OBJECTIVE—The incretin hormone GIP (glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide) promotes pancreatic β-cell function by potentiating insulin secretion and β-cell proliferation. Recently, a combined analysis of several genome-wide association studies (Meta-analysis of Glucose and Insulin-Related Traits Consortium [MAGIC]) showed association to postprandial insulin at the GIP receptor (GIPR) locus. Here we explored mechanisms that could explain the protective effects of GIP on islet function.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Associations of GIPR rs10423928 with metabolic and anthropometric phenotypes in both nondiabetic (N = 53,730) and type 2 diabetic individuals (N = 2,731) were explored by combining data from 11 studies.

Insulin secretion was measured both in vivo in nondiabetic subjects and in vitro in islets from cadaver donors. Insulin secretion was also measured in response to exogenous GIP. The in vitro measurements included protein and gene expression as well as measurements of β-cell viability and proliferation.

RESULTS—The A allele of GIPR rs10423928 was associated with impaired glucose- and GIP-stimulated insulin secretion and a decrease in BMI, lean body mass, and waist circumference. The decrease in BMI almost completely neutralized the effect of impaired insulin secretion on risk of type 2 diabetes. Expression of GIP mRNA was decreased in human islets from carriers of the A allele or patients with type 2 diabetes. GIP stimulated osteopontin (OPN) mRNA and protein expression. OPN expression was lower in carriers of the A allele. Both GIP and OPN prevented cytokine-induced reduction in cell viability (apoptosis). In addition, OPN stimulated cell proliferation in insulin-secreting cells.

CONCLUSIONS—These findings support β-cell–proliferative and antiapoptotic roles for GIP in addition to its action as an incretin hormone. Identification of a link between GIP and OPN may shed new light on the role of GIP in preservation of functional β-cell mass in humans.

More than 35 genetic loci have been shown to influence risk of type 2 diabetes or plasma glucose or insulin levels in genome-wide association studies (GWAS) (1–3). For most of these loci we lack insight into the mechanisms by which they increase risk of type 2 diabetes. Recently, a combined analysis of several GWAS (Meta-analysis of Glucose and Insulin-Related Traits Consortium [MAGIC]) showed association to postprandial insulin at the GIP (glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide) receptor (GIPR) locus (SNP rs10423928) on chromosome 19q13.3 (4). Carriers of the risk genotype showed impaired insulin secretion, but this was surprisingly not translated into a similar increased risk of type 2 diabetes as seen for other variants with similar effects on insulin secretion (DIAGRAM +) (2,4). The human GIPR gene contains 14 exons with a protein coding region of 12.5 kb (5). GIP is released after food ingestion from intestinal K cells to stimulate insulin and, to a lesser extent, glucagon secretion from pancreatic β and α cells, respectively. GIP has also been ascribed long-term positive effects on β-cell function by stimulating cell proliferation and inhibiting apoptosis (6). A similar insulinotropic effect is achieved by glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1), which is secreted from intestinal L cells, but in contrast to GIP, GLP-1...
inhibits glucagon secretion. Both GLP-1 and GIP are rapidly degraded by the enzyme dipeptidyl peptidase IV, inhibition of which is a novel approach enhancing incretin levels for treatment of type 2 diabetes (7).

Circulating concentrations of the cytokine osteopontin (OPN) are elevated in patients with type 2 diabetes and OPN has been suggested to promote the development of atherosclerosis and diabetes complications (8–10). In islets, however, OPN has been shown to inhibit cytokine-induced apoptosis via reduction of NO and INOS levels (11) and to stimulate β-cell proliferation (12).

Since GIP and OPN have similar effects in many tissues, including proapoptotic effects on β-cell survival in islets (11–16) and regulation of adipocyte metabolism in fat tissue (17,18), we advanced the hypothesis that the effect of GIP on apoptosis and β-cell proliferation involves OPN. The aim of the current study was to explore metabolic effects by which a variant in the GIPR gene contributes to altered islet function in man and why this impairment in β-cell function was not translated into a similarly increased risk of type 2 diabetes as seen for other variants with similar effects on insulin secretion. We further examined mechanisms that could explain the effects of GIP in different tissues and whether GIP could stimulate osteopontin in human islets and whether this was influenced by the GIPR gene variant.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

All human and animal protocols were approved by the local ethics committees and performed in accordance with local institutional and national regulations.

