Rac1 signaling is required for insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and is dysregulated in insulin resistant murine and human skeletal muscle.
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Abstract

The actin-cytoskeleton-regulating GTPase Rac1 is required for insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation in cultured muscle cells. However, involvement of Rac1 and its downstream signaling in glucose transport in insulin sensitive and insulin resistant mature skeletal muscle has not previously been investigated. We hypothesized that Rac1 and its downstream target, p21-activated kinase (PAK), are regulators of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in mouse and human skeletal muscle, and are dysregulated in insulin resistant states.

Muscle specific inducible Rac1 knockout (KO) mice and pharmacological inhibition of Rac1 were used to determine whether Rac1 regulates insulin-stimulated glucose transport in mature skeletal muscle. Furthermore, Rac1 and PAK1 expression and signalling were investigated in muscle of insulin resistant mice and humans.

Inhibition and KO of Rac1 decreased insulin-stimulated glucose transport in mouse soleus and EDL muscles \textit{ex vivo}. Rac1 KO mice showed decreased insulin and glucose tolerance and trended towards higher plasma insulin concentrations following intraperitoneal glucose injection. Rac1 protein expression and PAK$^{\text{Thr423}}$ phosphorylation were decreased in muscles of high fat fed mice. In humans, insulin-stimulated PAK-activation was decreased in both acute insulin resistant (intralipid infusion) and in chronic insulin resistant states (obesity and diabetes). These findings show that Rac1 is a regulator of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and a novel candidate involved in skeletal muscle insulin resistance.
Introduction

Insulin increases glucose uptake in skeletal muscle by stimulating translocation of the glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4) from intracellular compartments to the plasma membrane and transverse tubuli (1-4). Skeletal muscle accounts for up to 75% of postprandial glucose disposal in humans (5) and normal insulin action in skeletal muscle is therefore crucial for maintaining glucose homeostasis.

The Rho family GTPase Rac1 has been shown to regulate insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation and glucose transport in cultured muscle cells (6-8). Insulin activates Rac1 which leads to reorganization of the cortical actin-cytoskeleton. Down-regulation of Rac1 by siRNA prevents this process (7;9) and also abolishes insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and GLUT4 translocation in L6 myoblasts (6;7). In addition, expression of a constitutively active Rac1 increases GLUT4 translocation to the same level seen following maximal insulin-stimulation in this cell line (6).

Even though cultured muscle cell lines are powerful tools to understand intracellular mechanisms, they differ from mature skeletal muscle in the expression and reliance of various proteins in the regulation of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (10). Cultured muscle myoblasts, while able to fuse into myotubes, do not reach the same end-stage differentiation (eg does not have cross striations and does not develop transverse tubules) as muscles in vivo and do therefore not fully mature into a system that mimics fully developed skeletal muscles (11;12). Furthermore, the location, expression and insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation are very different in cultured cells compared to mature muscle and may not require the same trafficking steps (2;3;13;14). As a consequence, it is imperative to investigate the role of Rac1 in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in fully matured skeletal muscle in order to understand its role in glucose metabolism. Furthermore, the importance of skeletal muscle Rac1 on whole body glucose homeostasis has not been determined.
Rac1 activates PAK by facilitating autophosphorylation of PAK on threonine 423 (p-PAK\(^{Thr423}\)) and this pathway induces actin-remodeling of the cortical actin-cytoskeleton (15). Accordingly, disruption of the actin-cytoskeleton by actin depolymerizing agents, such as Latrunculin B, inhibits insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation in L6 myotubes (16;17). Dynamic rearrangement of the actin-cytoskeleton is thus necessary for insulin to induce GLUT4 translocation in these cells (18).

These findings also apply to mature skeletal muscle, since Latrunculin B inhibits insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in rat epitroclearis muscle (19). Furthermore, Ueda and colleagues (20) recently showed that Rac1 is activated by insulin in mouse skeletal muscle and that insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation is decreased in muscle specific Rac1 knock out (KO) mice. PAK1 was also recently shown to be implicated in the regulation of insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation in mouse skeletal muscle (21). However, GLUT4 translocation does not always mimic glucose uptake, and numerous studies have reported experimental conditions where GLUT4 translocation and transport can be clearly dissociated (22-27) suggesting that GLUT4 translocation is not always an adequate measure of the functional end-point, glucose uptake. Thus, the involvement of Rac1 and its downstream signaling in insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in mature skeletal muscle has not yet been investigated, and Rac1-dependent signaling has not been characterized in animal or human models of insulin resistance.

