**Rac1 Is a Novel Regulator of Contraction-Stimulated Glucose Uptake in Skeletal Muscle**
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In skeletal muscle, the actin cytoskeleton-regulating GTPase, Rac1, is necessary for insulin-dependent GLUT4 translocation. Muscle contraction increases glucose transport and represents an alternative signaling pathway to insulin. Whether Rac1 is activated by muscle contraction and regulates contraction-induced glucose uptake is unknown. Therefore, we studied the effects of in vivo exercise and ex vivo muscle contractions on Rac1 signaling and its regulatory role in glucose uptake in mice and humans. Muscle Rac1-GTP binding was increased after exercise in mice (~60–100%) and humans (~40%), and this activation was AMP-activated protein kinase independent. Rac1 inhibition reduced contraction-stimulated glucose uptake in mouse muscle by 55% in soleus and by 20–58% in extensor digitorum longus (EDL; \( P < 0.01 \)). In agreement, the contraction-stimulated increment in glucose uptake was decreased by 27% (\( P = 0.1 \)) and 40% (\( P < 0.05 \)) in soleus and EDL muscles, respectively, of muscle-specific inducible Rac1 knockout mice. Furthermore, depolymerization of the actin cytoskeleton decreased contraction-stimulated glucose uptake by 100% and 62% (\( P < 0.01 \)) in soleus and EDL muscles, respectively. These are the first data to show that Rac1 is activated during muscle contraction in murine and human skeletal muscle and suggest that Rac1 and possibly the actin cytoskeleton are novel regulators of contraction-stimulated glucose uptake.

Muscle contraction, like insulin, increases glucose uptake into skeletal muscle (1,2). Insulin and muscle contraction both stimulate the translocation of vesicles containing the glucose transporter GLUT4 from intracellular compartments to the sarcolemma and T tubules, allowing glucose to enter the cell via facilitated diffusion (3–5). However, the proximal signaling pathways of contraction and insulin are distinct. Muscle contraction has no effect on the insulin-signaling pathway (6,7), and muscle-specific knockout of the insulin receptor (8), or inhibition of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase with wortmannin (9) does not impair contraction-stimulated glucose uptake. Exercise has an insulin-sensitizing effect, suggesting that these two pathways may regulate similar unidentified distal signaling steps (10,11).

Activation of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) and calcium-dependent signaling, such as protein kinase Cs (PKCs) and calcium-calmodulin–dependent kinases, has traditionally been believed to induce glucose uptake during muscle contraction (3,12). However, the functional significance of these pathways is not fully understood. It is likely that so far unrecognized mechanisms regulated by AMPK, PKCs, calcium, liver kinase B1, stretch, reactive oxygen, and nitrogen species, or other yet unidentified pathways, participate in the regulation of glucose uptake during muscle contraction (3,13).

One such candidate is Rac1 (Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1), a small Rho family GTPase that regulates various cellular processes, including dynamic assembly and disassembly of the actin cytoskeleton (14,15). Rac1 is activated by insulin and induces actin cytoskeleton remodeling at the plasma membrane (15,16). Rac1-dependent rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton is necessary for insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation in L6 myotubes (16–18).

Even though Rac1 has traditionally only been implicated in insulin signaling, the contraction-related protein, AMPK, has been proposed to activate Rac1 in cultured muscle cells (19), endothelial cells (20), and macrophages (21). The primary aim of the present investigation was to explore whether insulin-independent stimuli, such as exercise in vivo and muscle contractions in vitro, activate Rac1 in skeletal muscle. Because Rac1 activation is necessary for insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation (22), we further aimed to investigate the involvement of Rac1 in AICAR- and contraction-stimulated glucose uptake. We hypothesized that Rac1 is activated by muscle contraction and that this activation plays a role in contraction-induced glucose uptake.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

Female C57BL/6 mice (Taconic, Denmark) aged 12–16 weeks old were used for all inhibitor incubation experiments.

**Muscle-specific kinase-dead c2-AMPK mice.** Mice overexpressing a kinase-dead Lys48Arg mutant c2-AMPK subunit, driven by the heart- and skeletal muscle–specific creatine kinase promoter (AMPK a2-KD) have been described previously (23). The male transgenic AMPK a2-KD and wild-type (WT) mice (12–16 weeks old) used were littermates from intercross-breeding of hemizygous transgenic mice and WT mice.

