Evidence That Hyperglycemia After Recovery From Hypoglycemia Worsens Endothelial Function and Increases Oxidative Stress and Inflammation in Healthy Control Subjects and Subjects With Type 1 Diabetes
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Currently there is debate on whether hypoglycemia is an independent risk factor for atherosclerosis, but little attention has been paid to the effects of recovery from hypoglycemia. In normal control individuals and in people with type 1 diabetes, recovery from a 2-h induced hypoglycemia was obtained by reaching normoglycemia or hyperglycemia after another 2 h and then maintaining normal glycemia for the following 6 h. Hyperglycemia after hypoglycemia was also repeated with the concomitant infusion of vitamin C. Recovery with normoglycemia is accompanied by a significant improvement in endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and inflamation, which are affected by hypoglycemia; however, a period of hyperglycemia after hypoglycemia worsens all of these parameters, an effect that persists even after the additional 6 h of normoglycemia. This effect is partially counterbalanced when hyperglycemia after hypoglycemia is accompanied by the simultaneous infusion of vitamin C, suggesting that when hyperglycemia follows hypoglycemia, an ischemia-reperfusion-like effect is produced. This study shows that the way in which recovery from hypoglycemia takes place in people with type 1 diabetes could play an important role in favoring the appearance of endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and inflammation, widely recognized cardiovascular risk factors.

Recent evidence suggests that hypoglycemia may play an important role in the vascular complications of diabetes (1). Hypoglycemia causes oxidative stress (2), inflammation (3), and endothelial dysfunction (4). Oxidative stress is considered the key player in the pathogenesis of diabetes complications (5). During hyperglycemia, oxidative stress is produced at the mitochondrial level (5), similarly as in hypoglycemia (2). Therefore, oxidative stress might be considered the common factor linking hyperglycemia, hypoglycemia, and the vascular complications of diabetes. Consistent with this hypothesis is the evidence that hyperglycemia (6) and hypoglycemia both produce endothelial dysfunction and inflammation through the generation of oxidative stress (4,7). Endothelial dysfunction and inflammation are well-recognized pathogenic factors for vascular disease, particularly in diabetes (8).

There is, however, evidence that free radical production rises, not only during hypoglycemia but particularly during glucose reperfusion after hypoglycemia (9). In both mice and cultured neurons, hypoglycemia, followed by different concentrations of glucose reperfusion, has been linked to a degree of superoxide production and neuronal death that increased proportionally with glucose concentrations during the reperfusion period (9).

Until now, little attention has been given to studying the effects of recovery from hypoglycemia. The aim of this study was to evaluate these effects and, in particular, to determine if the level of glycemia reached during recovery could have a different impact, in vivo, on oxidative stress generation, inflammation, and endothelial function.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Subjects. Twenty healthy subjects and 22 matched individuals with type 1 diabetes were studied (Table 1). Results of bedside tests of autonomic function in individuals with type 1 diabetes were within normal reference ranges (10), and they did not exhibit hypoglycemia unawareness, as based on the methods of Gold et al. (11). Type 1 diabetic individuals had no major macro- or microcomplications of diabetes. The study excluded individuals with type 1 diabetes who had had at least one major episode of hypoglycemia in the preceding 2 years. Type 1 diabetic individuals were treated with multiple daily insulin injections. All subjects were nonsmokers and had a normal blood count, plasma lipids, plasma electrolytes, liver and renal function, and blood pressure. No subject was taking medications known to affect neuroendocrine responses to hypoglycemia or inflammation. Studies were approved by the ethical committees of the respective research institutions involved, and all participants gave written informed consent.

All subjects were admitted to the Research Center on the evening before each experiment and ate a meal. Individuals with type 1 diabetes received a continuous low-dose infusion of insulin to normalize plasma glucose. The insulin infusion was adjusted overnight to maintain blood glucose between 4.4 and 7.2 mmol/L.

Hypoglycemia experiments. Three different experiments, each following a 2-h period of induced hypoglycemia and lasting for 2 hours, were planned for each subject in a randomized order: reaching and maintaining normoglycemia, reaching and maintaining hyperglycemia, or reaching and maintaining hyperglycemia with simultaneous infusion of vitamin C. Each subject underwent the experiments at intervals of 2 weeks or longer.

