin induced activation of GS was not affected by intralipid. Moreover, glucose transport measured across sarcolemmal vesicles in vitro was not reduced when vesicles were incubated with different fatty acid levels, indicating that glucose transport or transporter activity is not directly impaired by the presence of lipids. Intralipid increased leg lactate release during insulin infusion and others have found that a fat rich diet in mice decreases GLUT4 translocation in cardiomyocytes in the absence of changes in insulin signaling. Thus, taken together with our findings the currently accepted mechanism for acute intralipid induced insulin resistance of glucose uptake is challenged. A higher leg lactate release and lower glucose oxidation with intralipid infusion may rather suggest a metabolic feed back regulation of glucose metabolism.

Author contributions: LDH, KAS, JFPW, EAR and BK designed the study, carried out the experiments, and wrote the paper. LDH, KAS, JJ, TEJ, CF, JBB, BB, NH and HP performed assays. All authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
We acknowledge the skilled technical assistance of Irene Bech Nielsen and Betina Bolmgren (Mol. Phys. Group, DK) and Duncan Talbot (Unilever Discover, Colworth). This study was supported by grants from the Danish Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries, the Danish Medical Research Council, The Lundbeck Research Foundation, The Novo-Nordisk Research Foundation and an integrated Project Funded by the European Union (# LSHM-CT-2004-
This work was carried out as a part of the research program of the UNIK: Food, Fitness & Pharma for Health and Disease (see www.foodfitnesspharma.ku.dk). The UNIK project is supported by the Danish Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation.

REFERENCES


30. Bandyopadhyay, GK, Yu, JG, Ofrecio, J, Olefsky, JM: Increased malonyl-CoA levels in muscle from obese and type 2 diabetic subjects lead to decreased fatty acid oxidation and increased lipogenesis; thiazolidinedione treatment reverses these defects. *Diabetes* 55:2277-2285, 2006

**FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1. Glucose infusion rate and insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake in women and men in the control (white bars) and intralipid (black bars) trial. A. Glucose infusion rate the last 30 min of the clamp, BM, body mass. B. Delta glucose infusion rate the last 120 min of the clamp, expressed as the difference between the control and the intralipid trial. C. Insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake during the 120 min clamp in the control trial. D. Insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake during the 120 min clamp in the intralipid trial. E. Insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake the last 30 min of the clamp, LLM, lean leg mass. F. Delta insulin stimulated leg glucose uptake the last 30 min of the clamp expressed as the difference between the control and the intralipid trial. * p<0.05 compared with control trial, # p<0.05 compared with women. Values are mean ± SE, N=16
**Figure 2.** IRS-1 associated PI3-kinase activity, Akt Thr$^{308}$ and Ser$^{473}$ phosphorylation/ Akt total protein expression, AS160 phosphorylation/ total AS160 protein expression and AMPK Thr$^{172}$ phosphorylation/ AMPK α$_2$ total protein expression in the vastus lateralis muscle in women and men in the control (white bars) and intralipid (black bars) trial. A. IRS-1 associated PI3-kinase activity, B. Akt Thr$^{308}$ phosphorylation/ Akt total protein expression C. Akt Ser$^{473}$ phosphorylation/ Akt total protein expression D. AS160 phosphorylation/ total AS160 protein expression, E. AMPK Thr$^{172}$ phosphorylation/ AMPK α$_2$ total protein expression, + p<0.05 compared with previous time point. Values are mean ± SE, N=16

**Figure 3.** HKII protein expression, HKII mRNA, glycogen synthase activity, GLUT4 and Munc 18c protein expression in the vastus lateralis muscle in women and men in the control (white bars) and intralipid (black bars) trial. A. HKII protein expression, B. HKII mRNA, C. Glycogen synthase activity, D. GLUT4 protein expression, E. Munc 18c protein expression. ++ p<0.001 compared with previous time point. # p<0.05 compared with women. Values are mean ± SE, N=16.