**Tetracycline-inducible muscle-specific Rac1 knockout mice.** Rac1 floxed mice (24) were crossed with mice containing a tetracycline-controlled transactivator coupled to the human skeletal actin promoter, which drives the muscle-specific expression of the Cre recombinase (25). Mice were backcrossed until N5 (96.3% congenic) on a C57BL/6 background. Male transgenic...
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Muscle analyses. Immediately after electrical stimulation or exercise, mouse and human muscle tissue was quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Tissue was homogenized 2 × 1 min at 30 Hz using a Tissuelyser II (Qiagen, U.S.) in ice-cold Rac1 buffer (Rac1-buffer was used for the Rac1-activity assay and are commercially available from Cytoskeleton Inc.) or in 50 mM LiHepes (pH 7.5), 150 mM LiCl, 20 mM LiD-glucose, 20 mM LiCl β-glycerophosphate, 10 mM LiF, 2 mM Liorthovanadate, 2 mM LiEDTA, 1% NP-40, 1% glycerol, 2 mM Li phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM LiMgCl2, 1 mL LiCaCl2, 10 μg/mL leupeptin, 10 μg/mL aprotinin, and 3 mM Li benzamidine. After rotation end-over-end for 1 h, lysate supernatants were collected by centrifugation (15,000g) for 20 min at 4°C.

Immunoblotting. Lysate protein concentrations were analyzed using the bicinchoninic acid method using BSA standards (Pierce) and bichinonic acid assay reagents (Pierce). Total protein and phosphorylation levels of relevant proteins were determined by standard immunoblotting techniques loading equal amounts of protein. The primary antibodies used were p-AMPK Thr172, p-ACCSer212, PAK1, actin, GLUT4, p-Rac1/Cdc42Ser71, p-Akt substrate (PAS), p-PAGThr402, p-TBCD1Ser237, p-TBCD1Thr634, (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-VAMP3 (Synaptic Systems), and Rac1 (Millipore). Polyclinidene difluoride membranes (Immobilon Transfer Membrane, Millipore) were blocked in TBS-Tween 20 containing 2% skim milk protein for 30 min at room temperature. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. Bands were visualized using an Eastman Kodak Image Station 2000MM and enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL; Amersham Biosciences).

Rac1 activation assay in muscle samples. Frozen solei, EDL, gastrocnemius, and quadriceps muscles were pulverized and homogenized at 30 Hz for 1 min using a Tissuelyser II (Qiagen, U.S.). Lysates were generated by centrifuging the homogenate for 2 min at 13,000 × g, 4°C. Rac1 expression in the supernatant using a commercially available Rac1 activation assay kit (BK 126, Cytoskeleton Inc.). In short, lysates were loaded onto wells coated with the PBD domain of PAK. This domain specifically only binds Rac1 in its GTP-bound form. GTP-bound Rac1 was thus bound to the PBD-coated wells and the amount of GTP-bound Rac1 was detected using a colorimetric assay.

Immunohistochemistry on single muscle fibers. Basal or exercised EDL were fixed in cold Zenobi-Henselet bicarbonate buffer containing 0.15% formaldehyde supplemented with 0.15% picric acid during 30 min at room temperature after 3.5 h at 4°C. After isolation of a minimum of 30 single muscle fibers per muscle, immunostaining against Rac1 was performed, as previously described (32). Briefly, isolated muscle fibers were incubated overnight with a dilution of the anti-Rac1 (Novus Biologicals) in immunobuffer, and after three washes of 20 min each with immunobuffer, the primary antibody was immunodected by binding of a secondary conjugated with Alexa Fluor 568 or 488 (Invitrogen, U.K.). Negative controls for each of the staining conditions were performed by staining without primary antibody. Muscle fibers were mounted in Vectashield mounting medium and analyzed. Confocal images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM710 microscope through a 63 × /1.40 oil DIC Plan-Apochromatic objective at 20°C. Images were analyzed using ZEN (2011) software.