**Study participants.** We explored associations of GIPR rs10423028 with metabolic phenotypes in both nondiabetic (N = 53,730) and type 2 diabetic individuals (N = 2,731) from 11 studies: Botnia Prospective Study (BPS) (19,20), Prevalence, Prediction, and Prevention of Diabetes (PPP-Botnia) (21), Steno Incretin Clamps (22), Malmö Preventive Project (20,23), Malmö Diet and Cancer Study (24), METSIM (25), GENFIEV (www.geniev.it), Verona Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Study (20,27), Low Birth Weight Cohort (22,28,29), Steno Twins (30,31), and EUGENE (32,33). The aim of the current study was to explore metabolic effects by which a variant in the GIPR gene contributes to altered islet function in man and why this impairment in β-cell function was not translated into a similarly increased risk of type 2 diabetes as seen for other variants with similar effects on insulin secretion. We further examined mechanisms that could explain the effects of GIP in different tissues and whether GIP could stimulate osteopontin in human islets and whether this was influenced by the GIPR gene variant.

**In vivo experiments, measurements, and calculations.** Weight, height, waist and hip circumference, lean body mass, and blood pressure were measured in each cohort. Fat mass and lean body mass were measured with the bioelectric impedance method. Blood samples were drawn at baseline at 0, 30 (40 in MPP), and 120 min of the 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) for measurements of blood glucose and serum insulin concentrations; in addition, plasma GIP concentrations were measured in the PPP-Botnia study.

Forty-seven young healthy men from the Steno Low Birth Weight Cohort underwent hyperglycemic clamps (7 mmol/L; 2 h) with infusion of GLP-1 or GIP on separate days (22). Glucose infusion was initiated at t = −30 min and terminated at t = 120 min. At t = −2 min, a bolus of either GIP or GLP-1 was infused to increase the plasma concentration to approximately 120 and 1000 pmol/L, respectively. At t = 0 min, a continuous infusion of GIP or GLP-1 (50 or 240 pmol/kg · h, respectively) was initiated and terminated at t = 120 min. P-glucose and p-insulin were determined as previously described (22,34). Intact, biologically active GIP was measured using an assay specific for the intact NH₂ terminus of GIP (35). Plasma samples were assayed for GLP-1 immunoreactivity using a radioimmunoassay specific for amidated COOH terminus of the GLP-1 molecule; this assay measures the sum of the intact peptide plus the primary metabolite, GLP-1 (9–36) amide, which is formed by the actions of the enzyme DPP-4. The results of this assay therefore provide an estimate of the secretion of GLP-1 (36). The first-phase insulin response to GIP or GLP-1 infusions was defined as AUCinsulin 0–20 min, and the second-phase response as AUCinsulin 20–120 min.

Insulin secretion during OGTT was assessed as corrected incremental insulin response to glucose (CIR = [100 × insulin 30 min]/[glucose 30 min] × [glucose 0 min] − 3.801) (37) or as disposition index, i.e., insulin secretion adjusted for insulin sensitivity (CIR × ISI) (38). Insulin sensitivity index (ISI) was calculated from the OGTT as 10,000/[(fasting glucose × fasting insulin) [mean OGTTglucose × mean OGTTinsulin]]. (39).

**Genotyping.** Genotyping of rs10423028 was performed using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight mass spectrometry on the Sequenom MassARRAY platform (San Diego, CA) for PPP-Botnia and METSIM studies and using an allelic discrimination method with a TaqMan assay on the ABI 7900 platform (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) for MPP, BPS, Steno (incubin clamps and twins), human islets, Verona, and GENFIEV. We obtained an average genotyping success rate of >95.5%, and the average concordance rate in all studies was >99.9%. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was fulfilled in all studied populations (P > 0.50).

**Human islets from cadaver donors.** Islets from 50 human cadaver donors (mean ± SEM: nondiabetic N = 43, sex MF 24/19, age 55.9 ± 1.8 years, BMI 25.2 ± 0.5 kg/m², HbA1c % 5.5 ± 0.9%; diabetic N = 7, sex MF 3/4, age 55.9 ± 4.5 years, BMI 27.3 ± 1.3 kg/m², HbA1c % 7.3 ± 0.3%) were provided by the Nordic Network for Clinical Islets Transplantation by the courtesy of Olle Korsgren (Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden). The experimental protocol for isolation of islets was approved by the ethics committee of Uppsala University and performed in accordance with local institutional and Swedish national regulations. Further characterization of islets was performed at Lund University Diabetes Center (LUDC) Human Tissue Laboratory. Glucose- and GIP- (Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland) stimulated insulin secretion were measured using radioimmunoassays (Euro-Diagnostica, Malmo, Sweden).

