

## Brief Report

# Variation in *TCF7L2* Influences Therapeutic Response to Sulfonylureas

## A GoDARTs Study

Ewan R. Pearson,<sup>1</sup> Louise A. Donnelly,<sup>2</sup> Charlotte Kimber,<sup>3</sup> Adrian Whitley,<sup>3</sup> Alex S.F. Doney,<sup>1</sup> Mark I. McCarthy,<sup>4</sup> Andrew T. Hattersley,<sup>5</sup> Andrew D. Morris,<sup>1</sup> and Colin N.A. Palmer<sup>3</sup>

**OBJECTIVE**—There is considerable interindividual variation in sulfonylurea response in type 2 diabetes. Transcription factor 7-like 2 (*TCF7L2*) variants have been identified to be strongly associated with type 2 diabetes risk, probably due to decreased  $\beta$ -cell function. We hypothesized that variation in *TCF7L2* would influence response to sulfonylureas but not metformin. We studied the effect of *TCF7L2* rs12255372 and rs7903146 genotypes on glycemic response.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**—The DARTS/MEMO (Diabetes Audit and Research Tayside/Medicines Monitoring Unit) collaboration database includes prescribing, biochemistry, and clinical phenotype of all patients with diabetes within Tayside, Scotland, from 1992. Of these, the *TCF7L2* genotype was determined in 4,469 patients with type 2 diabetes recruited to GoDARTS (Genetics of Diabetes Audit and Research Tayside) between 1997 and July 2006. A total of 901 incident sulfonylurea users and 945 metformin users were identified. A logistic regression was used with treatment failure defined as an A1C >7% within 3–12 months after treatment initiation. Covariates included the *TCF7L2* genotype, BMI, sex, age diagnosed, drug adherence, and drug dose. A1C pretreatment was available in a subset of patients (sulfonylurea  $n = 579$ ; metformin  $n = 755$ ).

**RESULTS**—Carriers of the risk allele were less likely to respond to sulfonylureas with an odds ratio (OR) for failure of 1.95 (95% CI 1.23–3.06;  $P = 0.005$ ), comparing rs12255372 T/T vs. G/G. Including the baseline A1C strengthened this association (OR 2.16 [95% CI 1.21–3.86],  $P = 0.009$ ). A similar, although slightly weaker, association was seen with rs7903146. No association was seen between metformin response and either single nucleotide polymorphism, after adjustment for baseline A1C.

**CONCLUSIONS**—*TCF7L2* variants influence therapeutic response to sulfonylureas but not metformin. This study establishes that genetic variation can alter response to therapy in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 56:2178–2182, 2007

Sulfonylureas are widely used to treat type 2 diabetes. There is considerable interindividual variation in the hypoglycemic response to sulfonylureas. Physiological studies have shown response is in part predicted by stimulated C-peptide, as a marker of endogenous  $\beta$ -cell reserve (1,2). Therefore, variation in sulfonylurea response may be explained by variation in genes involved in regulating  $\beta$ -cell function. Apart from in some monogenic forms of diabetes (3–5), there has been limited success in pharmacogenetic studies of sulfonylurea response: The results for the effect of the E23K variant of *KCNJ11* (6–8) on response are conflicting, and although in physiological studies variants in *ABCC8* (encoding SUR1) have been shown to influence insulin secretory response to intravenous tolbutamide (9), the impact of these variants on glycemic response has not been studied.

Recently, two intronic single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the transcription factor 7-like 2 (*TCF7L2*) gene, rs12255372 and rs7903146, were found to substantially contribute to the risk of type 2 diabetes (10). This finding has been robustly replicated in a number of studies across multiple populations (11–23) and has been shown to influence progression to diabetes (11,18,20). *TCF7L2* is involved in the Wnt signaling pathway, yet the mechanism linking this with diabetes is not known. *TCF7L2* is expressed in the mature and developing pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells (17), and insulin secretion is reduced in those with the risk alleles (11,13,16), suggesting a predominant direct or indirect role of *TCF7L2* on  $\beta$ -cell function.