Statistical analyses. Results are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical testing was performed using paired t tests or one- or two-way repeated-measures ANOVA as appropriate. The Tukey post hoc test was used when appropriate. Statistical evaluation was performed using SigmaPlot 11.0 software. The significance level was set at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Rac1 expression is higher in oxidative muscle and is localized to plasma membranes. In mice, Rac1 protein was 45–50% more abundant in the oxidative soleus than the more glycolytic EDL and mixed gastrocnemius muscles (Fig. 1A). Expression of Rac1 also tended to be 30% higher (P = 0.06) in gastrocnemius compared with EDL muscle. In humans, the Rac1 expression pattern was similar between soleus and gastrocnemius (Fig. 1B). This agrees with a more homogeneous fiber-type composition in human muscles, as previously demonstrated in these subjects (31). Subcellular fractionations showed that Rac1 was mainly localized to plasma membranes (P1 and P2) in mouse hind limb muscles (Fig. 1C), consistent with previous findings in other cell types (33,34). The control
protein, VAMP3, was localized primarily to intracellular fractionations (Fig. 1C), as previously published (35).

**Rac1 is activated by electrically induced contraction, passive stretching, and after 30 min of treadmill running in mouse muscle.** Rac1 is activated when bound to GTP (15). We therefore investigated if Rac1-GTP binding was increased in response to electrically induced contraction, stretch, and treadmill running in mouse skeletal muscle. Rac1-GTP binding was 61% higher after electrically induced contraction (Fig. 2A) and 50% higher after passive stretching (Fig. 2B) in incubated mouse soleus muscles (in which Rac1 is most abundant). Furthermore, Rac1-GTP binding was increased by 44% after 30 min of treadmill running at a relative low running speed of 40% maximum running speed (10 m/min; Fig. 2C). We also assessed whether Rac1-GTP binding and phosphorylation of the Rac1 downstream target, PAK1 (p-PAK1Thr423), both increased in an intensity-dependent manner during exercise in mice. Rac1-GTP binding increased by ~50% and 100% after treadmill running at 50% and 70% of maximum running speed, respectively. p-PAK1Thr423 mimicked Rac1-GTP binding and was increased by ~60% and 100% at these intensities. Rac1 has previously been found to relocalize when bound to GTP. We therefore isolated single fibers from basal or exercised EDL muscles and visualized Rac1 localization at the cell periphery using confocal fluorescence immunohistochemistry. In the basal state, Rac1 presented a striated pattern. After exercise, this structure thickened by ~100% measured by determining the full width at half maximum (FWHM; Fig. 2E).

**Rac1 activity is increased after exercise in humans.** To ascertain whether Rac1 activation also occurs in human muscle, Rac1-GTP binding and serine 71 phosphorylation of Rac1 (p-Rac1Ser71), which correlates with Rac1-GTP
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A: Rac1 protein expression in mouse soleus (SOL), extensor digitorum longus (EDL), and gastrocnemius, (Gast) \((n = 9)\). B: Rac1 expression in human soleus (SOL) and gastrocnemius (Gast) \((n = 8)\). C: Intracellular localization of Rac1 and VAMP3 in mouse hind limb (gastrocnemius and quadriceps) muscle. L, lysate; P1 and 2, plasma membrane fractions; Cyt, cytosol; F1-8 intracellular fractions \((n = 7)\). Statistical significance is indicated by \(* P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01\). Values represent mean ± SEM.
binding in CaCo-2 cells (36), were measured in soleus and gastrocnemius muscle of lean, healthy individuals before and immediately after 45 min of inclined walking at 69.1% $V_{\text{O2peak}}$. Rac1-GTP binding was elevated by 52% in soleus and 38% in gastrocnemius (Fig. 3A and B), and p-Rac1$^{\text{Ser71}}$ increased 39% in soleus and 20% in gastrocnemius but the increase in gastrocnemius was not significant (Fig. 3C). In addition, p-PAK1$^{\text{Thr423}}$ increased after