**Measurements of GIPR and OPN mRNA expression.** Real-time quantitative RT-PCR (TaqMan Gene Expression Assays, Applied Biosystems) was used to measure mRNA levels in human and mouse islets. RNA was isolated using AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit or RNeasy Plus Mini Kit for human islets (both from Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Concentration and purity were measured using NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). No sign of degradation was observed using agarose gel electrophoresis and Experion DNA 1 K gel chips (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). 0.2–0.5 μg RNA was used for cDNA synthesis with RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas Life Science, Glen Burnie, MD). cDNA synthesis with RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas Life Science, Glen Burnie, MD). GIPR and OPN mRNA levels were normalized to three housekeeping genes, PPIb (cyclophilin B) (Mm00478295_m1), PPIA (cyclophilin A, article no. 4326316E), and polymerase (RNA) II (DNA-dependent RNA polymerase II) (U633970G10) (40). The procedure for sacrifice of animals was approved by the ethical committee in Lund. Islets were used directly or after culture for 24 or 48 h in islet culture medium supplemented with 5 or 16.7 mmol/L glucose (Sigma) in the presence or absence of GIP or GLP-1 (0.1, 1, or 100 nmol/L; Bachem, Emeryville, CA). Approximately 100–200 islets were used for each condition and experiments were repeated 6–16 times. After stimulation, islets were used for measurements of OPN mRNA by real-time quantitative RT-PCR and/or protein expression by immunofluorescence confocal microscopy and Western blotting. For protein measurements, cells were isolated from islets and double stained for insulin and OPN as previously described (36). Images were obtained at 63× magnification on a Zeiss LSM 5
laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc.). For quantification, mean fluorescence intensity of OPN in insulin-positive β-cells (range 0–255 gray-scale values) after background subtraction was calculated by using the Zeiss LSM 5 Pascal Analysis software. For Western blotting, primary anti-OPN antibody was used (1:500 dilution; IBL) with a horseshadish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA). Bands were detected with chemiluminescence (Supersignal West Dura; Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL), and Western blotting of β-actin (1:3,900 dilution; GenScript Corporation, Piscataway, NJ) was used as loading control.

**Assessment of β-cell viability.** Pancreatic β-cell viability was performed using a CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay Reagent (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The actual performance is based on the spectrophotometric detection of a colored formazan product converted from an MTS tetrazolium compound by NADPH or NADH via metabolically active cells. After a culture period of 24 h at 5.5 mmol/L glucose in the presence and absence of a cytokine cocktail containing interleukin (IL)-1β (50 ng/mL), INF-γ (75 ng/mL), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (75 ng/mL) with or without GIP (100 nM), the dispersed β-cells were washed three times with fresh culture medium. Thereafter, the cells were incubated for 2 h in CellTiter 96 Aqueous One Solution Reagent before measuring absorbance at 490 nm with a 96-well plate reader.

**Proliferation assay.** INS-1 832/13 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2-mercaptoethanol (50 μmol/L), penicillin (100 U/mL), streptomycin (100 μg/mL) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 and 95% air. 50,000 or 100,000 cells/well were seeded in 96-well plates in standard cell culture medium or in medium containing only 2% fetal calf serum for 48 h in the presence of PBS (control) or osteopontin (as indicated; R&D Systems, Abingdon, U.K.). To measure DNA synthesis, the cells were pulsed with 1 μCi [methyl-3H]thymidine (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) during the last 20 h of the experiment. Macromolecular material was then harvested onto glass fiber filters using a Printed Filtermat A (1450–421; Wallac Oy, Turku, Finland). The filters were air dried, and the bound radioactivity was measured in a β counter (Wallac 1450; MicroBeta, Ramsey, MN).