All subjects fasted overnight for 10 h. In all experiments, an initial period of 120 min was allowed to elapse; followed by a 120-min hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemic experimental period. At time 120 min, a primed constant (9.0 pmol · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹) infusion of insulin (Actrapid, NovoNordisk, Copenhagen, Denmark) began and continued for 240 min. The rate of glucose decrease was controlled (−0.08 mmol/min), and glucose nadir (2.9 mmol/L), a level that likely elicits minimal counterregulatory effect, was achieved using a modification of the glucose clamp technique. During the clamp period, plasma...
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TABLE 1
Baseline characteristics of control subjects and of type 1 diabetic patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control subjects</th>
<th>Subjects with type 1 diabetes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>23.2 ± 3.1</td>
<td>23.5 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>24.7 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (mmol/mol)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>65 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting BP (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>115.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>118.4 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic</td>
<td>79.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>77.5 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMD (%)</td>
<td>11.8 ± 1.2</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-ISO-PGF2α (pg/mL)</td>
<td>32.6 ± 4.6</td>
<td>64.4 ± 4.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sICAM-1a (ng/mL)</td>
<td>104.2 ± 12.5</td>
<td>162.5 ± 10.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>114.51 ± 16.3</td>
<td>220.35 ± 11.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrotyrosine μmol/L</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.05*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SE. + BP, blood pressure. *P < 0.001 vs. control subjects.

RESULTS
Basal FMD was significantly decreased in people with type 1 diabetes compared with control subjects, whereas 8-iso-PGF2α, nitrotyrosine, sICAM-1, and IL-6 were significantly increased (Table 1). Similarly to previous studies (3,4), FMD significantly decreased after 2 h of hypoglycemia, whereas sICAM-1, 8-iso-PGF2α, nitrotyrosine, and IL-6 significantly increased compared with basal values in control subjects and in subjects with type 1 diabetes (Figs. 1 and 2).

Recovery from hypoglycemia had a dramatically different effect when obtained reaching normoglycemia or hyperglycemia.

After 2 h of recovery in normoglycemia, FMD was significantly decreased compared with control subjects and in people with type 1 diabetes, whereas sICAM-1, 8-iso-PGF2α, nitrotyrosine, and IL-6 were still significantly increased compared with basal values, reaching the baseline values after 6 h of normal glycemia (Figs. 1 and 2).

After 2 h of recovery in hyperglycemia, FMD was even more significantly decreased compared with basal, than recovery in normoglycemia, in both control subjects and people with type 1 diabetes (Figs. 1 and 2). The decrease was more pronounced in control subjects than in participants with diabetes (41 vs. 16%). Levels of sICAM-1, 8-iso-PGF2α, nitrotyrosine, and IL-6 were significantly increased in a consistent manner, in both control subjects and people with type 1 diabetes, compared with basal, than after recovery in normoglycemia (Figs. 1 and 2).

After 6 h of glucose normalization after recovery in hyperglycemia, FMD was still decreased compared with basal in normal control subjects and people with diabetes (Figs. 1 and 2). Compared with basal, sICAM-1, 8-iso-PGF2α, and nitrotyrosine were significantly increased in a consistent manner in control subjects and people with type 1 diabetes (Figs. 1 and 2).

These phenomena were significantly attenuated in control subjects and in people with type 1 diabetes when recovery in hyperglycemia was accompanied by the simultaneous infusion of vitamin C: FMD decreased less, whereas sICAM-1, 8-iso-PGF2α, nitrotyrosine, and IL-6 were less increased (Figs. 1 and 2). Endothelial-independent vasodilatation was not affected in any of the experiments.

DISCUSSION
This study confirms that hypoglycemia induces endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and inflammation. However, it also shows that the way in which recovery from hypoglycemia takes place may also have an effect on cardiovascular risk. When recovery from hypoglycemia is obtained reaching normoglycemia, the deleterious effects of the previous hypoglycemia are mainly counterbalanced, whereas when recovery is obtained reaching hyperglycemia, endothelial function, oxidative stress, and inflammation are further worsened.