A decreased ability to rearrange the cortical actin-cytoskeleton in response to insulin has been proposed as a central defect in insulin-resistant muscle cells (28-30). While exposure to insulin resistance-inducing agents decreased Rac1 activation and GLUT4 translocation (7), only small reductions in Akt signalling were observed in L6 myotubes (8). It is therefore possible that Rac1 is a major regulator of glucose uptake in mature skeletal muscle, and its dysregulation might contribute to the phenotype of muscular insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. In the present study we hypothesized that activation of Rac1 and its downstream target, PAK is crucial for insulin-
induced glucose uptake in mature skeletal muscle and for maintaining whole body glucose homeostasis. We further hypothesized that Rac1-dependent signaling is down-regulated in insulin resistant states.

**Research Design and Methods**

**Animals.** Female C57BL/6 mice (Taconic, Denmark) age 12-16 weeks old were used for all inhibitor incubation experiments.

*Tetracyclin-inducible muscle-specific Rac1 knockout (KO) mice.* Whole body Rac1 floxed mice (31) were crossed with mice containing a tetracyclin-controlled transactivator coupled to the human skeletal actin (HSA) promoter, which drives the muscle specific expression of the Cre recombinase (32). Mice were backcrossed until 5th generation (96.9 % congenial) on a C57BL/6 background. Transgenic mice (14-18 wk of age) were littermates from breeding of heterozygous Cre and Rac1 floxed transgenic mice. Rac1 KO was obtained by adding Doxycycline (1g/L; Sigma Aldrich) to the drinking water for 21 days after which it was switched to normal tap water for four to six weeks before experiments (Doxycycline treatment was initiated at 5-7 wk of age). Control mice were given tap water only.

All animals were maintained on a 10:14-h light-dark cycle and received standard rodent chow diet (Altromin no. 1324; Chr. Pedersen, Denmark) and water ad libitum. All experiments were approved by the Danish Animal Experimental Inspectorate and complied with the "European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals Used for Experiments and Other Scientific Purposes."

**Glucose (GTT) and insulin (ITT) tolerance tests.** Mice were fasted from 07.00 am for 6 and 2 hours before intraperitoneal GTT and ITT, respectively. 2 g glucose or 0.5U insulin per kg body weight
was administered for GTT and ITT, respectively. During GTT and ITT blood was collected from the tail vein at time points 0, 20, 40, 60, 90, and 120 min and blood glucose determined in duplicate. For measurements of plasma insulin, blood was sampled from female WT and Rac1 KO mice at time point 0 and 20 min following IP glucose injection and analyzed in triplicate (80-INSRTU-E10, ALPCO). No sex differences were observed (n=11-13 compared by t-test) so results for male and female mice were pooled for GTT and ITT as stated.

**Metabolic measurements.** Indirect calorimetry was performed in a 16-chamber indirect calorimetry system (PhenoMaster; TSE Systems, Germany). Male mice were individually housed and placed in the chambers for 8 days of which the last days were recorded and data collected for analysis. Oxygen consumption rate (VO$_2$; ml/h/kg), respiratory exchange ratio (RER), total activity (beam breaks), and food intake were simultaneously measured for each mouse.

**Muscle incubations.** Soleus and extensor digitorum longus (EDL) muscles were dissected from 4 h fasted anesthetized female mice (6 mg pentobarbital sodium/100 g body wt) and suspended at resting tension (4–5 mN) in incubation chambers (Multi Myograph system; Danish Myo-Technology, Denmark) in Krebs-Ringer-Henseleit (KRH) buffer with 2 mM pyruvate, and 8 mM mannitol at 30°C, as described previously (33). For inhibitor experiments, the muscles were pre-incubated for 40 min in KRH buffer with Rac1 Inhibitor II (10 µM; Calbiochem), or Latrunculin B (1 and 10 µM; Sigma Aldrich), or a corresponding amount of DMSO as vehicle control. Following the pre-incubation period, the muscles were stimulated with insulin (60 nM) for 30 minutes.