Given the robust influence of *TCF7L2* variants on risk of type 2 diabetes and their probable role in  $\beta$ -cell function, we hypothesized that carriers of the diabetes risk alleles at rs12255372 and rs7903146 would have a poorer hypoglycemic response to sulfonylureas due to decreased  $\beta$ -cell function compared with individuals lacking these alleles. On the other hand, these variants would have minimal impact on metformin response, which acts predominantly by improving insulin action rather than secretion. Therefore, we studied the influence of variation within *TCF7L2* on the early response to sulfonylureas and metformin in 1,846 patients with type 2 diabetes in Tayside, Scotland.

From the <sup>1</sup>Division of Medicine and Therapeutics, University of Dundee, Dundee, U.K.; the <sup>2</sup>Health Informatics Centre, University of Dundee, Dundee, U.K.; the <sup>3</sup>Population Pharmacogenetics Group, Biomedical Research Centre, University of Dundee, Dundee, U.K.; the <sup>4</sup>Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Oxford, U.K.; and the <sup>5</sup>Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Peninsula Medical School, Plymouth, U.K.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Ewan Pearson, Division of Medicine and Therapeutics, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Ninewells Avenue, Dundee, DD1 9SY, U.K. E-mail: e.pearson@chs.dundee.ac.uk

Received for publication 30 March 2007 and accepted in revised form 18 May 2007.

Published ahead of print at <http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org> on 22 May 2007. DOI: 10.2337/db07-0440.

E.R.P. and L.A.D. contributed equally to this work.

Additional information for this article can be found in an online appendix at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db07-0440>.

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

© 2007 by the American Diabetes Association.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