In recent years, hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia have both been confirmed as affecting atherothrombosis and inflammation (1,6). However, the role of hypoglycemia in this setting has received particular attention. Two epidemiologic studies have determined that hypoglycemia results in an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality (16,17), whereas the increase in cardiovascular mortality in people with type 2 diabetes in the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial did not result in an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in people with type 2 diabetes in the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (ACCORD) trial.
(ACCORD) trial (18) and possibly in the Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial (VADT) (19) and Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified Release Controlled Evaluation (ADVANCE) (20) studies has caused concern, because intensive treatment had tripled the frequency of severe hypoglycemia.

The situation remains unclear, however. In the ACCORD trial, epidemiologic analyses were perplexing; for example, earlier severe hypoglycemic episodes were a risk factor for death and occurred three times as often as in the intensive glycemic treatment group, but the excess of deaths in that group was not accounted for by those subjects who had suffered earlier episodes of severe hypoglycemia (21). In the ADVANCE trial, severe hypoglycemia was strongly associated with increased risks of a range of adverse clinical outcomes; however, although it is possible that severe hypoglycemia contributes to adverse outcomes, the data indicate that hypoglycemia is just as likely to be a marker of vulnerability to such events (20). None of these studies devoted attention to how patients recovered from hypoglycemia, and these kinds of data are probably not available. For the first time, our data raise the interesting hypothesis that the way in which recovery from hypoglycemia takes place may, on the one hand, have a role in determining the outcomes, and, on the other, in confusion regarding the interpretation of results.

The way by which recovery from hypoglycemia through hyperglycemia worsens the situation is, convincingly, an increase in oxidative stress. When hyperglycemia after hypoglycemia was accompanied by the simultaneous increase in oxidative stress, the situation worsened.
infusion of the antioxidant vitamin C, the expected improvement in oxidative stress was accompanied by a simultaneous improvement in endothelial function and inflammation. This finding is consistent with the evidence reported in cells and animals (9), suggesting that hyperglycemia after hypoglycemia produces a “reperfusion-like” effect (22).

In our opinion, it is interesting that recovery from hypoglycemia with normoglycemia in control subjects and in people with type 1 diabetes was not completely without negative effects. In both groups, even improved endothelial function, inflammation, and oxidative stress were not completely recovered, suggesting that hypoglycemia regardless might produce a sort of legacy effect, even for a short period. The concept of “legacy” or “metabolic memory” is well recognized in hyperglycemia and indicates the possibility of a long-lasting deleterious effect persisting for some time after glucose normalization (23). This concept is not new at all to hypoglycemia; for example, baroreflex sensitivity and sympathetic response to hypotension are attenuated after previous hypoglycemia (24). Interestingly, oxidative stress is the mediator of the hyperglycemia-induced metabolic memory (23). Moreover, the “memory” phenomenon, after heart ischemia–reperfusion, is also well known: alterations of metabolism in the heart may last for even days after a period of ischemia–reperfusion (22). It is possible that after hypoglycemia, alterations similar to those occurring in the reperfused heart are produced in endothelial cells. This is supported by the similarity of the pathways activated in the two situations, as reported in basic experiments (25).

**FIG. 2.** Levels for glucose, sICAM-1, FMD, nitrotyrosine, IL-6, and 8-iso-PGF2α in normal healthy control subjects are shown for hypoglycemia + hyperglycemia (solid line), hypoglycemia + normoglycemia (dashed line), and hypoglycemia + hyperglycemia + vitamin C (dashed-dotted line). *P < 0.05 vs. basal. #P < 0.01 vs. basal. §P < 0.01 vs. hypoglycemia + normoglycemia. £P < 0.01 vs. hypoglycemia + hyperglycemia + vitamin C.
In conclusion, our study shows for the first time that the way in which recovery from hypoglycemia takes place could have a dramatic impact on cardiovascular risk in diabetes, suggesting that in clinical practice, a gradual correction of blood glucose in patients with hypoglycemia may be preferred to a more rapid correction and hyperglycemia. This hypothesis, of course, needs to be confirmed in large-scale, specifically designed clinical trials. However, because hypoglycemia as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease has become increasingly evident (1) and because hypoglycemia is a frequent event in the life of people with diabetes, we believe that our study may already give a rationale for a more careful treatment of hypoglycemia in daily practice.
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