FIG. 2. A: Rac1-GTP binding in incubated mouse soleus muscle stimulated with (black bar) or without (white bar) electrically induced contraction (100 Hz 15-s intervals, 2-s train, 0.2-ms impulses) ($n=7$). B: Rac1-GTP binding in incubated mouse soleus muscle kept at resting tension (2–5 mN; basal) or stretched (150 mN, 15 min) ($n=7$). C: Mouse quadriceps muscle, basal and after 30 min running at speed of 10 m/min (exercise 10 m/min = 40% of max running speed) ($n=7$). D: Rac1-GTP binding (top) and p-PAK$^{\text{Thr423}}$ (middle), and representative blots (bottom) in mouse quadriceps muscle basal or in response to 30-min treadmill running at 50 or 70% (Ex 50/70%) of maximum running speed ($n=8$). E: Representative images and bar graph (FWHM) showing Rac1 staining of single fibers isolated from mouse EDL muscle in the basal state or after 30 min of treadmill running at 70% of maximum running speed ($n=5$). Statistical significance compared with basal is indicated by *$P<0.05$; **$P<0.01$; ***$P<0.001$. Values represent mean ± SEM.
exercise by 102% in soleus and 83% in gastrocnemius muscles (Fig. 3D).

**Rac1 is not activated downstream of AMPK, and exercise-stimulated Rac1 activation is AMPK-independent.** AMPK is activated during muscle contraction, and AMPK-activation by AICAR and retinoic acid has previously been reported to activate Rac1 in vitro in C2C12 myotubes (19). In the current study, however, AICAR failed to activate Rac1 in mouse soleus and EDL muscles despite a significant increase in p-AMPKThr172 and p-ACCSer212 (Fig. 4A and B). In C2C12 myotubes, only insulin increased Rac1-GTP binding. Neither DNP (a mitochondrial uncoupler) nor AICAR changed Rac1-GTP binding, despite significant increases in p-AMPKThr172 and p-ACCSer212 (Fig. 4C). It is possible, however, that AMPK activation is necessary for contraction-induced activation of Rac1. Therefore, Rac1-GTP binding in quadriceps of WT and AMPKα2-KD mice was compared after treadmill running. We previously showed severely compromised AMPK signaling during exercise in AMPKα2-KD compared with WT mice (27). Treadmill running at 70% of maximal running speed significantly increased Rac1-GTP binding (Fig. 4D) by ~100% in WT and AMPKα2-KD mice. These data suggest that AMPK activation is neither sufficient nor necessary to activate Rac1 in intact skeletal muscle or muscle cells in culture.

**Pharmacological inhibition of Rac1 decreases contraction-stimulated 2DG uptake.** To test whether Rac1 is necessary for contraction-induced glucose uptake, mouse soleus and EDL muscles were isolated and incubated with or without the Rac1 inhibitors NSC23766 and Rac1 inhibitor II. The concentrations used were the lowest necessary to inhibit insulin-stimulated Rac1 activation, as found in pilot experiments (data not shown). Contraction-stimulated glucose uptake was decreased by NSC23766 by ~55% in soleus and EDL muscles (Fig. 5A). Similarly, Rac1 inhibitor II inhibited the contraction-stimulated increment in glucose uptake in soleus and EDL by 58% and 22%, respectively (Fig. 5B). None of the inhibitors affected p-AMPKThr172 or p-ACCSer212 signaling (Fig. 5C and D), initial force production, or fatigue development (Supplementary Fig. 1A). In EDL muscle, contraction increased

---

**FIG. 3.** A: Rac1-GTP binding in human soleus (SOL) and gastrocnemius (Gast) in the basal state and after 45 min of inclined walking at ~69% $V_{O_2\text{peak}}$ (n = 5–9). B: Exercise-stimulated fold-changes from basal in soleus (SOL) and gastrocnemius (Gast). C: Bar graph and representative Western blot analysis of p-Rac1Ser71 in response to exercise in human soleus and gastrocnemius muscle (n = 5–9). D: Bar graph and Western blot analysis of p-PAKThr423 in response to exercise in human soleus and gastrocnemius muscle (n = 5–9). Statistical significance compared with basal is indicated by *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. Values represent mean ± SEM.
p-PAK1Thr423 by ~42%, and this response was blunted by Rac1 Inhibitor II (Fig. 5D). There was no increase in p-PAK1Thr423 in soleus in response to electrically induced contraction, but the Rac1 Inhibitor II decreased p-PAK1Thr423 (Fig. 5D). No effects of the inhibitors were observed on Rac1 or GLUT4 protein expression (Fig. 5C and D). Rac1 Inhibitor II did not affect the 83% increase in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in white adipose (gonadal) tissue (Fig. 5E).