**Statistical analyses.** Linear regression analyses were used to test genotype-phenotype correlations adjusted for age, sex, and BMI (apart from BMI and weight) and for within-family dependence (BPS) or for birth weight and sampling (same Birth Weight Cohort). Nonnormality of variables were logarithmically (natural) transformed before analysis. The odds ratios for risk of developing type 2 diabetes were calculated using logistic regression analyses adjusted for age, sex, and BMI. Analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.0, PLINK, or STATA version 10. For in vitro studies, results were expressed as mean ± SEM, where applicable. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad (Prism 4.0) or Origin (Originlab), and significance was determined using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni or Tukey–Kramer tests, or unpaired two-tailed Student t-test.

**RESULTS**

The GIPR variant is associated with glucose- and GIP-stimulated insulin secretion. Figure 1A shows that the A allele of GIPR rs10423928 (4) is associated with impaired glucose-stimulated insulin secretion adjusted for BMI during an OGTT in a meta-analysis of 13,725 nondiabetic individuals ($P_{meta} = 5.1 \times 10^{-16}$) (Fig. 1A). In addition, the A allele was associated with impaired β-cell function in patients with type 2 diabetes (Supplementary Table 1, studies VII and VIII). Carriers of the TA/AA genotypes increased their insulin secretion during a mean 7.8-year follow-up period less than carriers of the wild-type TT genotype ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 1B). In contrast to the impairment in insulin response to oral glucose, there was no impairment in the insulin response to intravenous glucose, supporting the presence of an incretin defect (Supplementary Table 1, study II). To demonstrate that the impaired incretin effect was due to impaired GIP action, we also assessed the insulin response to an exogenous GIP infusion in nondiabetic subjects. Despite similar GIP concentrations, the TA/AA genotype carriers showed reduced GIP-stimulated ($P < 0.05$), but not GLP-1-stimulated, insulin secretion compared with TT genotype carriers (Fig. 1C and D). The glucagon response to GIP or OGTT was not influenced by the GIPR variant (Supplementary Table 1, studies I and IX).

Also, in islets from 17 human cadaver donors with the TA/AA genotypes, a trend toward decreased glucose- and GIP-stimulated insulin secretion was observed when compared with islets from 20 TT genotype carriers (Fig. 1E). Together, these data demonstrate that the GIPR variant is associated with both GIP- and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion.

GIPR expression in human, mouse, and rat islets. GIPR protein as detected by immunofluorescence microscopy of pancreatic sections was evident in β-cells from human, mouse, and rat islets, but less so in α and δ cells (Fig. 2A). GIPR mRNA was lower in islets from diabetic (N = 7) than from nondiabetic donors (N = 43) ($P = 0.017$; Fig. 2B). It was also lower in islets from nondiabetic donors with the TA/AA genotypes (N = 20) compared with donors with the TT genotype (N = 22) ($P = 0.0127$; Fig. 2C).

Opposite to the expected increase in GIP concentrations when the receptor is down-regulated, TA/AA genotype carriers with a presumed reduced function of the receptor had lower GIP concentrations, both at fasting ($P = 3.1 \times 10^{-6}$) and after the glucose load ($P = 8.3 \times 10^{-5}$) than TT genotype carriers (Fig. 2D and E). Of note, the association of the TA/AA genotypes with reduced insulin secretion remained unchanged after adjustment for GIP levels, supporting the view that the effect of a presumed reduced GIPR function was independent of decreased circulating GIP levels (Supplementary Table 1, study I). The GIPR variant results in decreased BMI, which neutralizes the effect of the SNP on risk of type 2 diabetes. Although the effect of the A allele on insulin secretion was of similar magnitude as observed by SNPs in other genes, resulting in impaired islet function and increased risk of type 2 diabetes (2), the A allele was not associated with a similarly increased risk of type 2 diabetes as seen for the other variants (odds ratio 1.03; 95% CI 0.95–1.12; $P = 0.51$) in our two large prospective cohorts with >20,000 individuals, 2,200 of whom developed diabetes (Supplementary Table 1, studies II and IV).

One potential explanation for the lack of effect of the A allele on risk of type 2 diabetes could be the concomitant effect of the SNP on body composition. In a meta-analysis of 38,845 subjects, the A allele was associated with a decrease in BMI of $0.18 \text{kg/m}^2$ (β, 95% CI −0.18 [−0.24 to −0.11]; $P_{meta} = 6.3 \times 10^{-6}$; Fig. 3A) as well as a decrease in waist circumference of 0.39 cm ($P = 0.002$; Fig. 3C). BMI is an established strong predictor of future type 2 diabetes (20), which, in the prospective MPP study, increased risk of type 2 diabetes by an odds ratio (OR) of 1.84 ($P = 2.1 \times 10^{-15}$) (20). When in the MPP study we take into account the decrease in BMI associated with the A allele, the effect of decreased BMI neutralizes the effect of impaired insulin secretion on type 2 diabetes risk (Fig. 3D).