**2-Deoxyglucose (2DG) uptake.** 2DG uptake was measured with 1 mM 2DG for 10 min during the last 10 minutes of the insulin stimulation period using $^3$H 2DG and $^{14}$C mannitol tracers as described previously (33).
**Immunohistochemistry on single fibers.** Basal and insulin-stimulated EDL or WT and Rac1 KO tibialis anterior muscles were immersed in ice-cold KRH buffer containing procaine hydrochloride (35mg/10ml) for 5 min. Right after, muscles were fixed by immersion in 2% formaldehyde 0.15% picric acid for 30 min at room temperature, followed by 3.5 h at 4°C. After isolation of a minimum of 30 single muscle fibers per muscle, immunostaining against Rac1 was performed as previously described (34). Briefly, isolated muscle fibers were incubated overnight with an anti-Rac1 antibody (Novus Biologicals) in immunobuffer containing 2% sarponin and, after 3 washes with immunobuffer, single muscle fibers were incubated with a secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor488 or 568 (Invitrogen, UK). Negative controls were performed by staining without primary antibody. Muscle fibers were mounted in Vectashield mounting medium. Confocal images were collected with a Zeiss LSM710 microscope, through a 63x/1.40 oil DIC Plan-APOCHROMAT objective at 20°C. Images were analyzed using Zeiss Zen (2010) software.

**Intralipid study.** Eight healthy men (28±2 y, BMI=22.3±0.5) were included in the study. Samples of the vastus lateralis (VL) muscle were analysed from subjects in which clinical characteristics and insulin sensitivity were described in a recently published study (35) approved by the Copenhagen Ethics Committee (KF01261127) and carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki II (1996). All subjects underwent two experimental trials only differing by infusion of either saline or intralipid for seven hours. Following infusion of saline or intralipid, subjects underwent a 120 min hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp (plasma insulin concentration of 100µU/ml). Biopsies were obtained from VL muscles 30 min before the clamp and 30 and 120 min into the clamp. Intralipid infusion decreased glucose clearance by 30% compared to saline infusion. See ref (35) for further details.

**Study on type 2 diabetic patients.** Eight to ten normal glucose tolerant (NGT) (BMI=24±1), obese NGT (BMI=33±1), and obese type 2 diabetic (BMI=33±1) subjects underwent euglycemic-
hyperinsulinemic clamp (plasma insulin concentration of 58µU/ml) combined with muscle biopsies, which has been published elsewhere (36).

**PAK1 expression.** For determination of PAK1 protein expression in skeletal muscle with different muscle fiber type composition, eight healthy, young, normal-weight (26 ± 2 y, 85 ± 2 kg, 184 ± 2 cm) males volunteered to participate. The study was approved by the Copenhagen Ethics Committee (HKF277313) and was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki II (1996). After an overnight fast, muscle biopsies were taken under local anaestheia from the soleus, VL and gastrocnemius muscles. Muscle fiber type composition and activation of several kinases in muscle during exercise in these subjects have been reported elsewhere (37).

All subjects were given oral and printed information on the study designs and risks and gave written, informed consent.

**Muscle analyses.** Immediately following insulin stimulation mouse and human muscle tissue was quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. For western blotting tissue was homogenized 2 x ½ min at 30 Hz using a Tissuelyser II (Qiagen, USA) in either ice-cold Rac1 buffer (commercial Rac1-buffer from Cytoskeleton inc.) or in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 20 mM β-glycerophosphate, 10 mM NaF, 2 mM sodium orthovanadate, 2 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 10% glycerol, 2 mM PMSF, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM CaCl2, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, 10 µg/ml aprotinin, and 3 mM benzamidine. After rotation end-over-end for ½ h, lysate supernatants were collected by centrifugation (12,000 x g) for 20 min at 4°C.

**Immunoblotting.** Lysate protein concentrations were measured using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) method using BSA standards (Pierce) and BCA assay reagents (Pierce). Total protein and phosphorylation levels of relevant proteins were determined by standard immunoblotting techniques loading equal amounts of protein. The primary antibodies used were p-Akt<sup>Ser473/Thr308</sup>, GLUT4, phospho-Akt Substrate (PAS), actin, PAK1, p-PAK<sup>Thr423</sup> (Cell Signaling Technology), GLUT1
(Abcam) and Rac1 (Cytoskeleton). Polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Immobilon Transfer Membrane; Millipore) were blocked in TBS-Tween 20 containing 2% skim milk or 5% BSA protein for ½h at room temperature. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. Bands were visualized using an Eastman Kodak Image Station 2000MM or BIO-RAD ChemiDocTM MP Imaging System and enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL⁺; Amersham Biosciences).