Contraction-stimulated 2DG uptake is depressed in muscle-specific inducible Rac1 KO mice. Rac1 protein content was reduced 65% in soleus and 90% in EDL from doxycycline-treated inducible muscle-specific Rac1 KO mice compared with WT control mice (Fig. 6A). Initial experiments showed that carrying the flox sequence, without activating the Cre-recombinase with doxycycline, did not affect Rac1 expression in muscle compared with WT mice. Furthermore, doxycycline treatment of WT mice did not affect Rac1 expression (Fig. 6B). After doxycycline treatment, the contraction-stimulated increment in 2DG uptake tended to be decreased by 27% ($P = 0.1$) in soleus and was significantly decreased by 40% ($P < 0.05$) in EDL muscle (Fig. 6C and D). No difference in GLUT4 content (Fig. 6E and F) or p-AMPKThr172, p-ACC Ser212, p-TBC1D4 Thr642, or PAS signaling was observed between genotypes (Fig. 6E and F), and Rac1 KO did not affect force production (Supplementary Fig. 1B). Interestingly we observed that PAK1 protein expression was increased by ~20% in the Rac1 KO EDL muscle (Fig. 6G). When related to total protein, p-PAK1Thr423 was diminished in EDL in Rac1 KO mice compared with WT. When interpreted together with the results using Rac1 inhibitors, these data strongly implicate Rac1 in the regulation of contraction-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle.

**Rac1 is not involved in AICAR-stimulated glucose uptake.** Because Rac1-GTP binding did not increase in response to AICAR, we hypothesized that AICAR-stimulated glucose uptake would be normal in muscles.
FIG. 5. A: Contraction-stimulated 2DG uptake in soleus and EDL without or with 200 μmol/L NSC23766, 1-h preincubation (n = 12). B: Contraction-stimulated 2DG uptake in soleus and EDL without or with 10 μmol/L Rac1 Inhibitor II (Inhib II), 1-h preincubation (n = 10). C: Representative blots and bar graphs show contraction-stimulated p-AMPK\text{Thr172} and p-ACCSer212 in soleus and EDL muscle without or with 200 μmol/L NSC23766.