GIPP influences osteopontin expression in islets in a dose- and glucose-dependent fashion. Next, in search for the mechanisms that could explain effects of GIP in different tissues, we explored whether GIP effects on islet function involved OPN. In line with earlier findings in rodents (11), we observed clear OPN expression in human β-cells (Fig. 4A and G). To explore a possible link between GIP and OPN, we measured changes in OPN expression upon stimulation of mouse islets with various concentrations of GIP. Under basal glucose concentrations (5 mmol/L), 1 nmol/L GIP significantly increased OPN at
FIG. 1. Effects of GIPR rs10423928 on insulin secretion in vitro and in vivo.

A: Meta-analysis of the effect of GIPR rs10423928 on CIR estimated from the glucose-stimulated insulin secretion measured at 30 min during OGTT in the PPP-Botnia (N = 4,358), BPS (N = 2,255), MPP (N = 1,547), and METSIM (N = 5,563) studies. Effect β is for the risk A allele.

B: Change in insulin secretion (CIR, corrected early insulin response to glucose at 30 min adjusted for BMI) over mean 7.8-year follow-up time in nondiabetic individuals (BPS, N = 2,255) in carriers of nonrisk TT (blue) and risk TA/AA (pink) genotypes of GIPR rs10423928 (*P < 0.05).

C: Insulin response to GIP infusions was lower in TA/AA than in TT genotype carriers (N = 47; *P < 0.05).

D: Insulin response to GLP-1 infusion was not affected by genotype (N = 47).

E: Insulin release from nondiabetic donors. Insulin secretion was measured from islets from cadaver donors with nonrisk (TT) or risk (TA/AA) genotype. Islets were preincubated with 1 mmol/L glucose prior to incubation for 1 h in either 1 or 16.7 mmol/L glucose with or without the addition of 100 nmol/L GIP as indicated. The number of donors (N) in each group ranged between 11 and 23. ***P < 0.001 vs. 1 mmol/L glucose.
both mRNA and protein level, the last one assessed both by quantitative confocal immunofluorescence microscopy and Western blot (Fig. 4B–E). The dose–response of GIP on OPN expression was bell shaped as both lower (0.1 nmol/L) and higher (100 nmol/L) concentrations did not increase OPN expression to the same extent as 1 nmol/L GIP (Fig. 4B and C). High glucose (16.7 mmol/L) per se effectively increased OPN expression and blunted the stimulatory effect of GIP. The effect of GIP on OPN expression was specific for GIP, as GLP-1 had no impact on OPN expression regardless of the glucose concentrations (Fig. 4F). Furthermore, OPN expression was lower in human islets from carriers of the TA/AA compared with TT genotypes (Fig. 4G).

Effect of GIP and OPN on cell viability and proliferation. In islets, GIP has been demonstrated not only to stimulate secretion through amplification of exocytosis (43), but also to promote proliferation and inhibit apoptosis of β-cells (13–15). OPN has also been shown to stimulate cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis in islets by influencing NO production (11,12). Here we show that cytokine stimulation of human islets induced a significant reduction in the number of viable cells, as assessed by an MTS assay, and that this was partially prevented by coinoculation of islets with both GIP and OPN, supporting a protective role of OPN and GIP in human islets (Fig. 5A).

As mouse and human islets show limited cell division ex vivo, we chose to assess the effect of OPN on β-cell proliferation in clonal rat INS-1 832/13 cells. OPN (200 ng/mL) significantly (P < 0.001) increased [3H]thymidine incorporation in INS-1 832/13 cells (Fig. 5B), demonstrating a proliferative effect of OPN on pancreatic β cells. As GIPR rs10423928 TA/AA genotype carriers had reduced OPN expression in human pancreatic islets compared with TT carriers (Fig. 4F), it is possible that the protective effect of OPN on cell proliferation and apoptosis (as shown in rat islets [12]) is impaired in carriers of the A allele.