Rac1 activity assay in muscle samples. Frozen solei, EDL and VL muscles were pulverized and homogenized in Rac1 homogenization buffer at 30 Hz for ½ min using a Tissuelyser II (Qiagen, USA). Lysates were generated by centrifuging the homogenate for 2 min at 10,000g. Rac1-GTP loading was measured in the supernatant using a commercially available Rac1 activation assay kit (Cytoskeleton Inc., BK 126). In short, lysates (100-200 µg protein) were immediately loaded onto wells coated with the RBD domain of PAK and incubated on a shaker at 4 °C for ½ h. Bound Rac1 was detected colorimetrically using specific antibodies towards Rac1, as described by the manufacturer. To test the activation profile of the GLISA assay, Rac1-GTP binding was analyzed in lysates from L6 myoblasts (grown and treated as previously described (15)) incubated with either GDP (inactivates Rac1) or GTP-ϒ S (maximally activates Rac1). Basal and insulin-stimulated L6 myoblasts were also analyzed on the same plate to compare the Rac1-GTP binding of inactive vs. maximally activated Rac1 to a physiological response known to activate Rac1 (Supplementary Fig 1). These data show that a substantial pool of Rac1 is not activated by maximal insulin-stimulation in L6 myoblasts and that the assay is sensitive enough to detect levels of activated Rac1 four times higher than observed in response to insulin.
Statistical analyses. Results are shown means ± SEM. Statistical testing was performed using paired t-tests or one- or two-way ANOVA (repeated or non repeated measurements) as appropriate. Tukey’s post hoc test was performed when ANOVA revealed significant interaction. Statistical evaluation was performed using Sigmaplot 11.0. The significance level was set at p<0.05.

Results

Rac1 and PAK are activated in response to insulin in mouse and human skeletal muscle

In mice, insulin stimulation increased Rac1-GTP binding by 20% and 25% in incubated soleus and EDL muscles, respectively (Fig 1A). In addition, phosphorylation of Rac1’s downstream target, PAK (p-PAK^{Thr423}) increased by 20% in both muscles compared to basal. Likewise, Rac1 GTP-binding as well as p-PAK^{Thr423} increased by 20% and 30%, respectively, following a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp, in human VL muscle (Fig 1B). Furthermore, we show insulin-induced redistribution of Rac1 in the myofilaments (Fig. 1C). In isolated mouse EDL single muscle fibers, Rac1 presents a striated pattern of localization. In response to insulin the thickness of the Rac1-positive striations, measured by determining the Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM), increased by 90 %. Skeletal muscle Rac1 expression has been described previously (38) but expression of PAK1 in muscles of various fiber type compositions has not previously been investigated. PAK1 protein abundance was 15% higher in EDL compared to soleus in mice (Fig 1D). In humans, PAK1 protein expression was 45% higher in VL compared to soleus (Fig 1D).

Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake is decreased by pharmacological inhibition of Rac1
To test whether Rac1 is a regulator of insulin-induced glucose uptake, mouse soleus and EDL muscles were incubated in the presence or absence of insulin and Rac1 inhibitor II. The concentration used was the lowest necessary to inhibit insulin-stimulated Rac1-activation and glucose uptake as found in pilot experiments (Fig. 2A). The Rac1 Inhibitor II decreased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in soleus and EDL by 50% and 90%, respectively (Fig. 2B). While p-PAK<sup>Thr423</sup> was prevented, the Rac1 Inhibitor II did not affect insulin signaling of Akt or PAS (at 150-160kDa) (Fig. 2C+D). These data suggest that Rac1 is a regulator of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in mature skeletal muscle independently of Akt signaling.

**Insulin-stimulated glucose uptake is decreased in muscles of Rac1 KO mice**

Following doxycycline treatment of inducible muscle-specific Rac1 KO mice, Rac1 protein content was decreased by 85% and 90% in soleus and EDL, respectively, compared to control (untreated WT) mice (Fig. 3A). Whereas GLUT4, GLUT1 and actin protein content was not affected, PAK1 protein expression was increased 20% in soleus and 40% in EDL from Rac1 KO mice compared to WT (Fig. 3A). Insulin-stimulated Akt<sup>Ser473/Thr308</sup> phosphorylation and PAS signaling were similar between genotypes (Fig. 3B+C). In contrast, insulin-stimulated p-PAK<sup>Thr423</sup> was prevented in soleus and decreased by 70% in EDL in the KO mice. To investigate the functional significance of the Rac1 signaling pathway, insulin-induced glucose uptake was measured in isolated soleus and EDL muscles from Rac1 KO and WT control mice. Insulin-stimulated 2DG uptake was decreased by 50% in soleus and 40% in EDL muscle (Fig. 3D), implicating Rac1-dependent signaling in the regulation of insulin-stimulated glucose transport in skeletal muscle.