D: Representative blots and bar graphs show contraction-stimulated p-AMPK\text{Thr172}, p-ACCSer212, and p-PAK\text{Thr423} signaling and Rac1 and GLUT4 total protein in soleus and EDL muscle without or with 10 μmol/L Rac1 Inhibitor II (Inhib II). E: Insulin-stimulated (60 nmol/L) 2DG uptake in mouse white adipose (gonadal) tissue without or with 10 μmol/L Rac1 Inhibitor II (Inhib II), 1-h preincubation (n = 8). Statistical significance between basal and contraction is indicated by *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Effect of inhibitor on contraction-stimulated 2DG or p-PAK\text{Thr423} is indicated by #P < 0.05; ##P < 0.01; ###P < 0.001. Main effect of inhibitor is indicated by †P < 0.05. Values represent mean ± SEM.
FIG. 6. A: Bar graph shows mean ± SEM of Rac1 knockout efficiency in soleus and EDL muscle of muscle-specific inducible Rac1 KO mice and WT controls (n = 11–13). B: Representative Western blots showing the effect of doxycycline (1 g/L) treatment on Rac1 protein in WT vs. Floxed Rac1 KO mice (Rac1 flo/flo*Cre) (gastrocnemius muscle). C: Contraction-stimulated 2DG uptake in incubated isolated soleus and EDL muscles from WT and Rac1 KO mice (n = 11–13). D: Contraction-stimulated fold changes from basal in 2DG uptake from basal in soleus and EDL from WT and Rac1 KO mice. E: Representative Western blots of p-AMPK Thr172, p-ACC Ser212, PAS, p-PAK Thr423, p-TBC1D4 Thr542, and p-TBC1D1 Ser237 in soleus and EDL from Rac1 KO mice and WT controls. G: Bar graph shows mean ± SEM of contraction-induced p-AMPK Thr172, p-ACC Ser212, PAS, p-PAK Thr423, p-TBC1D4 Thr542, and p-TBC1D1 Ser237 in soleus and EDL from Rac1 KO mice and WT controls. G: Bar graph shows mean SEM of total PAK1 and GLUT4 protein in soleus and EDL of WT and Rac1 KO mice. Statistical significances between basal and contraction are indicated by *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Effect of genotype on contraction-stimulated 2-DG and p-PAK Thr423 is indicated by #P < 0.05. Main effect of genotype is indicated by †P < 0.05; †††P < 0.001. Values represent mean ± SEM.
preincubated with the Rac1 Inhibitor II and in Rac1 KO mice. In the inhibitor experiment, AICAR-induced a 30% and 100% increase in glucose transport in soleus and EDL, respectively (Fig. 7A). In agreement with our hypothesis, neither AICAR-induced glucose uptake nor signaling was affected by Rac1 Inhibitor II (Fig. 7A-C). These findings were confirmed in the Rac1 KO model, in which the ~40% and ~160% (SOL and EDL, respectively) AICAR-induced increment in 2DG uptake was unaffected by Rac1 KO (Fig. 7D). AMPK signaling was not affected by Rac1 KO (Fig. 7E and F). We did, however, observe that the Rac1 Inhibitor II (only in soleus), as well as Rac1 KO, induced a decrease in p-PAKThr423 in response to AICAR (Fig. 7B and E). We also observed a 70% increase in PAK1 protein expression in EDL muscle in the Rac1 mice compared with WT (Fig. 7E).

To further confirm that Rac1 is not involved in AMPK-mediated glucose transport, we investigated GLUT4 translocation in C2C12-GLUT4myc myotubes. There was no effect of the Rac1 inhibitor NSC23766 on AICAR or DNP-induced GLUT4 translocation (Fig. 7G), which is in accordance with our observation that Rac1 is not activated in response to these stimuli in C2C12-GLUT4myc myotubes. **Contraction-stimulated 2DG uptake is inhibited by actin cytoskeleton depolymerization.** Rac1 is a major regulator of actin remodeling, and cytoskeletal rearrangement is required for GLUT4 translocation in response to insulin (17,37). Because we found that inhibition of Rac1 decreased contraction-stimulated glucose uptake, we hypothesized that this might be due to impaired Rac1-dependent regulation of the actin cytoskeleton. At concentrations that have previously been found to inhibit insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in rat skeletal muscle (38), the actin depolymerizing agent Latrunculin B, blocked contraction-induced 2DG uptake in soleus and decreased 2DG uptake by 62% in EDL muscle (Fig. 8A). However, this concentration inhibited initial force production by ~50% (Supplementary Fig. 1C). Therefore, soleus and EDL muscles were also incubated with one-tenth of the previously applied concentration. This concentration did not inhibit force production (Supplementary Fig. 1C) and hence is deemed unlikely to affect contractile actin filaments, yet inhibited contraction-stimulated glucose uptake in soleus by 25% and EDL by ~40% (Fig. 8B). These results suggest that an intact actin cytoskeleton is necessary for muscle contraction to stimulate glucose uptake in skeletal muscle.

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that Rac1 and its downstream target, PAK1, are activated by exercise in human and mouse skeletal muscles. We further show, using an AMPK α2-KD mouse model, that activation of Rac1 during treadmill running does not depend on α2-AMPK, and that AMPK-activating agents, such as AICAR and DNP, do not activate Rac1 in intact muscle or muscle cell culture. Finally, using pharmacological inhibitors as well as inducible, muscle-specific Rac1 KO mice, Rac1 is identified as a novel regulator of contraction-induced but not AICAR-induced glucose uptake. Because Rac1 is activated by insulin in muscle (17,22), these findings indicate that Rac1 is a novel convergence point between insulin- and contraction-stimulated signaling pathways.