DISCUSSION
The current study provides novel insights into the role of GIP in the pathophysiology of islet function and type 2 diabetes by exploring metabolic effects of a variant (rs10423928) in the GIPR gene in vivo and in vitro, and provides mechanisms that could explain the protective effects of GIP on islet function. We present evidence that GIP influences expression of the inflammatory cytokine

**FIG. 2.** Expression of GIPR rs10423928 in islets. A: Human, mouse, and rat islet sections double immunostained for GIPR (green) and insulin (red), glucagon (red), and somatostatin (red) showing GIPR expression in β-, α-, and δ-cells (yellow in the merged images). Scale bars, 50 μm. Arrowheads indicate GIPR-immunoreactive α- and δ-cells. B: GIPR mRNA levels were lower in human pancreatic islets from diabetic (n = 7) as compared with nondiabetic donors (n = 43) (P = 0.017). C: GIPR mRNA levels were lower in nondiabetic carriers of the TA/AA (n = 20) than in TT genotypes (n = 22) (P = 0.0127). D: Fasting GIP levels were lower in carriers of the TA/AA than in TT genotypes in nondiabetic subjects from the PPP-Botnia study (N = 3,011; P = 3.1 × 10^{-6}). E: GIP levels at 2 h during the OGTT were lower in carriers of the TA/AA than in TT genotypes in nondiabetic subjects from the PPP-Botnia study (N = 2,958; P = 8.3 × 10^{-7}). Carriers of TA/AA genotypes are shown in pink and TT genotypes in blue. Bars represent mean ± SEM. (A high-quality digital representation of this figure is available in the online issue.)
OPN in islets, which in turn, has protective effects on \(\beta\)-cell proliferation and potentially apoptosis. Although the \(GIPR\) variant was associated with impaired glucose- and GIP-stimulated insulin secretion, this was not translated into a similarly increased risk of type 2 diabetes as seen for other variants with similar effects on insulin secretion (DIAGRAM +), most likely as the variant also resulted in other variants with similar effects on insulin secretion. This does not exclude a very small effect on risk of lower BMI including smaller waist and lower lean body mass. This does not exclude a very small effect on risk of lower BMI including smaller waist and lower lean body mass. It could be argued that GIP, in addition to its incretin effect, has profound protective effects, which could be partially mediated by OPN protects \(\beta\)-cells from IL-1\(\beta\)-induced cytotoxicity. Here we provide direct proof of a dose-dependent effect of OPN on \(\beta\)-cell proliferation. Furthermore, we showed that the protective effects of GIP previously demonstrated in murine and porcine islets are also seen in human islets, as shown by GIP's ability to preserve cell viability in response to inflammatory cytokines. Interestingly, it was recently demonstrated that transgenic pigs with impaired GIP function have 60% reduced \(\beta\)-cell proliferation, resulting in a 58% reduction of \(\beta\)-cell mass due to decreased \(\beta\)-cell proliferation and increased apoptosis, given that both GIP and OPN have previously been ascribed \(\beta\)-cell-protective effects (11–15). Our data suggest that the previously reported protective effects of GIP on \(\beta\)-cells could be, at least in part, mediated through regulation of OPN expression. A potential mechanism could involve activation of CREB (cAMP response element-binding) transcription factor, which has been implicated as a mediator of GIP effects in islets (16) and adipose tissue (20) and as a key transcriptional regulator of OPN in the vasculature (44,45). A previous study by Arafat et al. (12) reported that OPN protects \(\beta\)-cells from IL-1\(\beta\)-induced cytotoxicity. Here we provide direct proof of a dose-dependent effect of OPN on \(\beta\)-cell proliferation. Furthermore, we showed that the protective effects of GIP previously demonstrated in murine and porcine islets are also seen in human islets, as shown by GIP's ability to preserve cell viability in response to inflammatory cytokines. Interestingly, it was recently demonstrated that transgenic pigs with impaired GIP function have 60% reduced \(\beta\)-cell proliferation, resulting in a 58% reduction of \(\beta\)-cell mass (14). Taken together, these data demonstrate that GIP, in addition to its incretin effect, has profound \(\beta\)-cell-protective effects, which could be partially mediated by OPN.