**Muscle specific Rac1 KO mice have altered whole body glucose metabolism**
Since we observed a decreased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in the isolated muscles of the Rac1 KO mice, we investigated whether muscle specific knockout of Rac1 affected whole body glucose and insulin tolerance. Glucose tolerance evaluated during an IP glucose tolerance test, was significantly decreased in the Rac1 KO mice compared to controls (Fig. 4A). In addition, glucose-stimulated plasma insulin concentration trended higher, although not statistically significantly (p=0.07), in the Rac1 KO mice compared to WT at the 20 min time point (Fig. 4B). Blood glucose concentrations decreased more in the WT mice during an IP insulin tolerance test, suggesting that the Rac1 KO mice also have decreased response to insulin (Fig. 4A). We also observed a decreased RER during light hours in Rac1 KO mice compared to WT, suggesting a lower glucose oxidation (Fig. 4C). Between the genotypes, no differences in VCO₂, VO₂, food and water intake, or physical activity were observed.

 Insulin-induced glucose transport in both soleus and EDL muscle rely on an intact actin-cytoskeleton.

Rac1 is a major regulator of actin remodeling, and dynamic actin-rearrangement is required for GLUT4 translocation in response to insulin in muscle cell culture (39;40). Since we found that inhibition of Rac1 decreased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, we hypothesized that this might be due to impaired Rac1 dependent regulation of the actin-cytoskeleton. At concentrations that have previously been found to inhibit insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in rat skeletal muscle (41), the actin-depolymerizing agent, Latrunculin B decreased insulin-induced 2DG uptake by 40% and 30% in soleus and EDL, respectively (Fig. 5A). However, we have in other studies found that this concentration inhibited force production during electrical stimulation of muscle by ~ 50% (38). High concentrations of Latrunculin B could therefore affect sarcomere organization which could
lead to indirect effects on glucose transport. Therefore, soleus and EDL muscles were also incubated with one tenth of the previously applied concentration. This concentration did not inhibit force production (38) (and hence is deemed unlikely to affect contractile actin filaments), yet inhibited insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in soleus by 30% and EDL by 20% (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that an intact actin-cytoskeleton is necessary for both slow and fast twitch fibers to increase glucose transport in response to insulin.

*High fat feeding induces insulin resistance and decreases Rac1 expression and insulin-induced PAK signaling*

To investigate if Rac1 signaling is dysregulated in insulin resistant states, we investigated the effect of 14 weeks of high fat feeding (65% fat) on Rac1 signaling in mice. HFD induced a significant decrease in glucose transport in both soleus and EDL (Fig. 6A) and significantly impaired glucose and insulin tolerance (Fig. 6B) compared to mice fed chow. Insulin-stimulated Akt phosphorylation was decreased, and p-PAK$^{\text{Thr423}}$ in response to insulin was completely prevented in both soleus and EDL muscles of mice on a HFD compared to chow due to an increased basal p-PAK$^{\text{Thr423}}$ (Fig. 6C). In addition, Rac1 protein expression tended to be decreased by 20% in soleus and was significantly reduced by 15% in EDL following HFD. GLUT4, Akt and PAK1 expression were unaffected by diet intervention (Fig. 6D + E).

*PAK signaling is decreased by intralipid infusion, obesity, and type 2 diabetes in human skeletal muscle*
In humans, intralipid infusion induces skeletal muscle insulin resistance during a hyperinsulinemic euglycaemic clamp (35). Insulin increased p-PAK\textsuperscript{Thr423} by 30% after 30 min and by 40% following 120 min clamp (Fig. 7A). Intralipid infusion completely abolished insulin-stimulated p-PAK\textsuperscript{Thr423} following 30 min of the clamp and decreased it by 50% after 120 minutes (Fig. 7A). No change in protein expression of Rac1 or PAK1 was observed (Fig. 7B). This identifies PAK as a novel protein dysregulated in human muscle in insulin resistant states.

To investigate whether this dysregulation also applied to more chronic states of insulin resistance, such as in human T2D subjects, we analyzed p-PAK\textsuperscript{Thr423} and total Rac1 and PAK1 expression in three groups, 1) lean normal glucose tolerant (NGT), 2) obese NGT, and 3) T2D subjects (36). Obese and diabetic subjects had a lower insulin-stimulated p-PAK\textsuperscript{Thr423} compared to control subjects following a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (Fig. 7C). Basal p-PAK\textsuperscript{Thr423} was decreased by 48% in the diabetic subjects compared to controls and obese subjects. Insulin-stimulated p-PAK\textsuperscript{Thr423} was reduced by 33% in the obese group and by 66% in the T2D group, compared to the controls, suggesting a severe dysfunction in Rac1-dependent signaling towards PAK. Whereas Rac1 protein expression was not altered, we observed that PAK1 protein expression was 27% higher in T2D compared to lean and obese individuals (Fig. 7D). Because of this, PAK phosphorylation is shown both related to total protein and related to total PAK1 in the figure (Fig 7C). These findings show that Rac1-dependent signaling is impaired in insulin resistant human skeletal muscle.