**Figure 4.** IMTG measured with ORO in type 1 and type 2 fibers in women (white bars) and men (black bars). A. ORO signal in women. B. ORO signal in men. C. MHC staining in women. Type 1 fibers are colored green. D. MHC staining in men. * p<0.05 compared with type 1 fibers, # p<0.05 compared with women. Values are mean ± SE, N=16

**Figure 5.** Akt Ser$^{473}$ phosphorylation/ Akt total protein expression, AMPK thr$^{172}$ phosphorylation/ AMPK α$_1$ total protein expression, ACC β Ser$^{79}$/ ACC β total protein expression in adipose tissue in women and men in the control (white bars) and intralipid (black bars) trial. A. Akt Ser$^{473}$ phosphorylation/ Akt total protein expression, B. AMPK thr$^{172}$ phosphorylation/AMPK α$_1$ total protein expression, C. ACC β Ser$^{79}$/ ACC β total protein expression. + p<0.05 compared with previous time point, ¤ p<0.05 compared with time point 0. Values are mean ± SE, N=16

**Figure 6.** Glucose transport in giant sarcolemmal vesicles prepared from rat muscle after incubation of different concentrations of linoleic acid related to the number of vesicles present after the incubation and the glucose transport after inhibition with cytochalasin B (Cyto B). * p<0.05 compared with glucose uptake when incubated with 0,112.5,225,450,750 µmol·l$^{-1}$ linoleic acid. Values are mean ± SE, N= 4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women (n=8)</th>
<th>Men (n=8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>21±1</td>
<td>25±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, m</td>
<td>1.74±0.03</td>
<td>1.81±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (BM), kg</td>
<td>65.3±3.0</td>
<td># 77.9±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg·m⁻²</td>
<td>21.5±0.5</td>
<td>23.5±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat, %</td>
<td>23.4±0.9</td>
<td># 17.4±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean body mass (LBM), kg</td>
<td>50.0±2.5</td>
<td>## 64.3±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean leg mass (LLM), kg</td>
<td>8.6±0.4</td>
<td>## 11.8±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂ peak, l·min⁻¹</td>
<td>3.1±0.1</td>
<td>## 4.1±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ml·kg BM·min⁻¹</td>
<td>## 52.3±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ml·kg LBM⁻¹·min⁻¹</td>
<td>61.9±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency, workouts·wk⁻¹</td>
<td>2.9±0.4</td>
<td>2.7±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration hrs·wk⁻¹</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
<td>3.9±0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SE. # p<0.05; ## p<0.001 compared with women.
Table 2. Femoral venous blood flow, arterial blood concentration, plasma substrate concentration, hormone concentration and serum cytokine concentration in the control and intralipid trial in women and men.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Intralipid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-clamp (300 min)</td>
<td>End of clamp (420 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RER</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.80±0.02</td>
<td>0.89±0.01‡‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.78±0.02</td>
<td>0.87±0.01‡‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood flow, ml·min⁻¹</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>523±44</td>
<td>607±55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>520±90</td>
<td>670±58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial blood glucose, mM</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.4±0.1</td>
<td>5.4±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2±0.1</td>
<td>5.1±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma LCFA, µmol·l⁻¹</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>541±50+</td>
<td>9±4++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>519±92++</td>
<td>15±6++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma TG, µmol·l⁻¹</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>484±24</td>
<td>409±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>540±45</td>
<td>471±47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma insulin, µU·ml⁻¹</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.0±0.8</td>
<td>87.7±5.7++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.8±0.5</td>
<td>94.3±9.5++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma epinephrine, nM</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.36±0.06</td>
<td>0.40±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.34±0.07</td>
<td>0.45±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma norepinephrine, nM</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2±0.23</td>
<td>1.33±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.46±0.36</td>
<td>1.48±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum TNFα, pg·ml⁻¹</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.21±0.66</td>
<td>2.08±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50±0.32</td>
<td>1.44±0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum adiponectin, pg·ml⁻¹</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.5±3.1</td>
<td>25.0±2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.5±1.3#</td>
<td>9.9±1.2#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate release, µmol·min⁻¹</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5±2</td>
<td>74±6++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6±2</td>
<td>63±9++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean±SE of 8 determinations in both women and men. *p<0.05, **p<0.001 compared with control trial, #p<0.05 compared with women, + p<0.05, ++p<0.001 compared with previous time point. RER, Respiratory exchange ratio; LCFA, Long chain fatty acids; TG, Triacylglycerol; TNFα, Tumor Necrosis Factor α.
Figure 1

A

B

C

D

E

F

Figure 1
Figure 2
Figure 3

A. Women and Men: Graph showing enzyme activity over time.

B. Graph showing mRNA levels over time.

C. Graph showing glycerol synthase activity over time.

D. Graph showing GLUT4 protein levels over time.

E. Graph showing Munc 18C protein levels over time.

F. Western blot analysis for HK II, GLUT4, Munc 18C, and β-Actin under control and Intralipid conditions.
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