TABLE 1  
Baseline demography

|  | rs1225372  |            |            |             |                | rs7903146  |            |            |              |  |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|--|
|  | All        | GG         | GT         | TT          | ANOVA          | CC         | CT         | TT         | ANOVA        |  |
| Patients started on sulfonylureas      |            |            |            |             |                |            |            |            |              |  |
| <i>n</i>                               | 901        | 382        | 415        | 104         |                | 380        | 409        | 112        |              |  |
| Age at Rx (years)                      | 63.8 ± 9.6 | 63.8 ± 9.6 | 63.9 ± 9.8 | 63.7 ± 8.9  | 0.99           | 63.8 ± 9.8 | 64.1 ± 9.6 | 62.9 ± 8.7 | 0.53         |  |
| Age at diagnosis (years)               | 61.3 ± 9.6 | 61.5 ± 9.4 | 61.3 ± 9.8 | 60.9 ± 9.7  | 0.84           | 61.6 ± 9.6 | 61.3 ± 9.7 | 60.2 ± 9.3 | 0.40         |  |
| BMI average (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )       | 28.3 ± 4.6 | 28.3 ± 4.5 | 28.4 ± 4.5 | 27.6 ± 4.7  | 0.26           | 28.4 ± 4.6 | 28.3 ± 4.6 | 27.7 ± 4.4 | 0.37         |  |
| BMI at diagnosis (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )* | 28.1 ± 4.6 | 27.9 ± 4.6 | 28.5 ± 4.8 | 26.8 ± 3.5  | <b>0.020</b>   | 28.1 ± 4.7 | 28.4 ± 4.7 | 27.0 ± 3.5 | <b>0.049</b> |  |
| BMI at start of treatment†             | 28.0 ± 4.5 | 28.0 ± 4.6 | 28.2 ± 4.6 | 27.0 ± 3.3  | 0.09           | 28.2 ± 4.8 | 28.1 ± 4.6 | 27.1 ± 3.3 | 0.13         |  |
| Sex (% male)                           | 58         | 57         | 59         | 63          | 0.55           | 58         | 57         | 63         | 0.63         |  |
| Dose (% maximum)                       | 28 ± 15    | 28 ± 14    | 29 ± 16    | 29 ± 15     | 0.75           | 28 ± 15    | 29 ± 15    | 28 ± 14    | 0.84         |  |
| Adherence (%)                          | 80 ± 18    | 80 ± 18    | 79 ± 18    | 83 ± 15     | 0.092          | 79 ± 18    | 80 ± 17    | 82 ± 15    | 0.31         |  |
| Metformin                              |            |            |            |             |                |            |            |            |              |  |
| <i>n</i>                               | 945        | 434        | 420        | 91          |                | 422        | 424        | 99         |              |  |
| Age at Rx (years)                      | 60.0 ± 9.5 | 60.4 ± 9.7 | 59.5 ± 9.0 | 61.2 ± 10.5 | 0.17           | 60.5 ± 9.6 | 59.5 ± 9.2 | 60.6 ± 9.9 | 0.24         |  |
| Age at diagnosis (years)               | 57.7 ± 9.4 | 58.2 ± 9.5 | 56.9 ± 8.9 | 56.2 ± 10.4 | <b>0.041</b>   | 58.3 ± 9.4 | 57.0 ± 9.1 | 58.4 ± 9.9 | 0.11         |  |
| BMI average (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )       | 32.8 ± 5.6 | 33.4 ± 5.7 | 32.4 ± 5.4 | 31.4 ± 5.5  | <b>0.002</b>   | 33.3 ± 5.7 | 32.6 ± 5.4 | 31.2 ± 5.5 | <b>0.003</b> |  |
| BMI at diagnosis (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )* | 33.1 ± 6.0 | 34.0 ± 6.3 | 32.4 ± 5.6 | 31.8 ± 5.6  | < <b>0.001</b> | 34.0 ± 6.3 | 32.6 ± 5.6 | 31.5 ± 5.5 | <b>0.001</b> |  |
| BMI at start of treatment‡             | 32.9 ± 5.8 | 33.6 ± 5.9 | 32.5 ± 5.6 | 31.3 ± 5.7  | <b>0.001</b>   | 33.5 ± 6.0 | 32.6 ± 5.5 | 31.2 ± 5.6 | <b>0.001</b> |  |
| Sex (% male)                           | 52         | 47         | 56         | 48          | <b>0.026</b>   | 49         | 54         | 53         | 0.28         |  |
| Dose (% maximum)                       | 19 ± 7     | 19 ± 7     | 19 ± 6     | 19 ± 5      | 0.38           | 19 ± 7     | 19 ± 7     | 19 ± 7     | 0.50         |  |
| Adherence (%)                          | 92 ± 12    | 91 ± 13    | 92 ± 11    | 92 ± 11     | 0.26           | 91 ± 14    | 92 ± 11    | 93 ± 11    | 0.16         |  |

Data are means ± SD unless otherwise indicated.  $P < 0.05$  are bold. \* $n = 643$ ; † $n = 800$ ; ‡ $n = 761$ ; § $n = 893$ . Rx, treatment.

## RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Patients were identified from an ongoing study of the Genetics of Diabetes Audit and Research Tayside (GoDARTS) (4,469 cases) recruited in Tayside, Scotland, between 1 October 1997 and 1 July 2006 who could be linked to the MEMO (Medicines Monitoring Unit) databases. The DARTS/MEMO collaboration includes validated prescribing, biochemistry, and phenotypic historical data from 1992 to present (24), and prospective longitudinal data are collected on each person with type 2 diabetes recruited into the genetic study.

For this study, patients were selected to have type 2 diabetes on the basis of an age of diagnosis after the age of 40 years, with no progression to insulin dependency within 6 months of diagnosis. Patients were excluded who were diagnosed with diabetes after age 90 years. Prescription data were available between January 1992 and April 2004. All incident users of sulfonylureas and metformin were identified; to be eligible, all study participants had to have received no diabetes treatment for at least 6 months before their index prescription for sulfonylurea or metformin and were thus considered treatment naïve. We therefore identified 1,168 patients who subsequently encashed at least two sulfonylurea prescriptions and 1,263 patients who encashed at least two metformin prescriptions. The study was approved by the Tayside Medical Ethics Committee, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

**Definition of response.** For inclusion in the study, patients were required to have at least one A1C recorded within 3–12 months after commencing sulfonylureas ( $n = 901$ ) or metformin ( $n = 945$ ). A “treat to target” approach was taken, with failure defined as the failure to reach an A1C  $\leq 7\%$  within the 3- to 12-month period after incident drug prescription. In a further analysis, the A1C within the 6 months before commencing sulfonylureas ( $n = 579$ ) or metformin ( $n = 755$ ) was included as a covariate. Where A1C was measured more than once before treatment, the A1C level nearest to drug initiation was taken. The A1C was Diabetes Control and Complications Trial aligned.