**Discussion**
We show that Rac1 and its downstream target, PAK are activated by insulin in human and murine skeletal muscle and are important regulators of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle muscle and thereby whole body glucose homeostasis. In addition, we demonstrate that Rac1 and PAK signaling are impaired in insulin resistant muscles in mice and humans.

In the present study, pharmacological inhibition of Rac1 decreased insulin-stimulated 2DG transport in mouse soleus and EDL muscles, without effects on Akt or AS160 signaling, suggesting that Rac1 is not upstream of Akt or AS160. The impaired glucose transport was therefore likely due to specific inhibition of Rac1 and PAK signaling. To further validate our results, we used an inducible muscle specific Rac1 knockout mouse. Even though ~15% Rac1 was still present in the KO muscles, insulin-stimulated 2DG uptake in both soleus and EDL was significantly decreased compared to WT. The Rac1 InhibitorII decreased insulin-stimulated glucose transport more potently in EDL than in soleus, whereas Rac1 KO had the largest effect in soleus. This discrepancy could be due to the higher PAK1 expression observed in the EDL of the Rac1 KO mice, which may have compensated for the decreased Rac1 signaling. Consequently, phosphorylation of PAK$^{Thr423}$ was completely blocked by Rac1 InhibitorII in both muscles, whereas PAK$^{Thr423}$ phosphorylation was only completely prevented in the soleus of the Rac1 KO mice. Our results are in agreement with data obtained from muscle cell cultures. In L6 myoblasts, downregulation of Rac1 by siRNA decrease insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake (7;15). While insulin-stimulated GLUT4 translocation has recently been reported to be dependent upon Rac1 in mouse muscle actual glucose transport was not reported (20). Thus, the involvement of Rac1 in regulation of glucose uptake in murine and human skeletal muscle has not previously been demonstrated, and we here provide novel evidence to show that Rac1 is a regulator of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in mature skeletal muscle. Interestingly, we have recently shown that Rac1 is also an important regulator of contraction induced glucose uptake in muscle (38). Thus, Rac1 is a
convergence point between the contraction- and the insulin-stimulated molecular pathway leading to increased glucose uptake.

Interestingly, we observed that high fat feeding of mice (which induced severe insulin resistance) decreased Rac1 protein expression and completely abolished the increase in insulin-stimulated p-PAK<sup>Thr423</sup> in soleus and EDL muscles. We further showed that intralipid infusion, which induced whole body and muscular insulin resistance (35), significantly impaired p-PAK<sup>Thr423</sup> during a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp in humans. Importantly, obese and T2D humans also displayed impaired PAK signaling during a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp. Decreased Rac1-dependent signaling thus seems common to many models of skeletal muscle insulin resistance. This is in agreement with a previous study in L6 myotubes where insulin resistance-inducing agents decreased insulin-stimulated Rac1 activity (7). Insulin resistant states have been associated with decreased filamentous actin (eg. the actin-cytoskeleton) (29), which when replenished restored insulin sensitivity (30). These findings suggest that Rac1 and downstream signaling to the actin-cytoskeleton constitute an important dysfunctional pathway in insulin resistant states.

In agreement with the observed reductions in <i>ex vivo</i> insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, the Rac1 KO mice exhibited altered glucose and insulin tolerance <i>in vivo</i>. In addition, we observed a trend towards higher insulin secretion in response to IP glucose injection. It is therefore likely that the Rac1 KO mice compensate for the decreased muscle insulin sensitivity by increasing insulin secretion. Decreased skeletal muscle glucose metabolism in the Rac1 KO mice is supported by a lower RER compared to WT, suggesting that Rac1 KO mice rely more on fat oxidation. Higher fat utilization could potentially lead to increased production of reactive oxygen species, mitochondrial dysfunction and/or ceramide accumulation, which could further exacerbate muscle insulin resistance (42;43). The effect of Rac1 KO on these parameters should be further investigated.
Our finding that insulin activates Rac1 is in agreement with previous studies in muscle cells showing that Rac1-GTP binding increase in response to insulin (6;7;15;20). Interestingly, we also observed in single muscle fibers that Rac1 relocalizes in response to insulin. We speculate that the observed movement of Rac1 reflects the well-described activation-dependent release of Rac1 from its cytosolic RhoGDI-associated pool to allow membrane-association (44). We observed increased PAK1 expression in the Rac1 KO mice as well as in the T2D subjects, both models in which we found decreased Rac1 signaling to PAK. Rac1 might thus be a negative regulator of PAK1 protein expression. Taken together, these data strongly implicate Rac1 and downstream signaling as important regulators of skeletal muscle glucose uptake.