AMPK-independent Rac1 activation is inconsistent with a previous study, proposing a correlation between AMPK phosphorylation and Rac1-activity in response to AICAR and retinoic acid in muscle cells (19). However, that study used the unspecified AMPK inhibitor compound C, and although small interfering RNA toward AMPKα1 inhibited downstream targets of Rac1, the authors did not report inhibition of Rac1-GTP binding. We argue that AMPK-independent pathways mediate contraction-stimulated activation of Rac1 in skeletal muscle. Load-sensitive pathways were previously found to activate upstream effectors of Rac1 in vitro (39). Such pathways could therefore be activating Rac1 during muscle contraction because stretching activated Rac1 in the current study. Interestingly, we observed a relocation at the cell periphery of Rac1 in exercise-stimulated single muscle fibers. These data suggest that Rac1 is dynamically affected by exercise.

To elucidate the functional significance of Rac1 activation during exercise, the effect of two specific inhibitors of Rac1 was assessed, and both decreased contraction-stimulated glucose uptake in soleus and EDL muscles. Using a genetic Rac1 KO model, we further show that contraction-induced glucose uptake is lower in EDL muscles in which Rac1 content was only 10% of that in WT mice. In contrast, Rac1 KO only resulted in a tendency to decreased glucose uptake during muscle contractions in soleus muscle. We speculate that the remaining Rac1 content in soleus (35%) may have been sufficient to stimulate glucose uptake. The chemical inhibitors of Rac1 were more potent in reducing contraction-stimulated glucose uptake than the genetic model. This is potentially due to a more complete Rac1 inhibition or to nonspecific effects such as binding of the inhibitors to GLUT4 or interfering with other signals. However, in adipose tissue, which relies on the GTPase TC10 rather than Rac1 to regulate insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (16,40), Rac1 Inhibitor II did not inhibit insulin-stimulated glucose uptake. Also, AICAR-stimulated glucose transport was not affected by Rac1 Inhibitor II or Rac1 KO; in addition, AICAR and DNP-stimulated GLUT4 translocation was not inhibited by the Rac1 inhibitor NSC23766 in C2C12-GLUT4myc myotubes. We further demonstrated that these inhibitors did not affect AMPK and ACC phosphorylation or GLUT4 and Rac1 protein expression. This suggests that the inhibitors did not directly affect the GLUT4 translocation machinery and shows that Rac1 is involved in contraction-stimulated but not AICAR- or DNP-stimulated glucose transport in skeletal muscle.

Previous studies have shown that contraction-stimulated glucose uptake directly correlates with force development in incubated muscles (41). However, the Rac1 inhibitors in the current study did not decrease tension development during contractions. The intracellular potency of the inhibitor was further verified by measuring the phosphorylation status of PAK1, the Rac1 downstream target. Rac1 Inhibitor II more potently affected p-PAKThr423 than was observed in the Rac1 KO model. Also, the elevated level of PAK1 protein in the Rac1 KO mice might have compensated for the lack of Rac1 in order to activate downstream signaling. It is therefore likely that the greater effect on glucose uptake observed in the inhibitor experiments compared with the experiments using the Rac1 KO model is because Rac1-signaling was more potently prevented by the inhibitor than by inducible Rac1 KO.