**Drug adherence and dose.** We used population-based drug dispensing records to calculate the percentage of maximum possible adherence for each patient (25). Dose was expressed as a percentage of the maximal prescribed dose in the British National Formulary (to allow comparison between sulfonylurea drugs) (26).

**Determination of BMI.** The BMI (average) was taken as the mean of the BMI measures recorded throughout the study period. The BMI at diagnosis was taken as the mean of the BMI measures within 1 year, either side of diagnosis. The BMI at treatment initiation was taken as the mean of the BMI measures within 1 year, either side of treatment initiation.

**Genotyping.** We genotyped rs1225372 and rs7903146 of *TCF7L2* using TaqMan allelic discrimination assays as previously described (22). Both variants were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and were in tight linkage disequilibrium as previously reported ( $R^2 = 0.9$ ). Genotyping success rate for each SNP was  $\sim 98\%$ , and duplicate genotyping concordance was  $>99\%$ .

**Statistical analysis.** Comparison of baseline characteristics by genotype was by ANOVA. Genotype frequencies were analyzed by  $\chi^2$  test for trend (1 d.f.). A logistic regression analysis was used to investigate response, with failure of treatment (minimum A1C after starting treatment  $>7\%$ ) as the dependent variable. Covariates were selected if there was a significant difference in baseline characteristics by genotype or if there was a simple correlation with response with  $P < 0.1$ . With respect to genotype, a codominant model was assumed, with the GG (rs1225372) and CC (rs7903146) genotype as the reference.

## RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of incident sulfonylurea and metformin users according to genotype at rs1225372 and rs7903146 is shown in Table 1. In keeping with previous studies, those with two copies of the T-allele of rs1225372 or rs7903146 (TT) had a lower BMI at diagnosis of diabetes in both the sulfonylurea- and metformin-treated groups. This was more marked in the metformin-treated group, who were more obese, and could be seen in BMI at treatment initiation and in a BMI averaged over the whole study period.

Across the whole cohort, 42% of sulfonylurea users and 49% of metformin users did not achieve a target A1C  $<7\%$  within 1 year of treatment initiation. The genotype frequencies at rs1225372 and rs7903146 according to the early therapeutic response to sulfonylureas or metformin are shown in Table 2. Genotype influenced response to sulfonylureas, with more treatment failure in the TT homozygotes of either SNP. Fifty-seven percent of the TT homozygotes failed to reach target compared with only

TABLE 2  
Genotype frequencies by treatment response

| Failure to reach target? | rs1225372 |          |         |          | <i>P</i> | rs7903146 |          |         |          |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|
|                          | GG        | GT       | TT      | <i>P</i> |          | CC        | CT       | TT      | <i>P</i> |
| Sulfonylurea             |           |          |         |          |          |           |          |         |          |
| No                       | 230 (60)  | 246 (59) | 45 (43) |          | 0.006    | 232 (60)  | 236 (58) | 43 (47) | 0.035    |
| Yes                      | 152 (40)  | 169 (41) | 59 (57) |          |          | 148 (40)  | 173 (42) | 59 (53) |          |
| Metformin                |           |          |         |          |          |           |          |         |          |
| No                       | 225 (52)  | 213 (51) | 42 (46) |          | 0.61     | 229 (54)  | 207 (49) | 44 (44) | 0.12     |
| Yes                      | 209 (48)  | 207 (49) | 49 (54) |          |          | 193 (46)  | 217 (51) | 55 (56) |          |

Data are *n* (%).

40% of the GG individuals. The heterozygote group displayed an intermediate failure rate, and this corresponded to a per-allele odds ratio (OR) for treatment failure of 1.28 ( $P = 0.014$ ) for rs1225372 and 1.27 ( $P = 0.017$ ) for rs7903146. There was no significant effect of genotype on metformin response.