Rac1-induced activation of PAK and downstream signaling is believed to be necessary for actin-cytoskeleton reorganization and regulate GLUT4 translocation in response to insulin in cultured muscle cells (45). A requirement for an intact actin-cytoskeleton to regulate glucose transport has previously been shown in rat epitrochlearis muscle (41). However, since the expression patterns of Rac1 and PAK1 protein are different in red and white muscle fibers, we investigated if soleus (90% oxidative fibers) and EDL (66% glycolytic fibers) (46), equally rely on the actin-cytoskeleton to take up glucose. This was indeed the case since Latrunculin B-induced actin-cytoskeleton depolymerization decreased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in both muscles. Even though we here do not provide a direct link between insulin-stimulated activation of Rac1 and rearrangement of the actin-cytoskeleton, it is likely that knockout or inhibition of Rac1 affect actin-cytoskeleton dynamics, which in turn inhibits insulin-stimulated glucose uptake. This needs further investigation.

In conclusion, our data show that Rac1 and downstream signaling are activated in skeletal muscle by insulin in humans as well as mice. We also provide evidence to suggest that Rac1, possibly via its effects on the actin-cytoskeleton, is an important regulator of insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in mouse muscle. Furthermore, our findings show that Rac1-dependent signaling is decreased in
insulin resistant states in mice and humans. Dysregulation of Rac1 and the actin-cytoskeleton in skeletal muscle might be novel molecular candidates contributing to the phenotype of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes.
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**Figure 1:** A) Rac1-GTP binding and p-PAK1$_{Thr^{423}}$ in isolated incubated mouse soleus and EDL muscle (n=8). B) Rac1-GTP loading and p-PAK1$_{Thr^{423}}$ in human vastus lateralis muscle prior to and following a 2 hour hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (n=8). C) Representative images of Rac1 localization in mouse EDL single fibers +/- 10 min 60 nM *ex vivo* insulin stimulation and bar graph showing mean ± S.E.M. Full Width of Half maximum (FWHM) of the striated Rac1 staining (n=4). D) Bar graphs showing protein expression of PAK1 in human (n=8) and mouse (n=24) skeletal muscle of different fiber type composition. Values are mean ± S.E.M. VL, vastus lateralis; EDL, extensor digitorum longus.
**Figure 2:** A) Bar graphs showing dosis response of Rac1 InhibitorII on insulin-stimulated p-PAK\(^{Thr423}\) and 2DG uptake in soleus and EDL muscles. B) Insulin-stimulated (60 nM, 30 min) 2DG transport in isolated incubated soleus and EDL muscles +/- 10 µM Rac1 inhibitor II (Inhib II) or a corresponding amount of DMSO, 40 min pre-incubation (n=5-6). C) Bar graphs showing quantifications of p-PAK\(^{Thr423}\), p-Akt\(^{Ser473}\), p-Akt\(^{Thr308}\) and phospho-Akt-Substrate (PAS) in soleus and EDL +/- 10 µM Rac1 inhibitor II (n=5-6). D) Representative blots showing insulin-stimulated signaling in soleus and EDL muscle +/- 10 µM Rac1 inhibitor II. Statistical significant effects of the inhibitor on insulin-stimulated 2DG transport and signaling is indicated by #/###/#### (p<0.05/0.01/0.001). Statistical significance between basal and insulin is indicated by */**/*** (p<0.05/0.01/0.001). Values are mean ± S.E.M.