Despite complete blockade of Rac1 signaling (e.g., p-PAKThr423), we only observed ~55% reduction in contraction-stimulated glucose uptake with the inhibitors or Rac1 knockdown. Interestingly there seems to be fiber
FIG. 7. A: AICAR-stimulated (2 mmol/L) 2DG uptake in incubated isolated soleus and EDL without or with 10 μmol/L Rac1 Inhibitor II (Inhib II), 1-h preincubation (n = 8). B: Bar graphs show mean ±SEM of AICAR-induced p-AMPKThr172, p-ACCSSer212, p-PAKThr423, in soleus and EDL without or with 10 μmol/L Rac1 Inhibitor II (Inhib II) (n = 8). C: Representative Western blots of p-AMPKThr172, p-ACCSSer212, p-PAKThr423, and GLUT4 and Rac1 total proteins in response to AICAR without or with 10 μmol/L Rac1 Inhibitor II (Inhib II). D: AICAR-stimulated 2DG uptake in mouse soleus and EDL muscles from WT and muscle-specific inducible Rac1 KO (n = 8) mice. E: Bar graphs show mean ±SEM of AICAR-induced p-AMPKThr172, p-ACCSSer212, p-PAKThr423, and total Rac1 and PAK1 protein in soleus and EDL muscles from WT or Rac1 KO mice (n = 8). F: Representative Western blots of p-AMPKThr172, p-ACCSSer212, p-PAKThr423, and GLUT4, Rac1, and PAK1 total proteins in response to AICAR in WT and Rac1 KO soleus and EDL muscles. G: AICAR-stimulated GLUT4 translocation in C2C12-GLUT4myc myotubes in response to DNP (0.5 mmol/L) or AICAR (2 mmol/L) without or with 200 μmol/L NSC23766, 1-h preincubation (n = 6). Statistical significances between basal and AICAR are indicated by \*P < 0.05; \**P < 0.01; \***P < 0.001. Significant differences in AICAR-stimulated signaling are indicated by \#P < 0.05. Main effect of genotype is indicated by ††P < 0.01 and †††P < 0.0001. Values represent mean ± SEM.
type-specific activation pattern for Rac1, because no increase in p-PAK<sup>Thr423</sup> phosphorylation was observed in soleus muscle in response to contraction, despite the observation that Rac1-GTP binding increased. It is therefore possible that basal Rac1 activity may provide a permissive signal in order for contraction to induce glucose uptake.

AMPK-independent mechanisms regulating contraction-stimulated glucose uptake is in agreement with the literature, because studies investigating the involvement of AMPK show inconsistent results. In vitro, β1β2 AMPK KO or overexpression of the dominant negative α2 AMPK construct impairs (but does not prevent) contraction-induced glucose uptake (26,42), whereas no impairment was reported in another mouse model carrying inactive AMPK catalytic subunits (43). The role of AMPK in regulating contraction-stimulated glucose uptake is thus not clear, and other proteins might play major roles in this process. Calcium-dependent signals have also been known to participate in contraction-stimulated glucose uptake. Indeed, inhibition of CaMKII signaling decreases contraction-stimulated glucose uptake (44), unlike KO of PKC-α, one of the major proteins activated by calcium (45). Finally, nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species contributed to contraction-stimulated glucose uptake in some (46,47) but not other studies (48). Rac1 may be a novel candidate to add to our understanding of this complex signaling network.

Our study represents a first step in identifying Rac1 as a viable signal in the pathway of contraction-regulated glucose uptake. Unraveling the downstream signaling involved should be pursued next. This is unlikely to involve the AMPK pathway because the dampened contraction-stimulated glucose uptake of Rac1 KO muscles was not accompanied by changes in p-AMPK<sup>Thr172</sup> or p-ACC<sup>Ser212</sup>. Similarly, it is unlikely to involve Akt signaling because AS160/TBC1D4 phosphorylation assessed with a PAS antibody was not decreased in the KO muscles. In addition, when site-specific phosphorylation on TBC1D1 Ser237 in EDL and TBC1D4<sup>Thr642</sup> in soleus was measured, no difference between WT and KO was found. However, Rac1 KO mice had lower p-PAK<sup>Thr423</sup>, and PAK1 has recently been implicated in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake activation GLUT4 translocation in skeletal muscle (49). Collectively, these arguments suggest the operation of distinct signaling pathways downstream of Rac1 toward glucose uptake.

Rac1 is necessary to induce actin remodeling in response to insulin, a process that leads to tethering, docking, and/or fusion of GLUT4 vesicles with the plasma membrane (16,17,37). In the current study, the actin-depolymerizing agent Latrunculin B reduced contraction-stimulated glucose uptake. It is therefore plausible that muscle contraction, like insulin, also relies on reorganization of the nonsarcomeric actin cytoskeleton to induce GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake.

To our knowledge, the findings presented here are the first to indicate that Rac1 is involved in regulating
contraction-stimulated glucose uptake. Further studies will be required to establish whether the cytoskeleton (irrespective of the contractile machinery) or alternative mechanisms underlie this novel input of Rac1 in the regulation of contraction-induced glucose uptake.
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