A logistic regression was used to account for baseline differences and other confounding factors (Table 3). For sulfonylurea response, the rs1225372 TT homozygotes were more likely not to be treated to target as the GG homozygotes (OR 1.94), with a slightly weaker association with rs7903146 (OR 1.73). The per-allele OR for treatment failure was 1.28 ( $P = 0.02$ ) for rs1225372 and 1.26 ( $P = 0.03$ ) for rs7903146. For metformin, there was also an increase in treatment failure by genotype, although this only achieved statistical significance when comparing TT vs. CC for rs7903146 (OR 1.58,  $P = 0.046$ ).

Because *TCF7L2* is likely to be influencing baseline glycemic control, a further logistic regression analysis was done on 579 sulfonylurea-treated and 755 metformin-treated patients in whom the A1C was known within 6 months before treatment initiation (Table 3). The baseline characteristics of this subgroup are shown in supplementary Tables 1 and 2 (available in an online appendix at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db07-0440>). Including the A1C pretreatment in the model abolished any effect of genotype on treatment response to metformin. However, inclusion of pretreatment A1C strengthened the association between sulfonylurea response and genotype at rs1225372 (TT vs. GG, OR 2.16) and at rs7903146 (TT vs. CC, 1.90). This can also be seen if the both cohorts are analyzed together using treatment (sulfonylurea or metformin) and pretreatment A1C as covariates: In a logistic regression, there was a significant interaction between treatment and genotype (rs1225372 TT vs. GG,  $P = 0.04$ ).

In a complementary approach, a linear regression model was used with the minimum A1C achieved within the year following sulfonylurea initiation as the dependent variable (supplementary Table 3). Using this model, the predicted A1C on treatment by genotype at rs1225372 was GG 7.0 (95% CI 6.86–7.14) vs. TT 7.33 (7.06–7.60) ( $P = 0.032$ ). Similar results were seen for rs7903146.

Finally, to include the time taken to achieve target A1C <7%, we used Cox proportional hazards to analyze the effect of genotype on response. The Kaplan-Meier plots for response by rs1225372 genotype, adjusted for pretreatment A1C, are shown in Fig. 1, with the TT group more likely not to achieve target than the GG group (hazard ratio 1.54,  $P = 0.03$ ) for sulfonylurea treatment but with no effect of genotype on metformin response ( $P = 0.82$ ).

## DISCUSSION

We show that variation in *TCF7L2* influences initial treatment success with sulfonylurea therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. This is seen for both SNPs that have been reported to be associated with diabetes risk and is in addition to the effect of dose, adherence, sex, and baseline glycemia (determined by A1C pretreatment). With respect to rs1225372, the 12% of the diabetic population with two copies of the T-allele were twice as likely not to achieve an A1C <7% within 1 year of treatment initiation than the 42% of the population with two copies of the G-allele, even accounting for baseline difference in pretreatment A1C. This results in the majority of TT (57%) homozygotes not achieving target A1C, an absolute difference of 17% compared with the GG homozygotes.

There was a weak association between metformin treatment success and *TCF7L2* genotype; however, this effect was abolished by inclusion of pretreatment A1C as a covariate in the model. While this could reflect a reduction in power or suggest that metformin may be less effective in the TT homozygotes, it may reflect an effect of these variants on glycemia rather than a genotypic influence on response per se. In support of this, we have recently shown that in the overall Go-DARTs population, the rs7903146 TT homozygote case and control subjects had a higher A1C, with the TT homozygote case subjects being more likely to require oral medication or insulin than the CC homozygotes (22).

The association between *TCF7L2* variants and sulfonylurea response, but not metformin response, supports the growing body of evidence that *TCF7L2* is involved in direct or indirect (e.g., incretin mediated [10]) regulation of  $\beta$ -cell function (11,13,16). Detailed physiological and pharmacokinetic studies of patients selected on the basis of their *TCF7L2* genotype are required to further investigate the mechanism for decreased sulfonylurea response in the TT homozygotes.