**Figure 3:** A) Rac1, PAK1, GLUT4, and GLUT1 protein expression in soleus and EDL muscles of Rac1 knockout (KO) mice following Doxycycline treatment. Bottom: Single fiber image of WT and Rac1 KO tibialis anterior muscle. B) Bar graphs showing S.E.M. of insulin-induced p-Akt\(^{Ser473}\), p-Akt\(^{Thr308}\), p-PAK\(^{Thr423}\) and p-PAS in KO and WT in soleus and EDL muscles. C) Representative blots of insulin-induced p-Akt\(^{Ser473}\), p-Akt\(^{Thr308}\), p-PAK\(^{Thr423}\), p-PAS and total PAK1, Rac1, GLUT4, GLUT1 and actin in KO and WT in soleus and EDL muscles. D) Insulin-stimulated (60 nM, 30 min) 2DG transport in isolated incubated soleus and EDL muscles from WT and Rac1 KO mice (n=8). Statistical significance between basal and insulin (/genotype in A) is indicated by */**/*** (p<0.1/0.05/0.01/0.001). Effect of genotype on insulin-stimulated 2-DG transport is indicated by #/## (p <0.05/0.01). Main effect of genotype is indicated by † (p<0.05). Values are mean ± S.E.M.
**Figure 4:** A) Intraperitoneal glucose (GTT) (male and female mice, n=28-31) and insulin (ITT) (male and female mice, n=15-16) tolerance test on Rac1 KO mice compared to WT. B) Plasma insulin concentrations before and after 20 min intraperitoneal glucose injection (female mice, n=8). C) RER, VO\textsubscript{2}, VCO\textsubscript{2}, food and water intake, and activity level during dark and light hours (male and female mice, n=14). Statistical significance between day and night **/*** (p<0.01/0.001). Effect of genotype is indicated by (#)/#/## (p <0.1/0.05/0.01). Values are mean ± S.E.M.

**Figure 5:** A) Insulin-stimulated (60 nM, 30 min) 2DG transport in isolated incubated soleus and EDL muscles -/+ 10 µM Latrunculin B (LatB) or a corresponding amount of DMSO, 40 min pre-incubation. B) Insulin-stimulated (60 nM, 30 min) 2DG transport in soleus and EDL -/+ 1 µM Latrunculin B (LatB), 40 min pre-incubation. Statistical significant effects of the inhibitor on insulin-stimulated 2DG transport is indicated by #/## (p<0.05/0.001). Statistical significance between basal and insulin is indicated by **/*** (p<0.01/0.001) (n=8). Values are mean ± S.E.M.

**Figure 6:** A) Insulin-stimulated (60 nM, 30 min) 2DG transport in isolated incubated mouse soleus and EDL following 14 weeks chow or 65% high fat diet (HFD), 40 min pre-incubation. B) Intraperitoneal glucose (GTT) and insulin (ITT) tolerance test on chow vs. HFD feed mice. C) Bar graph showing mean ± S.E.M. of p-PAK\textsuperscript{Thr423}, p-Akt\textsuperscript{Ser473}, p-Akt\textsuperscript{Thr308} and phospho-Akt-Substrate (PAS). D) Bar graph showing mean ± S.E.M. of total PAK1, Rac1 and GLUT4 protein expression in soleus and EDL muscles from chow or high fat feed (HFD) feed mice. E) Representative western blots of phosphorylated and total proteins (n=7-9). Statistical significant effects of HFD on insulin-stimulated 2DG transport or signaling is indicated by # (p<0.05). Statistical significant effect of
HFD on blood glucose during GTT or ITT is indicated by ††/††† p<0.01/0.001). Statistical significance between basal and insulin conditions is indicated by */**/*** (p<0.05/0.01/0.001). Values are mean ± S.E.M.

**Figure 7:** A) p-PAK$^{Thr423}$ in *vastus lateralis* before and after a 2 h hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp following infusion of either saline or intralipid for seven hours (n=8). B) Representative western blots of p-PAK$^{Thr423}$, total Rac1, PAK1 and actin protein content (n=8). C) p-PAK$^{Thr423}$ before and after a 2 h hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp in *vastus lateralis* of lean NGT healthy (Lean), and obese NGT (Obese) subjects, and patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) (n=9-10). Left figure: p-PAK$^{Thr423}$ related to total amount of loaded protein. Right: p-PAK$^{Thr423}$ related to total amount of PAK1. D) Rac1 and PAK1 expression in *vastus lateralis* of lean NGT healthy (Lean), and obese NGT (Obese) subjects, and patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) and representative western blots of p-PAK$^{Thr423}$, total Rac1, PAK1 and actin protein content (n=9-10). Statistical significant effects of intralipid infusion on insulin-stimulated signaling is indicated by (#)/# (p=0.06/p<0.05). Statistical significance between basal and insulin conditions is indicated by */**/*** (p<0.05/0.01/0.001). Main effect of intralipid (A) and obesity/T2D (C) is indicated by †. Values are mean ± S.E.M.

**Supplementary Figure 1:** Bar graph showing Rac1-GTP binding in L6 myotubes in lysate (50 µg protein loaded) incubated with either GDP (1 mM) or GTP-γ S (0.1 mM) for 20 min at 30°C on a shaker (400 rpm), and in response to insulin (100 nm, 20 min, n=6), measured by the commercially available Rac1 GLISA kit. Values are mean ± S.E.M.
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