Another novel observation was that the A allele of the \(GIPR\) gene was associated with decreased \(GIPR\) expression in human pancreatic islets, suggesting a possible mechanism for the observed reduced function of the receptor. A novel finding of the current study was that GIP signaling influences OPN expression in islets at both the mRNA and protein level. Consistently, OPN expression was lower in carriers of the A allele in the \(GIPR\) gene. Reduced GIP signaling and OPN expression could result in reduced \(\beta\)-cell mass due to decreased \(\beta\)-cell proliferation and increased apoptosis, given that both GIP and OPN have previously been ascribed \(\beta\)-cell-protective effects (11–15). Our data suggest that the previously reported protective effects of GIP on \(\beta\)-cells could be, at least in part, mediated through regulation of OPN expression. A potential mechanism could involve activation of CREB (cAMP response element-binding) transcription factor, which has been implicated as a mediator of GIP effects in islets (16) and adipose tissue (20) and as a key transcriptional regulator of OPN in the vasculature (44,45). A previous study by Arafat et al. (12) reported that OPN protects \(\beta\)-cells from IL-1\(\beta\)-induced cytotoxicity. Here we provide direct proof of a dose-dependent effect of OPN on \(\beta\)-cell proliferation. Furthermore, we showed that the protective effects of GIP previously demonstrated in murine and porcine islets are also seen in human islets, as shown by GIP's ability to preserve cell viability in response to inflammatory cytokines. Interestingly, it was recently demonstrated that transgenic pigs with impaired GIP function have 60% reduced \(\beta\)-cell proliferation, resulting in a 58% reduction of \(\beta\)-cell mass (14). Taken together, these data demonstrate that GIP, in addition to its incretin effect, has profound \(\beta\)-cell-protective effects, which could be partially mediated by OPN.
A and T allele carriers with respect to BMI. Secondly, tissue-specific disruption of the GIPR in adipose tissue results in reduced adiposity without any effects on islet function (46). These findings are also supported by a recent meta-analysis by the GIANT consortium demonstrating an association between BMI and a nearby SNP, which is in LD ($r^2 = 0.83$) with rs10423928 (47). Importantly, the lowering effect of the A allele on BMI seems sufficient to neutralize the effect of the associated impairment in insulin secretion on the risk of type 2 diabetes.

A possible explanation for the observed reduced function of the receptor arises from the fact that the SNP rs10423928 located in intron 12 is in strong linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 = 0.93$) with a nonsynonymous polymorphism, rs1800437, located in exon 10 of GIPR. The minor, at-risk C allele of rs1800437 encodes a glutamine instead of a glutamic acid residue at position 354 in the sixth transmembrane helix (TM6; Supplementary Fig. 2). This region is critical for ligand-mediated activation in the GPCR class B family, to which GIPR belongs (48), thereby likely resulting in decreased activation of the receptor. Recently, it was demonstrated that the coding rs1800437 variant E354Q was associated with decreased basal signaling, possibly as a consequence of reduced cell surface expression (49). The GIPR showed both ligand-dependent and ligand-independent signaling. These recent data therefore support the view that translational changes could contribute to ligand-independent signaling. Although a functional study of the E354Q variant
in Chinese hamster fibroblasts did not show differences in GIPR activity measured as cAMP formation at higher GIP levels, there seemed to be differences in the lower physiological range of GIP concentrations (50).

Since most polymorphisms resulting in phenotype expression have developed as a consequence from their exposure to the environment, one can speculate that the variant in the GIPR gene has been associated with certain advantages during evolution. A decrease in insulin secretion coupled with a reduction in body size would be compatible with saving of energy. In support of this, GIPR<sup>−/−</sup> mice show decreased energy expenditure (46). The T allele is the ancestral allele in chimpanzee, rhesus monkey, dog, and mouse, whereas the A allele is the derived allele in humans, the frequency of which has increased with migration out of Africa (from 0.12 in Africans, 0.18 in Europeans, and 0.20 in Asians; HapMap build 36). Gene variants that show positive selection during evolution often show an increase in the derived allele in Europeans compared with Africans (51). In support of this, the GIP gene has been shown to be under strong adaptive selection during its evolution (52).

In conclusion, our study reinforces the central role of the gut in the pathophysiology of metabolic disorders like type 2 diabetes and obesity and positions GIP as a key anabolic hormone with effects partially mediated through the cytokine OPN. Therapeutic manipulations of GIP and/or OPN might be potential approaches to treat disorders like metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes.
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