In line with previous studies, we show an association of genotype on BMI (11,20,22,23). This is particularly striking in the more obese metformin-treated group. Because retrospective data are available following recruitment in our study, it is possible to investigate the association between genotype and BMI at diagnosis of diabetes. The BMI difference by genotype is largest at diagnosis, with the effect reducing by treatment initiation or when averaged over the whole study period. This is in keeping with the *TCF7L2* risk variants effecting  $\beta$ -cell function, causing diabetes to present at a lower level of obesity or insulin resistance.

There are limitations to this study. This is an observational study rather than a randomized interventional study and is therefore prone to prescriber bias. However, the



effect size of *TCF7L2* variation on response is modest, although a twofold greater likelihood of treatment failure in the 12% of the population who are TT homozygote at rs1225372 is striking. This highlights strong parallels between type 2 diabetes pharmacogenetics and genetics of disease risk, with individual risk alleles contributing only little to overall risk. However, with an increasing number of clear risk genes for type 2 diabetes resulting from whole-genome association studies (21), it may be possible to study the effect of combined genotypes on response, as has been done for type 2 diabetes risk (27), which may in due course allow the genotype to contribute to response prediction in the clinical management of patients. We believe that this study is a “proof of principle” that common genetic variation can influence therapeutic response in type 2 diabetes and that given robust candidate genes and a well-characterized therapeutic response phenotype, large population biobanks can be successfully used in population pharmacogenomic studies.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Diabetes UK, The Wellcome Trust, and the Scottish Executive Chief Scientist's Office for funding this work. E.R.P. holds an National Health Service Education for Scotland Clinician Scientist fellowship. C.N.A.P. and A.D.M. are supported by the Scottish Executive Chief Scientist's Office as part of the Generation Scotland initiative.

We thank the patients for taking part in this study and the research nurses who recruited them.

#### REFERENCES

1. Blaum CS, Velez L, Hiss RG, Halter JB: Characteristics related to poor glycemic control in NIDDM patients in community practice. *Diabetes Care* 20:7–11, 1997
2. Hermann LS, Schersten B, Bitzen PO, Kjellstrom T, Lindgarde F, Melander A: Therapeutic comparison of metformin and sulfonylurea, alone and in various combinations: a double-blind controlled study. *Diabetes Care* 17:1100–1109, 1994
3. Pearson ER, Starkey BJ, Powell RJ, Gribble FM, Clark PM, Hattersley AT: Genetic cause of hyperglycaemia and response to treatment in diabetes. *Lancet* 362:1275–1281, 2003
4. Sagen JV, Raeder H, Hathout E, Shehadeh N, Gudmundsson K, Baevre H, Abuelo D, Phornphutkul C, Molnes J, Bell GI, Gloyn AL, Hattersley AT, Molven A, Sovik O, Njolstad PR: Permanent neonatal diabetes due to mutations in *KCNJ11* encoding Kir6.2: patient characteristics and initial response to sulfonylurea therapy. *Diabetes* 53:2713–2718, 2004
5. Pearson ER, Flechtner I, Njolstad PR, Malecki MT, Flanagan SE, Larkin B, Ashcroft FM, Klimes I, Codner E, Iotova V, Slingerland AS, Shield J, Robert JJ, Holst JJ, Clark PM, Ellard S, Sovik O, Polak M, Hattersley AT: Switching from insulin to oral sulfonylureas in patients with diabetes due to Kir6.2 mutations. *N Engl J Med* 355:467–477, 2006
6. Gloyn AL, Hashim Y, Ashcroft SJ, Ashfield R, Wiltshire S, Turner RC: Association studies of variants in promoter and coding regions of beta-cell ATP-sensitive K-channel genes *SUR1* and *Kir6.2* with type 2 diabetes mellitus (UKPDS 53). *Diabet Med* 18:206–212, 2001
7. Sesti G, Laratta E, Cardellini M, Andreozzi F, Del Guerra S, Irace C, Gnasso A, Grupillo M, Lauro R, Hribal ML, Perticone F, Marchetti P: The E23K variant of *KCNJ11* encoding the pancreatic beta-cell adenosine 5'-triphosphate-sensitive potassium channel subunit Kir6.2 is associated with an increased risk of secondary failure to sulfonylurea in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 91:2334–2339, 2006
8. Hansen L, Echwald SM, Hansen T, Urhammer SA, Clausen JO, Pedersen O: Amino acid polymorphisms in the ATP-regulatable inward rectifier Kir6.2 and their relationships to glucose- and tolbutamide-induced insulin secretion, the insulin sensitivity index, and NIDDM. *Diabetes* 46:508–512, 1997
9. Hansen T, Echwald SM, Hansen L, Moller AM, Almind K, Clausen JO, Urhammer SA, Inoue H, Ferrer J, Bryan J, Aguilar-Bryan L, Permutt MA, Pedersen O: Decreased tolbutamide-stimulated insulin secretion in healthy subjects with sequence variants in the high-affinity sulfonylurea receptor gene. *Diabetes* 47:598–605, 1998
10. Grant SF, Thorleifsson G, Reynisdottir I, Benediktsson R, Manolescu A, Sainz J, Helgason A, Stefansson H, Emilsson V, Helgadóttir A, Styrkarsdóttir U, Magnusson KP, Walters GB, Palsdóttir E, Jonsdóttir T, Gudmundsdóttir T, Gylfason A, Saemundsdóttir J, Wilensky RL, Reilly MP, Rader DJ, Bagger Y, Christiansen C, Gudnason V, Sigurdsson G, Thorsteinsdóttir U, Gulcher JR, Kong A, Stefansson K: Variant of transcription factor 7-like 2 (*TCF7L2*) gene confers risk of type 2 diabetes. *Nat Genet* 38:320–323, 2006
11. Florez JC, Jablonski KA, Bayley N, Pollin TI, de Bakker PI, Shuldiner AR, Knowler WC, Nathan DM, Altshuler D: *TCF7L2* polymorphisms and progression to diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *N Engl J Med* 355:241–250, 2006
12. Damcott CM, Pollin TI, Reinhart LJ, Ott SH, Shen H, Silver KD, Mitchell BD, Shuldiner AR: Polymorphisms in the transcription factor 7-like 2 (*TCF7L2*) gene are associated with type 2 diabetes in the Amish: replication and evidence for a role in both insulin secretion and insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 55:2654–2659, 2006
13. Melzer D, Murray A, Hurst AJ, Weedon MN, Bandinelli S, Corsi AM, Ferrucci L, Paolisso G, Guralnik JM, Frayling TM: Effects of the diabetes linked *TCF7L2* polymorphism in a representative older population. *BMC Med* 4:34, 2006
14. Scott LJ, Bonycastle LL, Willer CJ, Sprau AG, Jackson AU, Narisu N, Duren WL, Chines PS, Stringham HM, Erdos MR, Valle TT, Tuomilehto J, Bergman RN, Mohlke KL, Collins FS, Boehnke M: Association of transcription factor 7-like 2 (*TCF7L2*) variants with type 2 diabetes in a Finnish sample. *Diabetes* 55:2649–2653, 2006
15. Zhang C, Qi L, Hunter DJ, Meigs JB, Manson JE, van Dam RM, Hu FB: Variant of transcription factor 7-like 2 (*TCF7L2*) gene and the risk of type 2 diabetes in large cohorts of U.S. women and men. *Diabetes* 55:2645–2648, 2006
16. Saxena R, Gianniny L, Burt NP, Lyssenko V, Guducchi C, Sjogren M, Florez JC, Almgren P, Isomaa B, Orho-Melander M, Lindblad U, Daly MJ, Tuomi T, Hirschhorn JN, Ardlie KG, Groop LC, Altshuler D: Common single nucleotide polymorphisms in *TCF7L2* are reproducibly associated with type 2 diabetes and reduce the insulin response to glucose in nondiabetic individuals. *Diabetes* 55:2890–2895, 2006
17. Cauchi S, Meyre D, Dina C, Choquet H, Samson C, Gallina S, Balkau B, Charpentier G, Pattou F, Stetsyuk V, Scharfmann R, Staels B, Frubbeck G, Froguel P: Transcription factor *TCF7L2* genetic study in the French population: expression in human  $\beta$ -cells and adipose tissue and strong association with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 55:2903–2908, 2006
18. Humphries SE, Gable D, Cooper JA, Ireland H, Stephens JW, Hurel SJ, Li KW, Palmieri J, Miller MA, Cappuccio FP, Elkeles R, Godsland I, Miller GJ, Talmud PJ: Common variants in the *TCF7L2* gene and predisposition to type 2 diabetes in UK European Whites, Indian Asians and Afro-Caribbean men and women. *J Mol Med* 84:1–10, 2006
19. Groves CJ, Zeggini E, Minton J, Frayling TM, Weedon MN, Rayner NW, Hitman GA, Walker M, Wiltshire S, Hattersley AT, McCarthy MI: Association analysis of 6,736 U.K. subjects provides replication and confirms *TCF7L2* as a type 2 diabetes susceptibility gene with a substantial effect on individual risk. *Diabetes* 55:2640–2644, 2006
20. Cauchi S, Meyre D, Choquet H, Dina C, Born C, Marre M, Balkau B, Froguel P: *TCF7L2* variation predicts hyperglycemia incidence in a French general population: the data from an epidemiological study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome (DESIR) study. *Diabetes* 55:3189–3192, 2006
21. Sladek R, Rocheleau G, Rung J, Dina C, Shen L, Serre D, Boutin P, Vincent D, Belisle A, Hadjadj S, Balkau B, Heude B, Charpentier G, Hudson TJ, Montpetit A, Pshezhetsky AV, Prentki M, Posner BI, Balding DJ, Meyre D, Polychronakos C, Froguel P: A genome-wide association study identifies novel risk loci for type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 445:881–885, 2007
22. Kimber CH, Doney AS, Pearson ER, McCarthy MI, Hattersley AT, Leese GP, Morris AD, Palmer CN: *TCF7L2* in the Go-DARTS study: evidence for a gene dose effect on both diabetes susceptibility and control of glucose levels. *Diabetologia* 50:1186–1191, 2007
23. Helgason A, Palsson S, Thorleifsson G, Grant SF, Emilsson V, Gunnarsdóttir S, Adeyemo A, Chen Y, Chen G, Reynisdóttir I, Benediktsson R, Hinney A, Hansen T, Andersen G, Borch-Johnsen K, Jorgensen T, Schafer H, Faruque M, Doumatey A, Zhou J, Wilensky RL, Reilly MP, Rader DJ, Bagger Y, Christiansen C, Sigurdsson G, Hebebrand J, Pedersen O, Thorsteinsdóttir U, Gulcher JR, Kong A, Rotimi C, Stefansson K: Refining the impact of *TCF7L2* gene variants on type 2 diabetes and adaptive evolution. *Nat Genet* 39:218–225, 2007
24. Morris AD, Boyle DI, McMahon AD, Greene SA, MacDonald TM, Newton RW: Adherence to insulin treatment, glycaemic control, and ketoacidosis in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: the DARTS/MEMO collaboration: Diabetes Audit and Research in Tayside Scotland: Medicines Monitoring Unit. *Lancet* 350:1505–1510, 1997
25. Donnan PT, MacDonald TM, Morris AD: Adherence to prescribed oral hypoglycaemic medication in a population of patients with type 2 diabetes: a retrospective cohort study. *Diabet Med* 19:279–284, 2002
26. Donnelly LA, Doney AS, Hattersley AT, Morris AD, Pearson ER: The effect of obesity on glycaemic response to metformin or sulphonylureas in type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 23:128–133, 2006
27. Weedon MN, McCarthy MI, Hitman G, Walker M, Groves CJ, Zeggini E, Rayner NW, Shields B, Owen KR, Hattersley AT, Frayling TM: Combining information from common type 2 diabetes risk polymorphisms improves disease prediction. *PLoS Med* 3:e374, 2006